

The temporal arteritis/polymyalgia rheumatica syndrome is a relatively common disorder that is regularly stated to be of unknown aetiology. We respectfully submit that its likely actinic basis is supported by sound observations and deserves wider recognition.

JF O'BRIEN  
Pathology Laboratory,  
Wales Medical Centre, Sydney  
W REGAN  
Department of Dermatology,  
Repatriation General Hospital, Sydney  
EG CLEARY  
Department of Pathology,  
University of Adelaide, Australia

- 1 Wawryk SO, Ayberk H, Boyd AW, Rode J. Analysis of adhesion molecules in the immunopathogenesis of giant cell arteritis. *J Clin Pathol* 1991;44:497-501.
- 2 Hunder GG and Members of the American College of Rheumatology Subcommittee on classification of vasculitis. The American College of Rheumatology 1990 criteria for the classification of giant cell arteritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1990;33:1122-8.
- 3 Healey LA, Wilske KR. *The systemic manifestations of temporal arteritis*. New York: Grune and Stratton, 1978.
- 4 Mowat AG, Hazleman BL. Polymyalgia rheumatica—a clinical study with particular reference to arterial disease. *J Rheumatol* 1974;1:190-202.
- 5 Bengtsson B-A. Giant cell arteritis. *Current Opinion in Rheumatology* 1990;2:60-5.
- 6 Cid MC, Campo E, Ercilla G, et al. Immunohistochemical analysis of lymphoid and macrophage cell subsets and their immunologic activation markers in temporal arteritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1989;32:884-93.
- 7 O'Brien JP. A concept of diffuse actinic arteritis: the role of actinic damage to elastin in 'age change' and arteritis of the temporal artery and in polymyalgia rheumatica. *Br J Dermatol* 1978;98:1-13.
- 8 O'Brien JP. Destruction of elastic tissue (elastolysis) as a link between atherosclerosis and the temporal arteritis/polymyalgia rheumatica syndrome: observations on an actinic factor in vascular disease. *Pathol Biol* 1984;32:123-38.
- 9 O'Brien JP, Regan W. A study of elastic tissue and actinic radiation in "aging," temporal arteritis, polymyalgia rheumatica, and atherosclerosis: the actinic storm in the modern world. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 1991;24:765-76.

#### Dr Wawryk et al comment:

O'Brien et al make the interesting suggestion that giant cell arteritis represents an auto-immune response against actinically altered arterial elastic lamina. The authors correctly identify a close relation between macrophages and the internal elastic lamina, as illustrated in our paper. We consistently found that macrophages which express p150/95 and are found in close apposition to the internal elastic lamina strongly expressed ICAM-1 and HLA-DR. A granular pattern of staining for these markers was also seen along the elastic lamina in affected arteries, possibly representing the expression of these molecules on dendritic processes ramifying along the elastic lamina. The functional relation between macrophages showing this phenotype and the elastic lamina, however, and in particular actinically damaged elastic tissue, remains uncertain.

#### Blood and bone marrow cultures in enteric fever

Dr Farooqui and colleagues present data which support the conclusion that bone marrow culture gives a higher yield than

blood culture in patients with enteric fever.<sup>1</sup> Although we agree in general with their suggestion that "bone marrow culture could confirm a diagnosis of typhoid fever in patients whose blood cultures are negative," we wish to make some further observations.

There is a considerable body of published work which compares bone marrow culture with blood culture for the diagnosis of enteric fever to which Farooqui et al did not refer. Many of these studies were summarised at a workshop in 1984.<sup>2</sup> Most workers have concluded that bone marrow culture is superior to blood culture for the diagnosis of enteric fever, particularly in patients who have received antibiotics. None of these studies, however, used optimal blood culture techniques; most compared a single set, often containing a small volume of blood (2-3 ml), with bone marrow culture. In several studies, including that of Farooqui and colleagues, sodium polyanethol sulphate (liquoid) was not included in the culture broth, and cultures were only incubated for seven days. Liquoid has been shown to antagonise both the intrinsic bactericidal activity of blood and that of certain antibiotics,<sup>3</sup> while subculture of blood cultures after the seventh day of incubation may occasionally yield *Salmonella typhi*.<sup>4</sup> Farooqui et al mention the possible effect of antibiotics on blood cultures, but they present no data on the previous treatment of their patients.

