Microassay for prostatic androgen receptors correlated with quantitative histological assessment
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SUMMARY A new microassay in which cryostat sections of prostate tissue were used to provide the source of soluble androgen receptor for biochemical assay, was devised using an isoelectric focusing method, with [3H]-mibolerone as the androgenic radioligand. Adjacent cryostat sections from the same tissue block were stained for diagnostic and quantitative histological assessment. The assay was used to illustrate variations in tissue androgen receptor concentration for correlation with epithelial cell content in benign prostate hyperplasia and prostatic cancer, and to show the effects of androgen receptor concentration of resection of prostatic tissue by electroresection.

The results indicate that the heat in electroresection renders prostatic tissue unsuitable for androgen receptor assays, and suggest that knowledge of the cellular composition of carcinomatous prostates may be of importance in the full assessment of androgen receptor assay results.

This method incorporates both a biochemical assay and histological assessment of the assayed tissue on near-facsimile sections, an advantage over conventional biochemical assays.

The dependence of the prostate on hormonal stimulation for its growth and development has been known for a long time, but it was not until 1941 that Huggins and Hodges found that androgen injection in patients with prostatic carcinoma had a harmful effect and that oestrogen injection or castration were beneficial.1 Almost 75% of patients with prostatic carcinoma present with inoperable metastatic tumours,2 and treatment with oestrogen or castration produced evident improvement in 60–80% of these patients.34 Some patients, however, subsequently relapse and prove insensitive to further hormonal treatment,5 leaving only the options of cytotoxic drugs or radiotherapy for the further management of the tumour.

Androgen receptor concentrations have begun to be assayed in prostatic carcinoma to determine which tumours (with high androgen receptor concentrations) are most likely to respond to hormonal treatment. Several reports have shown a correlation between androgen receptor concentration and a favourable clinical response to endocrine treatment,67 but this is not a universal finding.9,10 The importance of previous studies is difficult to assess, however, because of the variety of assay methods used and questionable tissue sampling techniques with no histological verification of the presence of tumour in the assayed sample.

Most androgen receptor assays are performed on homogenised prostatic tissue samples, but it is questionable whether this technique is valid for tissue such as the prostate where there may be considerable variation in the composition of cellular elements, with possible local variations in androgen receptor concentration. Most prostatic surgery is done by transurethral electroresection (TURP) with possible destruction of heat-labile androgen receptor. Some authors have assayed TURP specimens without reservations,9,11 some have considered them unreliable,12–15 and others have compromised by selecting only the largest portions and removing charred areas.16–18

To address some of these problems we developed a novel androgen receptor microassay,19 modified from our cryostat section methods for oestrogen and progesterone receptors.20,21 In this assay the tissue diagnosis, cellular composition, and androgen receptor concentration are all determined in near-facsimile cryostat sections of prostatic tissue.

Material and methods

Fresh prostatic tissue was obtained from 18 patients with benign prostatic hyperplasia: nine by retropubic prostatectomy (RPP), nine by transurethral resection (TURP), and nine patients who underwent TURP for prostatic adenocarcinoma. Retropubic prostatectomy specimens were cut into pieces (about 5–10 × 5 mm); TURP specimens greater than 3 mm in diameter with little obvious diathermy damage were selected.
Samples were wrapped in aluminium foil, snap frozen, and stored at −70°C until assayed. Remaining prostatic tissue was fixed in formalin, extensively sampled, and conventionally stained with haematoxylin and eosin.

Two consecutive adjacent cryostat sections of frozen prostatic tissue were cut at 6 µm, mounted on glass slides, and stained with haematoxylin and eosin. A further four to six consecutive sections were cut at 40 µm, collected on a small glass coverslip, and transferred into a 70 × 9 mm plastic tube containing 200 µl of Tris-edetic acid (ET) buffer (10 mM Tris; 1-5 mM disodium edetic acid; 0-05% bovine serum albumin; 0-02% sodium azide, pH 7-4). All assays were performed in duplicate.

The androgen receptor microassay technique was based on the isoelectric focusing androgen receptor assay of Auf and Ghanadian,22 and our oestrogen and progesterone receptor assays.20 21 Coverslips with adherent cryostat sections were gently crushed with a metal rod in 200 µl of ET buffer in the assay tube at 4°C. To each tube was added a further 200 µl of ET buffer containing the synthetic androgenic radioligand [3H]-mibolerone (7-alpha-17-17alpha-dimethyl [17-alpha-methyl 3H]-N-19-nortestosterone)23-25 (Amersham International plc) at 1 × 10−4M (final concentration of [3H]-mibolerone of 5 × 10−5M), and 1 × 10−4M ORG-2058 (16x-ethyl-21-hydroxy-19 norgestrel-4-en-3, 20 dione) to prevent the binding of [3H]-mibolerone to progesterone receptor.

