Book Reviews


There is a wealth of reliable information and wise guidance in this potentially useful book. After a short introduction it begins with a 28 page survey of the more important and available antibiotics, then continues with chapters on their use (mainly therapeutic) in the various branches of surgery. The last chapter, on the choice of antibiotics and the assessment of new ones, is especially sound but might have been better combined with chapter 2 so that the general principles of antimicrobial therapy could be found all in one place. There is some advice on the prophylactic use of antibiotics in the various specialist chapters, but a general discussion of the principles of antibiotic prophylaxis would have been useful. There is a reference list at the end of each chapter but the inquiring reader will have difficulty in relating this to specific topics because there are no references in the text.

Rarely have I reviewed a book in which there was so little to fault in the scientific material; but rarely have I reviewed one of similar size that took me so long to read—because it was such hard reading. Essential material, for which a reader will long search without the help of a good index, is entangled in a tautological forest of awkward and unclear sentences that call for frequent rereading. If there is to be another edition of this book, and I hope that there is, skilled editing would reduce it to little more than half the present size without loss of facts but with great gain in clarity and accessibility of content.

ROBERT BLOWERS


This slim paperback volume is part of the World Health Organisation Technical Report Series, and was produced by a committee of distinguished authorities including clinicians, epidemiologists, and pathologists. It is written in a clear, concise, and didactic style, and an enormous amount of useful information is contained in its 80 pages. The emphasis is mainly towards clinical aspects of trophoblastic disease, and discussion of the pathology, although comprehensive, is not surprisingly rather superficial. Nevertheless, this is an excellent report, right up to date, and with a good reference list. At just over £2 it represents tremendous value and I recommend every department which deals with gynaecological pathology to buy it.

CW ELSTON
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Notice

Supraregional assay service booklets—further copies

The supraregional assay service (SAS) set up by the DHSS in January 1974 is still very active. Provided it receives the requests via consultants in pathology departments it undertakes certain difficulties infrequently requested assays free of charge. The repertoire is contained in the SAS booklet, which was sent to all those who are registered users of the SAS.

It has been decided to make copies of the SAS booklet available for educational purposes, and for information for those who are not registered users. However, any requests for SAS tests have to be made through the consultant or top grade scientist in charge of the laboratory, to whom results are initially sent. Requests should not be made directly by any other members of the NHS staff to the SAS.

Copies of the SAS booklet are available, and the cost is greatly reduced if a cheque is made payable to Westminster Medical School and sent direct with the order to Professor JR Hobbs, Westminster Hospital, 17 Page Street, London SW1P 2AR.

They can be purchased as follows:

1 copy ——— £7.00
2 copies ——— £12.00
3 copies ——— £17.00
4 copies ——— £22.00
5 copies ——— £27.00

This arrangement avoids the costs of further correspondence and invoicing. The booklets will be despatched direct to whoever sends the order with the cheque.

Those preparing for the MCB or MRCPath will find very useful guidelines in this booklet with regard to investigation of patients.

Correction

We apologise to Professor Bartl and his colleagues for the error which occurred in their paper in the March 1984 issue (p 233).\(^1\) Owing to a printer’s error figure 4 appeared as a mirror image.
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