Our own data, obtained during studies of the antibiotic treatment of typhoid in Kathmandu, Nepal, are shown in the table. On admission to the studies, three blood culture sets (5 ml blood in 50 ml brain heart infusion broth containing liquoid; Gibco UK) were collected at least 15 minutes apart. Bone marrow (0.5-1 ml) was collected into 20 ml of the same medium. Although the numbers are small, the results show that blood cultures may be positive when bone marrow is negative, and vice versa. Two of the three patients with positive bone marrow and negative blood cultures had received antibiotics (chloramphenicol and cotrimoxazole) within the preceding three days. In two blood culture positive cases at least one blood culture set was negative.

We believe that further studies of the many possible variables are necessary before it is known whether bone marrow culture is superior to blood culture for the diagnosis of enteric fever. At present, we regard the two techniques as complementary. We would therefore disagree with the approach suggested by Farooqui and colleagues—that is, that bone marrow should be cultured in suspected cases of enteric fever only when blood culture is negative after three to four days of incubation. To optimise the yield of bacteriological investigation, we suggested that, whenever possible, both blood and bone marrow should be cultured when patients with suspected enteric fever are admitted.

#### Results of paired blood and bone marrow culture from 30 patients with suspected enteric fever

Blood	Bone marrow	Number
Positive	Positive	12
Positive	Negative	2
Negative	Positive	3
Negative	Negative	13

Of positive cultures, 14 grew *S typhi* and three grew *S paratyphi A*.

The reason most often given for the failure to culture bone marrow is the invasive nature of the procedure.<sup>5</sup> Bone marrow aspiration with a fine bore needle, however, has been used successfully for the diagnosis of typhoid and is well tolerated.<sup>4</sup>

DAB DANCE  
JE RICHENS  
Department of Clinical Sciences,  
London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine,  
Keppel Street,  
London WC1E 7HT  
MHO  
Microbiology and Infectious Diseases,  
University of Calgary,  
1330 Hospital Drive NW,  
Calgary,  
Alberta,  
Canada T2N 4N1  
G ACHARYA  
B POKHREL  
NR TULADHAR  
Tribhuvan University Teaching Hospital,  
Kathmandu,  
Nepal

- 1 Farooqui BJ, Khurshid M, Ashfaq MK, Ata Khan M. Comparative yield of *Salmonella typhi* from blood and bone marrow cultures in patients with fever of unknown origin. *J Clin Pathol* 1991;44:258-9.
- 2 Edelman R, Levine MM. Summary of an international workshop on typhoid fever. *Rev Infect Dis* 1986;8:329-49.
- 3 Pierce G, Murray PR. Current controversies in the detection of septicemia. *Eur J Clin Microbiol* 1986;5:487-91.
- 4 West B, Richens JE, Howard PF. Evaluation in Papua New Guinea of a urine coagulation test and a Widal slide agglutination test for rapid diagnosis of typhoid fever. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 1989;83:715-7.
- 5 Duthie R, French GL. Comparison of methods for the diagnosis of typhoid fever. *J Clin Pathol* 1990;43:863-5.

#### Diagnosis of acute hepatitis B by qualitative assay of specific IgM antibody

Diment<sup>1</sup> disputes my conclusion that qualitative assay of high titre hepatitis B core IgM antibody (anti-HBc IgM) responses has a limited role in the diagnosis of acute hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) positive hepatitis.<sup>2</sup> He believes that the disappearance of anti-HBc IgM reactivity in a relatively insensitive assay occurs two months after the onset of acute hepatitis B<sup>1</sup> and is therefore manifest as soon as "e" antigen to "e" antibody seroconversion takes place.<sup>2</sup>

My observation of anti-HBc IgM persistence beyond "e" antigen "e" antibody seroconversion was considered to reflect use of a particularly sensitive assay. Studies of serial anti-HBc IgM responses in acutely infected patients, however, have not detected loss of antibody until four months, even when the assay used was sufficiently insensitive to give, virtually always, negative results with serum specimens from patients with chronic disease.<sup>3,4</sup> Though a relatively insensitive anti-HBc IgM assay may be preferable for diagnosis of acute hepatitis B, its use may be confounded by the low titres of antibody occasionally found early in acute hepatitis B.<sup>4,5</sup> Thus detection of "e" antigen "e" antibody seroconversion one to two months after onset remains the most certain method of confirming the diagnosis of HBsAg positive acute hepatitis B.

I agree partly with Dr Howell and colleagues.<sup>6</sup> A presumptive diagnosis of acute hepatitis B can be made by detection of a high titre of HBsAg in serum by reverse passive haemagglutination (provided false positive