After overnight incubation at 4°C and centrifugation at 1000 × g for 25 minutes 50 µl of supernatant cytosol was assayed for protein by the Coomassie blue method of Bradford26 using bovine serum albumin as the protein standard. The remaining cytosol was treated for five minutes with 200 µl dextran-coated charcoal (1% w/v) to remove unbound radioligand. After centrifugation 100 µl aliquots of the supernatant were subjected to isoelectric focusing.

The time taken for androgen receptor to diffuse from cryostat sections was determined by taking six consecutive groups of sections from one prostate and allowing them to stand, with occasional agitation, in 300 µl of ET buffer on ice for five, 10, 20, 35, 65 or 125 minutes (in duplicate). Immediately after centrifugation 200 µl of supernatant was aspirated from each tube and assayed for androgen receptor as described above.

The time taken for [3H]-mibolerone to reach equilibrium binding to androgen receptor already in solution was determined separately. Samples of pooled supernatants from cryostat sections, kept at 4°C in ET buffer for one hour after centrifugation, were incubated with [3H]-mibolerone for two, four, eight, 14 or 21 hours before androgen receptor binding was assayed as below.

To investigate the specificity of binding of mibolerone to cytosolic androgen receptor pooled cytosols were incubated with 5 × 10−8M [3H]-mibolerone either alone or in the presence of a 100-fold molar excess of mibolerone, testosterone, progesterone, ORG-2058, diethylstilboestrol or hydrocortisone (in the absence of the 1000-fold molar excess of ORG-2058 routinely used in the assay to block the binding of mibolerone to progesterone receptor). After incubation the receptor-bound [3H]-mibolerone was assayed as below.

Supernatant samples (100 µl) from the incubation of cryostat sections with [3H]-mibolerone were subjected to isoelectric focusing in a thin layer polyacrylamide gel (254 × 110 × 2 mm; 2-4% (weight/volume) ampholine, pH 3.5-9.5; (Pharmacia Ltd) under conditions similar to those used by Gustafsson et al.27 At the end of the focusing period gel slices (5 mm) were incubated in 4 ml of HP/b scintillant (Beckman) at 50°C for one hour, with gentle agitation.

The radioactivity of each phial was measured by liquid scintillation counting, with quench monitoring by H number (external standard Compton edge monitoring with 1³Caesium), using a Beckman LS1801 automated liquid scintillation spectrometer. A histogram of radioactivity against gel slice number was plotted for each sample and the cumulative height of the points in the single peak, at pH 6-6, was measured above the diagrammatic baseline. The amount of bound [3H]-mibolerone represented in the peak was computed and related to the quantified cytosol protein concentration. Results were finally expressed in fmol/mg of cytosol protein.

A measurement of the affinity of binding of [3H]-mibolerone to soluble androgen receptor was performed on pooled cytosol from cryostat sections from a piece of prostatic tissue, as previously described for oestrogen receptor and progesterone receptor binding.20 21 The concentration of bound radioligand in the reaction was calculated and plotted against the bound/free ratio for each concentration.28 29

The cellularity of the assayed cryostat sections with regard to their epithelial or neoplastic cell content was estimated by point counting20 30 on the adjacent sections stained with haematoxylin and eosin for light microscopy. The fraction of points coincident with epithelial or tumour cells was recorded for each field until the entire section had been covered. The mean value was calculated and the result expressed as a percentage estimate of the sectional area occupied by epithelium or tumour.

“Crude” androgen receptor concentrations were “corrected” for epithelial cellularity using the formula:

\[
\text{"corrected" androgen receptor} \times \text{cellularity (\%)}
\]
Results

HISTOLOGY
Benign prostatic hyperplasia was confirmed on the cryostat and paraffin wax sections from nine retropubic and nine TURP specimens. Prostatic adenocarcinoma was present in only six of the nine cryostat sections of tissue selected for androgen receptor assay from the patients with prostatic cancer, but carcinoma was present in paraffin wax sections from all nine of these prostates.

ANDROGEN RECEPTOR CHARACTERISTICS
Two peaks of androgenic binding of \(^{3}H\)-mibolerone to prostatic cytosol were detected after isoelectric focusing (fig 1). The peak at pH 6.6 represents androgen receptor protein, and peak at pH 5.5 is sex hormone binding globulin.

