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The major terminal enzymatic expression of the fibrinolytic cascade system in vivo is plasmin. This enzyme is presumed to interact with fibrinogen and fibrin in vivo and is arguably man's major defence against the deposition of fibrin, an important component in the general hazard of thrombosis.

Our understanding of the molecular details of plasmin interactions with fibrinogen and fibrin has been established over the past 10 years. As a part of the overall strategy to elaborate the primary sequence of human fibrinogen the precise locations of the peptide bonds ruptured by plasmin at various stages in the degradation process have been reported. Such precise data are not available as yet for fibrin-plasmin interactions. I shall discuss the major aspects of fibrinogen-plasmin interactions only briefly since these have been recently reviewed by, among others, Mosesson and Finlayson and Gaffney. I shall deal with the current knowledge of fibrin-plasmin interactions in more detail since new and exciting data concerning fibrin fragmentation, which may have significance in vivo, have recently emerged.

In this review I am supposing that the major breakdown products of fibrinogen and fibrin (FDPs) are mediated by plasmin in vivo. Thus the in-vitro experiments conducted in many laboratories over the years have concentrated on plasmin-mediated interactions. It is, however, well to point out that the interactions between fibrinogen and a number of other proteolytic enzymes have also been studied in vitro (for review see Latallo et al.). Indeed, Moroz and Gilmore thought that a variety of proteolytic enzymes of cellular origin may play a far more important part in the lysis of established thrombin in vivo than does plasmin. Nevertheless, there is little doubt that plasmin interacts with forming fibrin, attempting to prevent its deposition on the vessel wall. I shall expand this last opinion when discussing plasmin-fibrin interactions and their significance in vivo.

Fibrin-plasmin breakdown products

Fragmentation mechanisms

Investigations into molecular fragmentation began in 1945 when Walter Seegers, present-day doyen of haemostasis research workers, showed that plasmin-digested fibrinogen consisted of two major electrophoretic fragments which were called α-fibrinogen and β-fibrinogen. In the first detailed examination of the fragments obtained from the interaction of fibrinogen with plasmin Nussenzweig et al. distinguished five major fractions by ion-exchange chromatography. They called these A, B, C, D, and E, and they described fragments D and E as plasmin-resistant or terminal core fragments with molecular weights of about 83 000 and 37 000 respectively. Fragments D and E were 50% and 20% by weight of the original fibrinogen molecule, represented immunologically distinct regions of fibrinogen, and are synonymous with the α- and β-fibrinogens of Seegers. Nussenzweig et al. also observed intermediate plasmin-labile degradation products of fibrinogen, subsequently named by other workers X, Y fragments.

Examination of the polypeptide compositions of the various fragments derived from plasmin-fibrinogen interactions in conjunction with carbohydrate staining and thrombin susceptibility of their composite peptide chains has allowed a number of schemes of fibrinogen fragmentation which have a number of common features. Fig. 1 shows the major features of a sequence of digestive reactions and products formed with which most of these workers would now agree. The conservation of both the NH₂-terminal amino-acids of the Aα-chains suggests that the initial lysis of the Aα-chain takes place at their carboxy ends. Peptides of about 40 000 molecular weight are released rather rapidly, leaving the NH₂-terminal remnant of the Aα-chain disulphide bonded to intact Bβ and γ chains. The next reaction involves the removal of peptides...
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![Diagram of plasmin-mediated conversion of fibrinogen (Fg) to its core fragments D and E, showing intermediate fragments X and Y.](image)

The scheme is constructed so that the polypeptide chain origin of the constituent subunits of each fragment can be related to those of the preceding fragment and in turn to chains of the originating fibrinogen molecule (Aα, Bβ, and γ). The amino (N)- and carboxy (C)-terminal ends of the fragments are shown to aid in visualising the site of structural breakdown more easily. MWs (×10^3) shown in parentheses after each fragment designation. The range of MWs of fragment X depends on the amount of peptide material removed from the carboxy ends of the Aα-chains and whether the peptides have been hydrolysed from the amino-terminal ends of the Bβ chains. Other details of this asymmetrical scheme of fibrinogen fragmentation are in the text. (Taken from Gaffney; reproduced by kind permission of the Editor and Publishers of Haemostasis.)

