Invasive breast carcinoma with granulomatous response and deposition of unusual amyloid
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Abstract
Aims: To report an unusual case of invasive breast ductal carcinoma associated with non-caseating epithelioid granuloma and unusual deposition of amyloid.

Methods: Formalin fixed, paraffin wax embedded tissue from breast and lymph nodes were stained with a variety of methods. Representative tissue fragments were sampled and fixed in 2.5% buffered glutaraldehyde, postfixed in 1% osmium tetroxide, dehydrated and embedded in Araldite. Thin sections were viewed under a Phillips 400T transmission electron microscope.

Results: Multinucleated giant Langhans’ cells were found in the granulomatus tissue in both breast carcinoma and metastatic axillary lymph node carcinoma. Electron microscopic examination showed “tubular” amyloid deposition intermingled with invasive carcinoma and granuloma. “Tubular amyloid” was characterised by a mesh of non-branching curving fibrils with hollow profiles. These tended to be located in the cell membranes.

Conclusion: The presence of an epithelioid granulomatous reaction and deposition of “tubular” amyloid in an invasive breast carcinoma could be related to an abnormal immunological response.

(J Clin Pathol 1992;45:885-888)

Granulomatous disease of the breast is uncommon as is the association between breast cancer and host granulomatous reaction.1 The presence of a solitary amyloid deposition within mammary tissue has rarely been described.2-5

We report a case of invasive breast ductal carcinoma showing non-caseating granulomas, associated with deposition of a peculiar amyloid type. As far as we know, these patterns, present both in the carcinoma and metastatic axillary lymph nodes, have not been reported before.

Case report
A 75 year old woman had a subareolar mass in her left breast. Mammograms showed the presence of a suspicious looking subareolar lump that was clinically associated with axillary lymphadenopathy. The patient underwent radical modified mastectomy. The breast tissue contained a 2.5 cm whitish gritty nodule. Positivity for oestrogen (14 fm/mg protein) and progesterone (14 fm/mg protein) receptors was detected using an enzyme immunoassay technique. There was no evidence of either localised or widespread infectious disease. All routine serological tests were negative for infectious disease. The patient was alive and well, without recurrence, six months after her mastectomy.

Methods
Sections of formalin fixed and paraffin wax embedded breast and lymph node tissues were stained according to the following methods: haematoxylin and eosin; periodic acid Schiff (PAS) with and without diastase digestion; alcian blue-PAS; von Gieson elastic fibre; Ziehl-Nielsen; and Congo red. An immunohistochemical investigation for L26 (Dako, 1 in 100), UHCL 1 (Dako, 1 in 100), x light chain (Dako, 1 in 20), A light chain (Dako, 1 in 200), anti-macrophage (Dako, 1 in 80), β2 microglobulin (Dako, 1 in 1000) and amyloid-P-component (Dako, 1 in 80) was performed using the peroxidase-antiperoxidase (PAP) technique. For transmission electron microscopy, representative tissue fragments were sampled and fixed in 2.5% buffered glutaraldehyde, postfixed in 1% osmium tetroxide, dehydrated, and embedded in Araldite. Thin sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and viewed under a Philips 400T transmission electron microscope.

Pathological findings
According to the WHO,6 the neoplasm was histologically classified as invasive ductal carcinoma (NOS) grade II. Light microscopical examination showed that the tumour cells were mainly arranged in cords and nests, with scanty formation of ductal structures and fibrotic stroma. Necrosis and stasis were absent. A diffuse granulomatous reaction with multinucleated giant cells was admixed with the invasive carcinoma (fig 1). The giant elements, exhibiting peripherally located nuclei, resembled Langhans’ giant cells. Asteroid or Schaumann bodies were not observed. Lymphocytic infiltration was also associated with the granulomas. Granulomatous giant cell reaction was also present in two out of 20 lymph nodes containing metastatic carcinoma and was associated with neoplastic cells. The non-metastatic axillary lymph node tissue lacked any granulomatous response. No acid fast bacilli or fungi were detected. Congo red
staining was positive; elastic fibres were unreactive. Immunohistochemically, anti-serum against amyloid P component showed diffuse positivity (fig 3; inset) in the stroma and was haphazardly intermixed between carcinomatous elements and the granulomatous reaction. Beta 2 microglobulin was negative; anti-macrophage reacted with histiocytes; and a polyclonality of lymphocytic infiltrate was shown.

