Ovarian tumours of Wolffian or allied nature: their place in ovarian oncology
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SUMMARY Two unusual ovarian tumours thought to be of Wolffian identity, one of them malignant, are described. They showed packed combinations of adenopapillary, tubular, trabecular and diffuse patterns, a sharp and generalised periodic acid-Schiff (PAS)-positive basement membrane and areas of elastic network. A review of published Wolffian tumours at various sites suggests that the prototypes of the two tumours occur chiefly in the cervix and broad ligament. The significance of Wolffian tumours and their differentiation from arhenoblastoma and serous tumours is discussed.

In an earlier article we described two female adnexal Wolffian tumours, resembling the 10 previously reported. We noted a morphological overlap with arhenoblastoma (androblastoma, Sertoli-Leydig cell tumour) and that some may be oestrogenic.

One reported tumour arose in the rete ovarii. In 1974 Dr Scully kindly sent the author sections of a similar ovarian tumour, which resembled our second case, and has since collected others. However, as authentic Wolffian tumours have varied in structure, an ovarian case might imitate many structural prototypes. The present article describes two such cases, one of them polymorphic, the other a reconsideration of our previous case 1.

Case reports

CASE 1

A 79-year-old woman, para 2+0, had had slight constipation and retention of urine for one day. Her only noteworthy past history was an attack of cholecystitis. She had had the menopause at the age of 50 yr and no bleeding since. On examination she was found to be fit, non-hirsute and mildly hypertensive (170/100), with a mass in the lower abdomen and pouch of Douglas. At operation on 24 July 1959, the only pelvic abnormality present was a left-sided ovarian tumour impacted in the pouch of Douglas. This was removed, together with the right adnexa. Convalescence was complicated by a urinary tract infection.

She was readmitted on 26 August 1960, aged 80 yr, with a history of weight loss and upper abdominal pain for 6 wk and nausea, vomiting and jaundice for 3 wk. She was tender in the right hypochondrium and had a mass in the pouch of Douglas. A radiograph showed no opaque masses in the gallbladder region. After some initial improvement she became disorientated, with increasing jaundice and retention of urine; she died on 16 September 1960.

Pathology

The left ovarian tumour (14 × 9 × 8 cm) was ovoid, with a partly rough but intact capsule and an attached tube. About half consisted of a locule containing blood-stained clot and half of soft solid tissue from which six blocks were made. The right ovary was small and unremarkable.

Microscopy The tumour was pleomorphic with four types of histological appearance.

Type 1

Throughout the tumour but chiefly at the periphery and in the broader septa there were inactive serous locules with or without papillae (Fig. 1). The lining epithelium was flat, hobnail or cubical, with scanty cytoplasm, occasional differentiation into secretory and ciliated forms, large pale ovoid nuclei and virtually no mitoses. The lumina and cell borders, but not the cytoplasm, showed diastase-fast periodic-acid Schiff (PAS)-positive material, some of which stained with alcian blue. A fine PAS-positive basement membrane was present, becoming ill-defined under the flatter cells.

Accepted for publication 20 July 1981
Type 2
This type was present in three blocks and resembled that reported in broad ligament tumours. It formed ovoid areas in epithelial continuity with bordering locules of the first type. It consisted of clustered or interwoven tubules with occasional papillae, often radiating with dichotomous branching from a central space containing cellular debris. Some of the clusters formed lobules, clothed peripherally by diffuse mesenchyme (Fig. 2) or fine cords (Fig. 3), but most blended into a continuous mass. The lining cells were dark cubical or columnar with scanty protruding cytoplasm and large pale ovoid nuclei. In some areas mesenchyme predominated and contained occasional adenopapillary spaces and mesothelioid vesicles (Fig. 4). The average mitotic count was 1.4 per high power field (HPF × 450) in epithelial areas (50 fields) and 3.0 in the mesenchyme (20 fields). A few gland lumina showed scanty PAS-positive material, negative with alcian blue. A fine sharp PAS-positive basement membrane was present round the glands, continuing into the diffuse areas to divide much of them into elongated islands. The reticular pattern was similar but in places pericellular. Elastic staining after permanganate oxidation also showed a fine network in some areas.

Type 3
This was present throughout the tumour and appeared to develop gradually from the first. It was
markedly lobular with three main patterns (Fig. 5): locules with papillae (below); clusters of small dark gland spaces (centre); and packed intralocular adenopapillary formations (above) which often showed woven, looped or sinuous outlines (Fig. 6). The tubules were small, some being minute and solid, and often formed ovoid clusters (Fig. 7). Others fused to solid areas, either as loose aggregates
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Fig. 6 Case 1, area 3. Luxuriant intralocular adenopapillary area with mostly single-layered epithelium woven into complex sinuous patterns. Haematoxylin and eosin × 135

do not condensepalisaded masses.

