Quantitation of human milk fat globule (HMFG1) expression in breast carcinoma and its association with survival

D M Parham, W Slidders, A J Robertson
From the Department of Pathology, University of Dundee, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, Scotland

SUMMARY Expression of human milk fat globule (HMFG1) in immunohistochemically stained sections of breast carcinomas was assessed subjectively and objectively from 82 women (age range 41–96 years) to determine its prognostic importance. No correlation was observed between the degree of staining and prognosis even when the subcellular distribution of antigen expression was assessed. The total absence of staining with HMFG1 was possibly associated with a favourable outcome, although this did not quite achieve significance with the small numbers involved. The Quantimet 970 was used for objective semiautomated measurement of immunohistochemical reactions in paraffin wax sections and was found to produce better resolution and to eliminate subjective error.

In his study of squamous carcinoma of the lip Broders was one of the earliest workers to note the association between the histological differentiation of a tumour and its prognosis.1 The degree of differentiation of most tumours is now considered by many pathologists and clinicians to have some prognostic value. Bloom and Richardson applied this hypothesis to breast carcinomas and separated them into three histological grades.2 This grading method, which subjectively assesses tubular differentiation, mitotic index, and nuclear characteristics, has been shown to lack interobserver reproducibility and is only moderately predictive of prognosis for grades 1 (low) and 3 (high), with less certainty for grade 2.3 There has been some evidence to suggest that the combination of histological grade and tumour stage provides a better prognostic index.4

The recent development of monoclonal antibodies directed against normal constituents of breast duct epithelium5 has raised the possibility that expression of these antigens may be related to the degree of cell differentiation and hence prognosis. Present methods of grading antigen expression in paraffin wax sections are subjective and lack precision. This problem may be overcome by image analysis which permits accurate quantitation of immunoreactivity.

In this retrospective study of cases of known survival of breast carcinoma we present our findings on HMFG1 quantitation by standard light microscopic examination and automated image analysis.

Material and methods

One hundred and twenty six women, mean age 67 years (range 41–96), were selected from the Tayside Cancer Registry. They had been consecutively diagnosed as having primary infiltrating ductal adenocarcinoma in Maryfield Hospital and Dundee Royal Infirmary between 1972 and 1973. Surgical treatment consisted of simple mastectomy and radiotherapy (n = 104), simple mastectomy (n = 11), wedge resection with radiotherapy (n = 8), or only wedge resection (n = 3). Information regarding menstrual status of the patients was not available. Follow up for the purpose of this study stopped in June 1987 at which time 23 patients remained alive. For assessment of human milk fat globule (HMFG1) staining, 44 cases had to be excluded from the study due to loss of the original tissue from files (n = 9), extensive tumour necrosis (n = 9), or because the amount of remaining tumour tissue was inadequate (n = 26).

Formalin fixed paraffin wax sections 5 μm thick were used. These were stained in a single batch with HMFG1 (Unipath) by the avidin-biotin peroxidase label method (Vector Laboratories).6 The binding resulted in a brown reaction product following oxidation of diaminobenzidine. Sections were counterstained with alum haematoxylin.

The sections were examined by light microscopy by two observers without knowledge of the patients' survival. Where variance on the assessment of particular features occurred, a concensus decision was reached by review and discussion. The histological
grade was determined on the original haematoxylin and eosin stained sections by the method devised by Bloom and Richardson and described in detail by Elston.

ASSESSMENT OF HMFG1 IMMUNOREACTIVITY

Light microscopy Visual assessment of tumour immunoreactivity was carried out by the method outlined by Wilkinson et al. The distribution of staining was assessed at low power (×40) and evaluated as follows: (a) no staining throughout the section; (b) non-uniform staining with some areas not staining; (c) uniform staining with all areas staining. HMFG1 may be located within the tumour cell cytoplasm, on the cell membrane, or extracellularly. For each of these locations the following three features were examined:

1 Distribution of staining
The tumours were assessed and scored as follows: (a) focal staining confined to a single HPF (high power field ×400), score 1 point; (b) non-uniform staining—at least two HPF staining but separated by non-staining areas, score 2 points; (c) uniform staining—staining at all HPF, score 3 points.