(MW 6000) from the NH2-terminal ends of the Bβ-chains12-21 followed by the asymmetric splitting of the three chains at one side of the partly degraded dimer. These latter cleavages release fragment D, and thus all lysis points must be adjacent to the NH2-terminal ends of all three chains, allowing that fragment D is located near the carboxy end of each fibrinogen subunit.22 The remaining major fragment is called Y,9 23 and the subsequent lysis of its Aα, Bβ, and γ chain remnants converts fragment Y to fragments D and E. Evidently the terminal or 'core' fragments D are made up of three polypeptide chain remnants of the Aα, Bβ, and γ chains of fibrinogen while fragment E is a dimer containing disulphide bonded subunits, each subunit being made up of three polypeptide chains. This scheme of degradation owes much to the original scheme of Marder et al.24 in which they suggested an asymmetrical cleavage of the fibrinogen dimer by plasmin. This type of digestive splitting may suggest that the two subunits of this fibrinogen dimer differ discretely in primary structure or else that the sequential release of the D fragments from each subunit of the fibrinogen dimer is random. Further support has been provided for the asymmetrical mode of fibrinogen fragmentation and the release of D as a monomeric fragment by the finding that the NH2-terminal amino-acids of fibrinogen, X, Y, and E are essentially alanine and tyrosine while those of fragment D are aspartic, methionine, and valine. This locates the D fragment at the carboxy terminal half of the fibrinogen subunit. Subsequently, it has been shown25 that fragment Y contains 2 mol fibrinopeptide A and a set each of heavy and light polypeptide chains. These latter data seem to have confirmed beyond doubt the asymmetric scheme of fibrinogen degradation by plasmin shown in Fig. 1.

Fibrin-plasmin interactions

The thrombin-mediated reactions which accompany the conversion of fibrinogen to various types of fibrin must first be outlined.

Fibrin formation is initiated by the cleavage of the fibrinopeptides A and B from fibrinogen (Aα2Bβ2γ2) by thrombin.25 The resultant fibrin monomer (AαBβγp) can polymerise to non-stabilised polymers (AαBβγp), which can subsequently be cross-linked in the presence of calcium and factor XIII (activated by thrombin) to form stabilised fibrin.26 The glutamyl-lysyl27 28 cross-links form rapidly between the γ chains to form γ dimers (γ-γ) while the α chains cross-link more slowly to form α chain polymers (αp) of a molecular weight in excess of 400 000.29 It seems that the γ chain cross-links can form in fibrin when it is still in the soluble state in plasma21 and the incorporation of α chain cross-links seems to depend on the γ chains being already in situ.

The importance of these cross-links in fibrin and whether they have the opportunity of forming in vivo will be discussed later. For the moment it is sufficient to indicate that an understanding is required of the interactions of plasmin with at least three major forms of fibrin: (1) non-cross-linked fibrin (NXL-FN); (2) partly (γ-γ) cross-linked fibrin (PXL-FN); and (3) totally (α chains and γ chains cross-linked) cross-linked fibrin (TXL-FN). Part of the diagram in Fig. 2 shows three fibrin molecules with the approximate locations of the factor XIII cross-links. The α chain cross-links have been placed near the carboxy termini for ease of presentation, whereas we now know that they are located at least 20 000 MW from the carboxy ends of the α chains.31

NON-CROSS-LINKED FIBRIN (NXL-FN)

From a chemical viewpoint it is reasonable to regard NXL-FN as being quite similar to fibrinogen, since its chemical structure lacks only fibrinopeptides...
**Fibrinogen**

A and B while conformational differences are due to aggregation during the clotting process. Thus, not surprisingly, the digestion of NXL-FN by plasmin yields an array of fragments similar in molecular weight to those observed for fibrinogen. The susceptibility to plasmin of the individual chains in the intact NXL-FN is the same as that observed for fibrinogen. Obviously, of course, X, Y, and E from fibrinogen will at least differ from those fragments obtained from NXL-FN in that the former contain fibronopeptide A while the latter do not. Other discrete structural differences between the respective fragments from fibrinogen and NXL-FN exist which can be detected by radiolmmunoassay using appropriate antisera.

**Cross-linked Fibrin (XL-FN)**

The interaction of plasmin with XL-FN will deal with both PXL-FN and TXL-FN together since the spectrum of fragments obtained from both forms of fibrin is quite similar. It would seem that the major property endowed on fibrin by α chain cross-linkage is resistance to lysis by plasmin. Information about the fragments yielded on lysis of XL-FN by plasmin has slowly unfolded. In 1973 XL-FN digests were shown to contain a major distinct fragment not found in fibrinogen digests. This was called D dimer since it was composed of two D domains from adjacent fibrin molecules, joined by their cross-linked γ chain remnants.

A scheme showing the origin of the D dimer and E fragments from XL-FN is shown in Fig. 2. Later it was found that some D dimer and E molecules could be present in a digestion mixture as an electrophoretically stable but non-covalent complex known as the D dimer-E complex. Subsequent experiments, in which the lysis of XL-FN was performed in serum or plasma, indicated that all the D dimer and E molecules were present as a stoichiometrically satisfied complex, having the general formula (D-D)E.