Most of the epithelium was cubical and single-layered, with large ovoid vesicular nuclei, scanty cytoplasm with indistinct boundaries and a smooth luminal border. Many lumina contained exfoliated cells, sometimes swollen and pale, and a little PAS-positive material, occasionally staining with alcian blue. There was a fine sharp PAS-positive basement membrane round the tubules (Fig. 8), and solid areas, but within the latter it was interrupted or absent. There was a weak and incomplete elastic network, a few tiny stromal concretions and no mesenchymal areas. The mitotic count per HPF was 2-3 in a purely papillary area (10 fields), 2-1 in an inactive glandular area as in Fig. 5 (10 fields) and 5-2 in the rest (60 fields).

Type 4

This was present in two blocks and was continuous with the third type. It contained large glands lined by columnar epithelium with large ovoid nuclei and cytoplasm usually scanty but occasionally ample and ciliated. Some glands were single-layered and roughly endometrioid, with frequent subnuclear vacuoles. Most were stratified, of varying size, clustered and either apposed or fused with each other and with branching epithelial cords (Fig. 9), often continuing into a dilated single-layered space. PAS showed plentiful positive material in these spaces, a little at the luminal border of the others and a thin sharp basement membrane. The stroma between these structures was mostly cellular and occasionally blended with them. Mitotic counts were 4-0 in the epithelium (20 fields) and 1-5 in the stroma (10 fields) per HPF.

The capsule of the tumour consisted of about three fifths fibrous connective tissue with a few small

Fig. 7 Case 1, area 3. Clusters of tiny gland spaces and occasional solid islands forming ovoid lobules. The tumour growth in Figs. 5, 6 and 7 would not be out of place in renal adenomas or carcinomas. Haematoxylin and eosin × 125
seams of ovarian cortex and two fifths hypertrophic mesovarian smooth muscle. Attached mesosalpinx and broad ligament were separately identified. Tumour reached to 0.2 mm from the surface, consisting mainly of inactive serous locules in the muscle (Fig. 1). Most of the septa were poorly cellular with a little smooth muscle near the hilus and some patches of ovarian stroma, one of them at the mesovarian border of the tumour.

There were no lutein foci or vascular permeation. Both tubes were senile, with low epithelium. The right ovary was atrophic with a nodular cortex, germinal inclusion cysts, surface tufts and no tumour of any kind.

Necropsy showed the body of a thin jaundiced non-hirsute elderly woman with purulent bronchitis. At the porta hepatitis was a firm mass 8 cm in diameter, containing a shrunken gallbladder and adherent to the liver. A deposit of tumour 5 cm in diameter was found on the outer surface of the ascending colon 5 cm above the ileocaecal valve and a somewhat larger, partly haemorrhagic mass between the uterus and rectum, adherent to both.

The mass in the porta hepatitis was an adeno-
carcinoma with glands and solid areas, randomly scattered in an extensive fibrous stroma (Fig. 10). The cytoplasm was ample and mostly pale, occasionally mucinous. Mucus secretion was abundant and equally positive with PAS and alcian blue. Some larger glands showed a weakly PAS-positive basement membrane. Tumour was present in the gall-bladder wall and mucosa and in the edge of the liver. The origin was thought to be either the gall-bladder or an adjacent large bile duct.

The mass on the colon was histologically similar and showed vascular permeation. The mass in the pouch of Douglas was thought to be ovarian. It consisted of sheets of small dark cells with scanty cytoplasm and occasional tiny acini and cords, at one point Sertoliform, with a weakly PAS-positive basement membrane in some areas. There were a few foci of vascular permeation. The uterus showed a hypoplastic endometrium, with many cystic and some pseudostratified glands and an occasional mitosis.

Blocks from the original ovarian tumour, the biliary tumour found at necropsy and metastatic tumour on the colon and in the pouch of Douglas were stained by Dr Eadie Heyderman for carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and epithelial membrane antigen (EMA), using an indirect immunoperoxidase technique. All the blocks contained EMA, but only the biliary carcinoma and the metastasis on the colon contained CEA. The immunocytochemical findings support the belief that the patient had two different primary tumours.

