Wilms’ tumour and renal dysplasia: an hypothesis
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SUMMARY The incidence of renal dysplasia in a series of Wilms’ tumours is presented. The distribution of such lesions is discussed, together with their course of development and regression. The kidney is regarded as a particularly suitable organ for studying the relation between dysplasia and neoplasia. A schema is suggested for this association with regard to Wilms’ tumour.

Paediatric oncology offers the opportunity to study the interrelations between normal embryonic development, dysplasia and neoplasia. The kidney is a particularly suitable organ for such study as there is a clear relation between renal dysplasia and Wilms’ tumour.1 The dysplastic features have been emphasised1 under the general term “the nephroblastomatosis complex,” with “nodular renal blastema” and “sclerosing mesonephric hamartoma” as main subgroups and further subdivision of the latter into three types. Associated changes of glomerular immaturity and sclerosis and of focal cortical cysts1 are less clearly dysplastic features allied to the development of a Wilms’ tumour and may even be secondary to neoplasia within the kidney. Because the term “nephroblastomatosis” has been applied specifically to multifocal and widespread epithelial dysplastic lesions2 and because in our experience mesodermal in addition to epithelial hamartomata may be rarely encountered, it has been avoided and “renal dysplasia” used.

Dysplastic lesions consist of metanephric tissue which is predominantly tubular but may also show primitive glomerular formation. The tubules are different from those seen in Wilms’ tumours and consist of small rounded or polygonal hyperchromatic cells rather than the columnar cells which are encountered in nephroblastosmas. There is considerable variation in the extent of dysplastic change, varying between widespread confluent lesions and involvement of a single tubule. The small size of the minimal lesions precludes adequate assessment of the true incidence of dysplasia. Fibrosis is commonly encountered in dysplastic lesions and complete sclerosis with loss of tubular elements appears to be the natural course of evolution. It is therefore not possible to exclude previous dysplastic change when isolated areas of fibrosis are seen and this further compounds the difficulty of assessing the true incidence of dysplasia. Occasionally, bands of smooth muscle rather than epithelial differentiation are encountered and are difficult to interpret; it is possible that these may represent mesenchymal elements in renal dysplasia. (Fig. 1).

The location of the dysplastic lesions relative to the Wilms’ tumour has important implications concerning the relation between dysplasia and neoplasia (see Discussion). Three main sites for dysplasia are seen:

1 The immediate subcapsular region of the kidney, this being the most common (Fig. 2).
2 The tumour-kidney margin. Compression atrophy of pre-existing normally developed kidney makes assessment of dysplasia difficult at this site (Figs. 3 and 4).
3 Within the tumour itself. This is a particular feature of more highly differentiated epithelial tumours (Fig. 5) and is easily recognised in fibrous septa (Fig. 6). Occasionally, there may be extension along tissue septa to the periphery and the tumour-kidney junction. (Fig. 3).

In a recent histopathological analysis by the present authors of 133 consecutive unilateral Wilms’ tumours, nephroblastic dysplasia was found in 24 (18.0%), 22 epithelial and two mesodermal in type.

In this series, congenital anomalies were seen as follows: naevi and haemangioma 7; definite hemihypertrrophy 4; pyloric stenosis 3; duplex kidney 2; Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome 2; and one each of horseshoe kidney, umbilical hernia, urethral valves, renal cysts, Hirschprung’s disease and multiple skeletal abnormalities. Two cases of congenital heart disease, one Ollier’s disease and one Hirschprung’s disease were noted in siblings. There was one case of congenital heart disease and one of heterochromia iridis in parents. In one patient, renal
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carcinomata developed in two great grandparents (one paternal, one maternal). The only association between nephroblastic dysplasia and congenital anomalies in this particular series was one of the two patients with Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome who also had renal cysts and haemangiomata.

**Discussion**

In an attempt to correlate the various observations on dysplasia and childhood renal neoplasia, a suggested hypothesis is summarised in the accompanying diagram (Fig. 7).
During normal kidney development, pluripotential metanephrogenic blastema becomes committed to form purely renal tissue. Although histological appearances indicate that Wilms' tumour is normally derived from committed blastema, the occasional finding of heterologous elements (cartilage, fat, bone etc) suggests that the neoplasm may arise from uncommitted metanephrogenic blastema. The metanephric composition of the dysplastic lesions points to an origin from committed blastema and the occasional finding of smooth muscle would not refute this possibility.
Fig. 5 Nephroblastic dysplasia within a highly differentiated epithelial Wilms' tumour. Haematoxylin and eosin ×55.

Fig. 6 Nephroblastic dysplasia in fibrous septa within a Wilms' tumour. Haematoxylin and eosin ×55.
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The relatively high incidence of tumours with striated muscle as the only heterologous element suggests that, although not shown in our Fig. 7, neoplasms may arise from an intermediate stage between pluripotential and committed metanephric blastema.

The close anatomical association between dysplasia and neoplasia (see above) raises the possibilities either that the tumour may arise directly from dysplastic foci or that the two are independent but linked aetologically.

The existence of dysplastic areas within differentiated rather than undifferentiated tumours may be explained in three ways. Firstly, the development of neoplasm from dysplasia may lead to the formation of a highly tubular tumour; secondly, differentiated rather than undifferentiated tumours and dysplasia may have the same origin; thirdly, more aggressive blastemal neoplasms may destroy pre-existing dysplastic elements. However, it is clear that well differentiated tumours and dysplasia may coexist without apparent damage to the latter.

The 18% incidence of nephroblastic dysplasia in our recently analysed series is rather lower than the 33-3% of Bove and McAdams, but bilateral cases were excluded from our series, and these authors emphasise the high association between bilateral tumours and metanephrogenic abnormalities. Knudson and Strong concluded that bilateral tumours are more likely to be familial, that familial tumours result from two mutations, one germinal and one somatic, and that sporadic tumours result from two somatic mutations. However, nephroplastic dysplasia is a feature of both unilateral and bilateral Wilms' tumours. Although some family data is available from the patients in our series, the minimal association between nephroblastic dysplasia and congenital anomalies together with the absence of bilateral tumours and any family history of nephroblastoma preclude significant genetic assessment.

Wilms' tumours are neoplasms associated with the embryological development of the kidney, and are typically located deeply in the renal substance: it is even possible, considering their well-defined margins, that they are initiated prior to organoid renal development. The finding of subcapsular dysplastic foci with a deep-seated neoplasm indicates that the formation of such foci is not confined to the initial period of oncogenesis but may occur subsequently.
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