2 Proportion of cells staining
This was performed in a area where the staining in the compartment in question was most prominent at high power (×400) over five fields. A score of 1 point was allocated when <50% of cells stained, 2 points when 50–80% stained, and 3 when >80% stained. For the extracellular staining category the number of deposits were counted per HPF: <5 scored 1 point, 5–20 scored 2 points, and >20, 3 points.

3 Staining intensity
The staining intensity was graded as low (1 point), moderate (2 points), and high (3 points).

For each location an accumulative score was obtained. This resulted in three groups: group 1 ≤ 4 points, group 2, 5–6 points, and group 3, ≥ 7 points.

Image analysis The proportion of the neoplasm occupied by malignant cells was determined by the method previously described by Parham et al using sections stained immunohistochemically. To evaluate the Quantimet 970 performance the immunoreactivity of the sections was initially assessed visually (at ×40 and ×400) as follows: (i) no positive staining; (ii) less than 20% of tumour cells staining; (iii) 20–50% of tumour cells staining; (iv) 51–70% of tumour cells staining; (v) greater than 70% of tumour cells staining.

Image analysis was performed on the Quantimet 970 (Cambridge Instruments Ltd). The system comprises a central processor with display and computer. The analysis is based on the television scanner converting the histological image into 500 000 pixels. The Quantimet can distinguish 256 grey levels or grades of density between white and black, and the grey level of each picture point can be recorded. Features at or above a preset threshold can be quantified by the central processor, with visual control by the video monitor.

Three grey level thresholds were used to detect HMFG1 staining, malignant cells, and stroma, respectively. The area of each microscopic field (total magnification ×80) occupied by each component was determined. Electronic editing was used to eliminate section and staining artefacts. The area occupied by HMFG1 was expressed as a percentage of the area occupied by malignant cells (labelled percentage area) and similarly the area occupied by malignant cells expressed as a percentage of the total neoplasm area—that is, malignant cells plus stroma (malignant cell percentage area). Due to the non-uniform distribution of malignant cells in a neoplasm, consecutive non-overlapping fields were evaluated across a section from the periphery to the centre and back. Depending on size of neoplasm this was repeated until a total of 20 fields had been examined and the average result calculated. A preliminary trial showed that accurate results for each of the measurements could be obtained following 10 consecutive fields (results not shown).

Analysis of survival data was carried out using life tables and the log rank test as described by Peto et al.

Results

No difference in the survival pattern was observed between the 82 cases assessed immunohistochemically and those excluded due to insufficient material (log rank test: \(\chi^2 = 0.118, 1 = df, p > 0.7\)), indicating that no bias of the data would result from the excluded cases. A previous study has indicated that extensive tumour necrosis was associated with a particularly poor prognosis.
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STAINING DISTRIBUTION WITHIN A NEOPLASM
Three tumours did not show any immunoreactivity with HMFG1. At the end of follow up two of these patients were alive and one had died after 175 months. This result did not quite achieve significance when compared with those tumours that did stain (log rank test: $\chi^2 = 3.35, 1 = df, p > 0.1$). Fig 1 shows that there was no significant difference in the survival curves between those tumours exhibiting non-uniform (a) and uniform (b) staining patterns (log rank test: $\chi^2 = 0.98, 1 = df, p > 0.3$).

SEMIQUANTITATIVE ASSESSMENT OF STAINING
Seventy eight of the 82 tumours exhibited evidence of cytoplasmic staining, 68 membranous staining, and 72 extracellular staining. Fig 2 shows the survival curves for those with low ($n = 34$), moderate ($n = 34$), and high ($n = 14$) levels of extracellular staining. Life table analysis (log rank test $\chi^2 = 1.41, 2 = df, p ~ 0.5$) showed no significant difference between the three groups. Similar results were obtained for cytoplasmic and membranous staining (data not shown). No association was found between the grade of staining in each of the compartments and either histological grade or patient age.