**CASE 2**

A 52-year-old woman, para 0 + 0, had had frequency of micturition for some months, no noteworthy past history and no hirsutism. At operation on 10 December 1957, a grapefruit-sized tumour of the right ovary was removed, together with the uterus and opposite adnexa. The patient was free of recurrence in May 1977, full details being available in an earlier report.1

**Pathology**

The right ovarian tumour (15 × 12 × 8 cm) was ovoid, soft, solid and largely intraligamentous, but continuous with the lateral pole of the right ovary which spread out to form a plaque on its surface.

**Microscopy**

The endometrium showed an active cystic hyperplasia. The bulk of the tumour was described previously1 and consisted of packed glands, cords and diffuse areas (Fig. 11) resembling the second area of case 1 but with more corded and less diffuse growth. There was a mitotic rate of 0-1 per HPF (20 fields) and a few small areas of fine elastic network.

Tumour continued into the ovarian medullary tissue of the capsular plaque to 1 mm from the outer surface. At this site the septa were thick and collagenised and the tumour disposed in broad overlapping fusiform seams. These ran tangentially into the main tumour mass internally and the ovarian medullary tissue externally, being entered by a narrow seam of cellular medullary stroma. At three points in this area clusters of Sertoliform cords were seen with transversely directed cells and solid islands with elongated cells (Fig. 12). In cords elsewhere the cells were variously orientated (Fig. 11).

**Fig. 10** Case 1, necropsy. Biliary carcinoma from porta hepatitis with irregular pale gland spaces, solid islands and fibrous stroma. Haematoxylin and eosin × 140
Case 2. Clusters of glandlike spaces and cords with variable cell orientation. Hemaatoxylin and eosin × 300

Discussion

In case 1 the ovarian and biliary tumours were separate, differing in pattern, cell type, mucin and antigens. Moreover, a secondary ovarian tumour of this size would probably show vascular permeation and contralateral microscopic secondaries. The luxuriant growth and relatively aggressive behaviour of the ovarian tumour reflect the mitotic rate. This was many times higher than in case 2 and in previous reported broad ligament tumours,2 and is uncommon in ovarian carcinoma where it carries a poor prognosis.7

Case 2 was first judged to be ovarian from its continuity with the ovary and later to be a broad ligament Wolffian tumour from its histology.1–3 The later identification of a similar ovarian tumour favours the first view. The tangential relation of the collagenised seams to the ovarian cap and tumour periphery also indicate an ovarian origin.8 Sertoliiform elements with solid islands at this ovarian site are rare in reported human9–13 but common in canine13–14 androblastomas and were probably outgrown by the other and more diffuse component.

The Wolffian nature of the ovarian tumours is inferred from resemblance to other reported tumours of Wolffian structures, mainly Gartner’s duct, paroophoron and epoophoron in the female and seminal vesicles, vas deferens, epididymis and efferent ductules in the male. The prototypes of case 2 and the second area of case 1 are the broad ligament tumours1–3 whilst other areas of case 1 repeat other Wolffian origins. Reported cervical Wolffian tumours, variously designated, show four main patterns. These are:

(i) featureless cubical epithelial ducts separated by poorly cellular stroma,15–22 as in the first area of case 1;

(ii) clusters of apposed small ducts, either alone or see (iii);15–20 23–25

(iii) combined with solid islands and cords;21 26 (case 5)

(iv) intralocular woven adenopapillary formations;17 20 22 23

In Nicholson’s Wolffian adenoma of the broad ligament27 28 similar structures project into a cyst.

In the male, papillary cystadenomas of the epididymis show a similar pattern range, blurred by swollen clear cells, which may not be present throughout.29 30 Somewhat similar to (iii) was a single tumour of the seminal cord31 and some seminal vesicle carcinomas resemble (iv)29 30 but they vary greatly34–36 and are poorly illustrated.

As to the fourth area of case 1, three cervical tumours18 37 38 and a vaginal tumour39 have been reported with endometrioid glands, but resemblance to mucosal tumours makes evaluation difficult. Two others combined such glands with solid areas.22 25 One contained structures like annular tubes35 and one broad ligament tumour Sertoliiform cords.3

Both formations sometimes occur in tumours of unrelated compound glands. Finally, some of the patterns would not be out of place in renal adenomas and adenocarcinomas40 or in a nephroblastoma, of which three questionable ovarian cases have been reported.41

The pleomorphism of case 1 reflects both the foregoing pattern range and the mitotic count. Characteristic tumour patterns may not develop if proliferation is either too great or too slight. The relevance of the latter is seen in the endometrioid ovarian tumours which mimic a simpler non-ovarian prototype. In these, benign forms are paradoxically rare,42 43 probably because they develop no characteristic pattern and are classed as “serous.” Three reported44 45 and five personally studied cases have shown transitions from “serous” adenofibroma to endometrioid carcinoma. Similarly, the simplest form of Wolffian tumour is of non-specific “serous” type (Fig. 1). With increasing proliferation it may then develop the “Scully patterns” of Figs. 2–4, what may
be called (after their earliest full description) the "Meyer patterns" of Figs. 5-8, and the rest which need further clarification.