![Isoelectric focusing profile of 5 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\)M \(^{3}H\)-mibolerone binding to prostatic cytosol, in the absence (••••) and presence (○○○○) of a coincubated 100-fold molar excess of unlabelled mibolerone. Peak at pH 6.6 represents androgen receptor protein, and peak at pH 5.5 is sex hormone binding globulin.](image1)

![Diffusion of androgen receptor into aqueous buffer from cryostat sections of prostatic carcinoma, determined by \(^{3}H\)-mibolerone binding. Most androgen receptor diffusion occurs in the first 60 minutes.](image2)

![Equilibration of \(^{3}H\)-mibolerone binding to androgen receptor in supernatants of cryostat sections of prostatic carcinoma. Equilibration occurs at 16–20 hours.](image3)

Table 1  Androgen receptor binding to 5 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\)\[^{3}H\]-mibolerone, in presence of steroid competitors at 5 \(\times\) 10\(^{-7}\)M concentrations, assayed by isoelectric focusing method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Competitor</th>
<th>[^{3}H]-mibolerone binding* (percent uncompeted value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mibolerone</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testosterone</td>
<td>33.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORG-2058</td>
<td>87.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progesterone</td>
<td>65.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diethylstilbestrol</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrocortisone</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In the absence of 1000-fold molar excess of ORG-2058.
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Fig 4 Scatchard analysis of affinity of binding of \(^{3}H\)-mibolerone to cytosol from cryostat sections of prostatic carcinoma. Straight line indicates single class of binding protein, the high affinity androgen receptor.

Table 2 Benign prostatic hyperplasia: androgen receptor concentrations (fmol/mg ± SEM) in retropubic and transurethral resection specimens†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>&quot;Crude&quot;</th>
<th>&quot;Corrected&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retropubic (n = 9)</td>
<td>7.6 (0.97)*</td>
<td>85.6 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transurethral (n = 9)</td>
<td>3.4 (0.68)*</td>
<td>123.8 (97.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*\(p < 0.01\).
†The effect of "correcting" and "crude" androgen receptor values for epithelial cellularity is shown.

described by Auf and Ghanadian.\(^{22}\) The second peak, at pH 5.5, represents sex hormone binding globulin.\(^{22,23}\)

DIFFUSION AND BINDING STUDIES

Fig 2 shows that most of the androgen receptor had diffused from the cryostat sections into buffer in the first hour, as is the case for oestrogen receptor\(^{20}\) and progesterone receptor.\(^{21}\) Once in the supernatant, androgen receptor bound relatively slowly to \(^{3}H\)-
mibolerone under our incubation conditions, equilibrating in 16–20 hours (fig 3).

**COMPETITIVE BINDING**

Table 1 shows that the binding of [3H]-mibolerone to prostate cytosol was displaced by mibolerone (fig 1) and testosterone, confirming the presence of high affinity androgen receptor protein. In the absence of a 1000-fold molar excess of ORG-2058 there was a small but clinically important degree of inhibition of [3H]-mibolerone binding to androgen receptor by progesterone. A 1000-fold molar excess of ORG-2058 did not displace [3H]-mibolerone binding to androgen receptor beyond that due to a 100-fold molar excess of ORG-2058.

Scatchard analysis (fig 4) produced a calculated reaction coefficient (Kd) for androgen binding of 1.0 nmol/l, indicating a high affinity reaction between the radioligand and androgen receptor.

“Crude” androgen receptor concentrations were significantly lower in the TURP than RPP samples, but there were no significant differences when androgen receptor concentrations were “corrected” for epithelial cellularity (table 2).

**ANDROGEN RECEPTORS IN PROSTATIC CARCINOMA**

In the six RPP samples containing prostatic adenocarcinoma the mean “crude” androgen receptor concentration was 151 (% range 14–867) fmol/mg cytosol protein. Androgen receptor concentration “corrected” for epithelial cellularity was 166.6, range 3.5–912.7 fmol/mg cytosol protein. The epithelial cellularity within prostatic adenocarcinomas was variable both within and between individual tumours (fig 5). “Correction” for epithelial cellularity did not change the ranking of androgen receptor concentration results in this small number of samples, but one tumour changed from being “androgen receptor negative” (androgen receptor of < 10 fmol/mg cytosol protein) to “androgen receptor positive” as a result of this “correction” (fig 6).

The mean protein concentration in prostatic cytosol preparations was 117.9 µg/100 µl, range 40.8–178.0 µg/100 µl).

**Discussion**

The main advantage of this cryostat section androgen receptor microassay is that it incorporates both a biochemical assay and histological assessment of the assayed tissue on near-facsimile sections; in conventional biochemical assays correlative histological examination, if any, is performed on tissue some distance from the assayed sample. This was reflected in the study of specimens with prostatic adenocarcinoma; only six of the nine samples judged to contain adenocarcinoma on the basis of macroscopic examination of the tissue alone had carcinoma present in the cryostat sections. Thus correct tumour sampling, tissue heterogeneity, and presence of diathermy or artefact can be assessed using this method.