The schematic representation of the D dimer-E complex (Fig. 3) indicates that each domain originates in a distinct fibrin molecule. More important is that the D dimer-E complex must contain the major polymerisation sites of fibrin located in the D and E domains. Olea and Budzynski showed that one mole of D dimer and one mole of E form one mole of the complex and that conversion of the complex to free D dimer and E fragments is mediated by digestion of the E domain. The D dimer-E complex can dissociate in 8 M urea and reforms after removal of the detergent. Specific antigenic markers have been recognised in D dimer and the D dimer-E complex, but since these crosslink-related markers represent only a small proportion of the total antigenicity of the D dimer fragment it is difficult to devise a useful test for these fragments in vivo.

In view of the evidence that the D dimer-E complex is a major product of cross-linked fibrin lysis in vivo, a variety of lytic regimens was investigated to determine whether this complex was the earliest soluble expression of XL-fibrin lysis. It transpired that besides the D dimer-E complex higher molecular weight complexes are released from XL-FN which have been classified as cross-linked fragment X-oligomers, Y-D, and D dimer complexes. Schematic structures of these fibrin degradation products (Fig. 4) were elucidated from sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, carbohydrate staining, and 125I-label distribution. Thus it would seem that cross-linked fibrinogen can be digested by plasmin to yield at least two distinct complexes, one of which is termed the D dimer-E complex.
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Fig. 3  Schematic view of probable fibrin subunit origins of the three component parts of the D dimer-E complex. The intermolecular γ chain cross-links are shown as solid lines, while the shaded area shows that two D fragments from adjacent fibrin subunits and an E fragment from another fibrin subunit are associated in the D dimer-E complex (D1-D2)E4. The suggestion is inherent that the COOH-terminal ends of the γ chains of fibrin which contain the cross-linking residues are also involved in the binding of one E fragment between the two D fragments.

Fig. 4  Diagrammatic presentations of the various cross-linked fragments found in the plasmin-mediated lysates of cross-linked fibrin. The polypeptide chain components of the various cross-linked classes of fragments are shown below the relevant diagram.

The polyacrylamide gel separation of the various polypeptide chains which comprise the combined high molecular weight cross-linked fragments is shown to the right of the diagram. The nomenclature used for the chains has been described elsewhere.22 There is evidence to suggest that all these cross-linked fragments can remain associated with each other, possibly through the D dimer-E polymerisation site, and separate only when subjected to detergent treatment before electrophoresis.
X, Y, and D fragments can be released from XL-FN as soluble fragments, as suggested elsewhere and in contradiction to our earlier proposals.

Our unpublished data also indicate that the cross-linked X-oligomers are the first fragments derived from XL-fibrin by plasmin, are the precursors of the D dimer-E complex, and are present during lysis of fibrin performed under near-physiological conditions in vitro. These oligomers have also been isolated from the plasma of patients during episodes of fulminant disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) and other forms of intravascular coagulation. The molecular interactions which allow the XL X-oligomers to be formed during DIC are rather difficult to interpret in the light of our understanding of the formation of such high molecular weight derivatives from a XL-FN clot. The scheme outlined in Fig. 5 incorporates some of

![Diagram of DIC]

**DIC**

![](https://i.imgur.com/5Q5Q5Q5.png)

**Thrombolysis**

![](https://i.imgur.com/5Q5Q5Q5.png)

*Fig. 5 Two schemes proposed to explain the presence of the cross-linked (XL) high molecular weight X-oligomers during DIC and thrombolysis. A number of pathways are proposed interrelating thrombin (Th), plasmin (Pl), and Ca²⁺ mediated polymerisation and cross-linking (factor XIII) activities during DIC. All could allow the formation of cross-linked X-oligomers. It is also proposed that such fragments should be formed during the lysis of preformed thrombi in vivo since they are present during the lysis of XL-fibrin in vivo under near-physiological conditions. FG = fibrinogen; FN = fibrin; the X derivatives of both FG and FN are formed by the Pl-mediated removal of peptide material from the carboxy terminal ends of the Aα and A β chains (see Fig. 1). It is surmised that during DIC these reactions take place in solution without deposition of fibrin.*

our thoughts with those of Graeff and his colleagues and suggests that the XL X-oligomers could occur by a number of pathways which involve the competition of plasmin and thrombin for fibrinogen and fibrin during DIC. It seems that the earliest fragments formed during fibrin clot lysis have the same molecular composition as those formed from the simultaneous enzymatic competition of plasmin and thrombin for fibrinogen.