Whilst the tumours might develop from native or displaced Wolffian cells,1 2 46 they more probably express the quasiembryonic plasticity of ovarian tissue.47 This increases in neoplasia, producing mainly the patterns of other urogenital tissues and their tumours, which are used as prototypes. Their range and limits seems to be those of their ancestral intermediate mesoderm.8 48 49 This directly produces the Müllerian duct and its derivatives, the gonads of both sexes, the adrenal cortex and the nephric structures, and so ex hypothesi may the ovary. Ovarian tumours of Müllerian and gonadal (sex cord/stromal) type are well established.50 The evidence that lipid cell tumours, which are mostly of stromal origin,8 may acquire adrenal cortical identity attested by evidence of adrenal function is suggestive.51 With Wolffian tumours uncertainty as to what they should look like allowed many entities to be so identified on insufficient grounds.1 The prototypes of the present tumours are definite but rare and variable, partially confirming the foregoing hypothesis when reproduced in ovarian tumours.

The susceptible ovarian region is probably hilar, in view of the intrusion of case 1 into the mesovarian and case 2 into the broad ligament as well as the tumour reported in the rete ovarii.2 Rete tumours are various58 63 but mostly separate from the ovary. Though embryonic rete develops within the gonadal perimeter, it extends into the mesovarium at an early stage.54 Part may however, retain or regain a hilar ovarian site to form the medullary canals,55 56 and produce a largely intra-ovarian mass.

The microscopic variability, marked in case 1 and slight in case 2 (Fig. 12), contrasts with reported broad ligament tumours. The Wolffian prototypes reviewed suggest that it could become greater, making confusion with many other tumours possible. At present the most likely to be confused are serous tumours (Figs. 1, 5, 6) and arrhenoblastomas (Figs. 3, 7, 9) themselves of very variable appearance.19 48 Inactive areas are probably indistinguishable from those of serous tumours, but divergencies appear with epithelial proliferation. In serous tumours there is increased papillary sprouting and the present tumours show complex maze-like, sinuous and gyriiform patterns. The scanty cytoplasm shows virtually no tendency to differentiate into secretory and ciliated forms. This is common in

Fig. 12. Case 2. Collagenised seam at junction of tumour and ovary with Sertoliform cords and solid islands. Haematoxylin and eosin × 255
serous tumours and in mesonephric tubules, though not the duct. Finally, the PAS-positive basement membrane was sharp and generalised, whilst in serous tumours it is more local.57 The presence of a sharp PAS-positive basement membrane (PBM) in reported Wolffian tumours1 2 55 may have diagnostic value. In a study of 77 ovarian tumours including serous, endometrioid, mucinous, mixed mesodermal and androblastomatous, the PBM when present was relatively less sharp and consistent. It was often well developed in areas with proliferating and well organised epithelium, but faint or absent with low epithelium, in diffuse areas and in all mucinous tumours. Elastic staining4 may also be of value. It showed areas of fine network in both Wolffian cases, of coarse network in some other tumours and only occasional twigs in the remainder. A network is found in some adenomatoid tumours.58

A survey of the literature has not revealed any ovarian tumours obviously similar to the present ones but a few with possible affinity—for example, for the more glandular areas those of Ingier59 case 1, Meyer60 case 3 and Zourlas and Jones61 case 1 and for Fig. 9 a case reported by Schiller62 (pp 408-410).

Minor oestrogen secretion by the ovarian tumour may explain the occasional endometrial mitoses in case 1, though the tubal epithelium, a less sensitive index, does not support it. None of the cells had an obvious steroidogenic appearance but could possibly aromatise androgens.

The author is much indebted to Dr Eade Heyderman for her immunoperoxidase studies of the tumour masses in case 1; to Drs Robert Young and Robert Scully for examining sections of these tumours, a provisional assent to the identification and information about their own cases; to both the foregoing and to Professor NFC Gowing for confirming the histological non-resemblance of the biliary and ovarian tumours in case 1; to Dr RCB Pugh for sections of a papillary cystadenoma of the epididymis; and to Dr Jack Beilby for a helpful discussion.
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