Our assay uses the synthetic androgenic radioligand [3H]-mibolerone, which is not metabolised by the prostate, and which binds with high affinity to androgen receptors.21-25 Isoelectric focusing and treatment with dextran-coated charcoal are also required to separate the [3H]-mibolerone bound to androgen receptors from that bound to sex hormone binding globulin.22 As [3H]-mibolerone can bind to progesterone receptor (as suggested by our competitive binding studies) the incubation is performed in the presence of a 1000-fold molar excess of the synthetic (unlabelled) progestagen, ORG 2058; this binds specifically and with high affinity to progesterone receptor22,23 without blocking androgen receptor binding, and prevents overestimation of androgen receptors in the presence of progesterone receptors.
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Unlabelled triamcinolone acetonide may be substituted for ORG 2058 to inhibit [\textsuperscript{3}H]-mibolerone binding to both progesterone receptors and glucocorticoid receptor.\textsuperscript{35}

Scatchard analysis of [\textsuperscript{3}H]-mibolerone binding confirmed the presence of high affinity androgen receptors protein (K\textsubscript{d} 1 nmol/l), with good correlations between the Scatchard analysis and isoelectric focusing assays.

“Crude” androgen receptor concentrations were significantly lower in TURP than in RPP samples of benign prostatic hyperplasia, a difference which disappeared when the androgen receptor concentration was “corrected” for epithelial cellularity. This new observation may reflect lower androgen receptor concentrations in TURP samples caused by heat inactivation of androgen receptors during electrosurgery, as shown by Gustafsson et al with progesterone receptors in experimental electrosurgery of prostatic tissue.\textsuperscript{36} Tissue sampling may be a factor causing these differences as RPP samples were often from the periphery of the gland, whereas TURP samples were from periurethral tissue. The central and peripheral zones of the prostate have very different structures, development, and hormone sensitivities;\textsuperscript{37} the central zone responds more to maternal oestrogen stimulation in the uterus\textsuperscript{38} and to oestrogen in the mature gland\textsuperscript{39} than the peripheral zone, and prepubertal development is also greater in this zone.\textsuperscript{40} Thus the central zone, sampled during TURP, might be expected to contain lower androgen receptor concentrations than the peripheral zone. There is also more periglandular stroma in the central zone than in the periphery of the gland;\textsuperscript{32} this proportionally reduces the epithelial content of the central zone, thereby increasing the “corrected” androgen receptor concentrations to concentrations similar to those of the peripheral gland.

Benign prostatic hyperplasia affects the periurethral and central zone of the prostate; prostatic carcinoma develops in the androgen sensitive peripheral zone of the gland.\textsuperscript{37} In benign prostatic hyperplasia TURP samples and “peripheral” samples of even larger RPP specimens consist predominantly of hypertrophied central zone prostatic tissue, and should, in theory, have similar hormonal sensitivity and hormone receptor concentrations.

In prostatic carcinoma TURP may remove normal or hyperplastic central zone tissue and peripheral zone tissue from which the carcinoma develops, but it is impossible to differentiate between these zones histologically in cryostat sections.

We believe that our observations on TURP and RPP samples in benign prostatic hyperplasia result from heat inactivation of androgen receptors during electrosurgery (TURP), and we recommend that TURP specimens should not be used for the evaluation of androgen receptor concentrations in prostatic tissue. Upward “correction” of androgen receptor concentrations in TURP samples are probably an artefact resulting from zonal differences in the epithelial:stromal ratio in the prostate.

Our six confirmed prostatic adenocarcinomas showed a wide range of androgen receptor concentrations, probably resulting from a combination of real differences in androgen receptors between tumours and heat inactivation of androgen receptors during TURP. “Correction” for the variable epithelial cellularity did not affect the ranking of tumours with respect to androgen receptor concentrations, although one tumour became androgen receptor “positive”. The validity of “correcting” tumour androgen receptor concentrations for epithelial cellularity is questionable, however, as it depends on the unlikely assumption that androgen receptors are present in epithelial but not stromal cells. If this assumption is correct, or if androgen receptors are more concentrated in epithelial cells than in the stroma, then “corrected” androgen receptor concentrations may be more valuable than “crude” androgen receptor concentrations. Monoclonal antibodies to androgen receptors for immunolocalisation of androgen receptors in epithelial or stromal cells will be valuable in this context. Many more tumours must be assayed by this method, and the correlation between “corrected” androgen receptor values and response to endocrine manipulation in patients with prostatic adenocarcinoma must be evaluated before the validity of these “corrected” results and their clinical usefulness can be fully assessed. This type of assay may be of greater predictive value in determining the likely response to endocrine treatment than existing conventional biochemical androgen receptor assays.

This study was funded by the Special Trustees of the Former United Sheffield Hospitals and the Yorkshire Cancer Research Campaign, with contributions from ICI.
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