IMAGE ANALYSIS
The association between the visual quantitation of the proportion of a neoplasm occupied by malignant cells and the Quantimet assessment is shown in fig 3. The Quantimet tends to underestimate slightly the proportion of a neoplasm occupied by malignant cells compared with the visual assessment, although the correlation between the results is excellent. Similarly, the correlation between the staining for HMFG1 when assessed visually and by the Quantimet is shown in fig 4. Considerable overlap between the groups assessed visually and the Quantimet assessment of staining was

Fig 2 Life table showing survival patterns for breast carcinomas with low (1), moderate (2), and high (3) levels of extracellular HMFG1 staining.

Fig 3 Relation between visual assessment of percentage of tumour area occupied by malignant cells and the Quantimet measurement ($Y = 0.85X - 0.46, R = 0.89$).

Fig 4 Relation between visual quantitation of HMFG1 staining in 82 breast carcinomas and Quantimet analysis.
tumours and separation of the remaining 79 cases into four groups (≤ 0.43%, 20 cases; 0.44 – ≤ 1.8%, 20 cases; 1.8–5.8%, 20 cases; > 6.7%, 19 cases) resulted in closer approximation of the survival curves (fig 5, log rank test: \( \chi^2 = 2.36, 3 = df, p \approx 0.5 \)).

Discussion

The overall HMFG1 staining characteristics of the tumours used in this study are similar to those observed by Wilkinson et al. Their findings, however, showed that an absence of antigen expression was associated with a poor prognosis. In contrast, our findings showed that the three tumours with no staining had an apparently better than average prognosis, although this did not quite achieve significance, probably due to the small numbers studied. The smaller proportion of tumours not reacting with HMFG1 in our study may in part reflect the greater sensitivity of the avidin-biotin method of staining. For those tumours that did stain, the indices derived for each compartment showed no correlation with survival. These results are also at variance with the findings of Wilkinson et al., who found that extracellular deposition was associated with a favourable prognosis. This discrepancy may relate to differences in the length of follow up after diagnosis in the women studied. In the study by Wilkinson et al the mean follow up was only 36 months, and their findings related to the relapse free period rather than that of postoperative survival as in our study. Another factor, which may explain the difference noted in the association between extracellular staining and prognosis, is the complex nature of the grading system. For a grading system to be of value it must be simple and reproducible. In particular, when assessing extracellular deposits, we noted that sometimes antibody-antigen reaction production was present in areas where the pattern of fibrosis suggested recent tumour cell necrosis. In such cases this would not represent true extracellular secretion. A simpler scoring system devised by Berry et al. in which grading was based on the site of strongest staining and the extent of intracellular staining also found HMFG1 to be of no prognostic value. Ellis et al. using another monoclonal antibody (NCRC 11) raised to human milk fat globule membrane, and which exhibits a similar staining pattern, have recently shown a positive relation between the degree of staining and survival using a subjective method of quantitation.

The subjective nature of visually quantifying immunoreactivity is prone to considerable inter- and intraobserver errors, although this can be reduced by forming fewer staining categories but at the risk of losing prognostic information. For this reason objective quantitation of HMFG1 staining was carried out by image analysis, HMFG1 staining being expressed as a percentage of the area occupied by tumour cells, as the proportion of malignant cells in different neoplasms has been shown to vary widely. Extracellular deposits contribute negligibly to the overall assessment. Our study has shown the considerable degree of overlap that occurs between groups of tumours when visually quantified compared with the results obtained by Quantimet image analysis. The greater precision of the Quantimet in measuring the area occupied by antigen-antibody product permits better resolution and eliminates subjective error.

The results obtained, however, show no association with survival suggesting that quantitation of HMFG1 expression is of little prognostic value. The technique is unable to distinguish antigen expression at different sites within a neoplasm, although the semiquantitative results suggest that this is not important. The results of this study do not totally negate the possibility that HMFG1 expression is related to prognosis as the analysis did not take into account the intensity of each reaction product deposit. It may be possible to achieve more detailed comparison of sections by determining the optical density of reaction product deposits present. Millar and Williams have shown that high concentrations of antigen may actually diminish the density of staining. To guard against this and for comparison of different staining batches a step wedge method of calibration ought to be utilised.
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