**Practical implications of fibrin degradation products (FDPs)**

Whether fibrinogen in circulation is normally susceptible to plasmin-mediated digestion is a subject of controversy. Most concede, however, that the major source of FDPs in plasma is from secondary fibrinolysis—namely, the digestion of fibrin after its generation by thrombin from fibrinogen. It can be argued that the rapidly acting α₂-antiplasmin neutralises any plasmin formed in circulation, while such a reaction cannot effectively take place when the generated plasmin occurs in the protective environment of soluble or insoluble fibrin. Nevertheless, if exercise and conventional streptokinase therapy can be regarded as examples of primary fibrinolysis in their ultimate plasmin effect on fibrinogen then the significance and speed of α₂-antiplasmin activity in blood may need reappraisal. These notions are in part supported by the fact that streptokinase induces a greater reduction in circulating α₂-antiplasmin than that observed during ancrord infusion, which induces secondary and far more effective fibrinolysis (Gaffney, unpublished data).

Thus our consideration of the practical value of the above molecular data seems best considered against a background of thrombin-plasmin mediated DIC and direct plasmin attack on preformed XL-fibrin as present in established thrombi. In discussing fibrin-plasmin interactions I have commented on the presence of cross-linked X-oligomers in the plasma of patients during DIC and in XL-fibrin digests. Fig. 5 outlines pathways by which 'giant' fibrin fragments (X-oligomers) could be formed during the competitive interactions of plasmin and thrombin for circulating fibrinogen, while similar fragments should be found in the plasma of patients during the lysis of preformed thrombi. During the DIC-like condition induced during defibrination by ancrord infusion fragments similar to X, Y, and D are formed in vivo, while D dimer is absent. In contrast, plasma from patients who have been bitten by the snake *Echis carinatus* contains significant amounts of D dimer. The conversion of prothrombin to thrombin by the snake venom allows the formation of factor XIII-mediated cross-linked fibrin and its subsequent digestion to cross-linked D fragments. The latter clinical condition bears some of the hallmarks of advanced DIC in contradistinction to the benign condition of the patient during defibrination with ancrord, a
procoagulant from the venom of *Agkistrodon rhodostoma*. Coagulation disorders (mostly DIC) in obstetric patients were accompanied by the presence in the plasma of large fibrin fragments similar to the X-oligomers described above in the XL-fibrin digests,\textsuperscript{60} while cases of fulminant DIC had cross-linked X-oligomers and the D dimer-E complex in the plasma.\textsuperscript{61}

Emerging from case reports such as those referred to above is a hypothesis (Fig. 6) which echoes and extends the thoughts of Astrup\textsuperscript{61} about coagulation and fibrinolysis being systemically balanced to maintain blood fluidity and competent haemostasis. It is now more probable that this balance operates at a local rather than a systemic level. The amount of available thrombin depends on the amount of circulating inhibitors (notably At III) which operate at various points in the coagulation cascade. The amount of plasmin available for fibrin digestion seems to be independent of the concentrations of fibrinolytic inhibitors (notably the fast-acting α2-antiplasmin) since plasminogen seems to be protected from inhibition by the forming fibrin polymers.

The hypothesis presented in Fig. 6 suggests that the composition of the fragments formed during the competition between thrombin and plasmin should shed light on the severity of the so-called hypercoagulable state in the patient's blood. The presence of non-cross-linked fragments would suggest that the fibrinolytic system was rapidly digesting forming fibrin, whereas large cross-linked fragments (X-oligomers) together with cross-linked D dimer-E, Y-Y, and Y-D complexes would suggest that the hypercoagulability was quite severe in that the fibrinolytic system was being overpowered and barely coping with the fibrin polymerisation process. The latter instance could be detected by sensitive assays for these high molecular weight cross-linked complexes, and such assays might be useful in highlighting the clinically-dangerous hypercoagulable or thrombotic state.

Similar concepts about the haemostatic balance during DIC have been outlined by others\textsuperscript{62} using assay procedures for fibrinopeptide A and the NH2-terminal Bβ\textsubscript{2} peptide (1-42) to determine the influence of thrombin and plasmin respectively. We believe, however, that the presence of the cross-linked fragments described above (and shown in Figs. 4 and 6) in a patient’s plasma would indicate a more advanced state of hypercoagulability than would be detected by the tests suggested by Nossal and colleagues.\textsuperscript{63} Whereas these cross-linked fragments have been found in the plasma of patients during DIC, assays have yet to be developed of sufficient sensitivity to monitor their presence in plasma during thrombolytic therapy for thrombosis. To this end antisera have been raised in rabbits which contain conformational neo-antigens for the cross-linked X-oligomers. These antisera do not react with fibrinogen and their use is contemplated in radioimmunoassay to detect the advanced prethrombotic state and to clarify the role of fibrinolysis as man’s major defence against thrombosis.
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