Electron microscopical examination showed that the neoplastic cells were oval and plump with bullous cytoplasmic extensions that were frequently joined by attenuated desmosomes (fig 2). Nuclei showed deep clefts, contained finely dispersed chromatin, and one or two inconspicuous nucleoli. The cytoplasm contained numerous mitochondria, short strands of rough endoplasmic reticulum, scattered electron dense lysosomes and a few lipid droplets. No basal lamina was observed at the periphery of tumour cells. Giant cells showed irregular outlines and had abundant cytoplasm. Mitochondria were numerous and frequently contained large matriceal densities. Interestingly, the extracellular matrix focally
contained a mesh of non-branching, gently curving fibrils ranging from 10–13 nm in diameter, having a continuous beaded periodicity (fig 3). Cross sectioned fibrils looked as if they had hollow profiles and were closely juxtaposed at right angles to the cell membrane. No amorphous elastic tissue was observed. Collagen fibrils were detected in the fibrillar matrix.

Discussion
The presence of a granulomatous reaction with giant cells of Langhans’ type and associated with invasive carcinoma of the breast has been reported only by Oberman in 1987. In fact, previous reports have concentrated mostly on two different features: granulomas in axillary lymph nodes draining a breast carcinoma and stromal giant cells, predominantly of an osteoclast-like pattern, in mammary carcinoma.7–11 Conversely, the Langhans’ type giant cells seen in our case do not belong to either of these groups. Giant cells detected in our case also clearly differ from the stromal giant cells described by Rosen,7 and Martinelli,12 exhibiting hypercromatic nuclei and megakaryocytic features, respectively. Moreover, all the cases reported above except for Oberman’s did not describe a granulomatous stromal reaction.

The observation of a giant cell reaction in association with invasive carcinoma opens the question of whether the granulomas are induced by the neoplasm, or whether they should be considered in the context of systemic or local granulomatous disease. As far as the present case is concerned the latter possibility can be excluded.

It has been emphasised that granulomas like those here reported may represent a reaction to necrotic areas within the tumour. Although, microscopically, foci of necrosis were present in one case out of three reported by Oberman, necrosis was not present in our case.

Interestingly, our ultrastructural investigation showed an extracellular matrix much in fibrils consisting of variously arranged slender fibrils, 10–13 nm in diameter, characterised in cross-sections by hollow profiles. Fibrils of this nature correspond to the tubular amyloid recently described by Bourgeois et al13 in a kidney biopsy specimen and in medullary thyroid carcinoma. In both of these cases the amyloid deposits produced surprising reactions to the routine histochemical dyes (Congo red positive, thioflavin B negative) thus suggesting a distinctive chemical composition for these deposits. However, the precise nature of these deposits is not currently understood.

Unlike the rarely reported amyloid tumour or amyloid deposition in breast tissue14–15 showing amyloid exclusively around ducts and vessels along with foreign body giant cells, in the present case the tubular amyloid was scattered haphazardly. Moreover, this was positive both to Congo red stain and amyloid P component. The demonstration of amyloid P component in oxytalan fibres suggests that they are involved in elastogenesis.6–18 This would not be so in the case reported here because of the lack of cellular synthetic activity on electron microscopical examination as well as the association with Congo red positivity. Moreover, the oxytalan fibres have also been described in tissues which do not contain or produce elastin.19 There may therefore be an association between amyloid P component and tubular amyloid in the present case. This leads us to speculate whether specifically sensitised cytotoxic host T cells react against tumour cells. Consequently, tubular amyloid could be associated with an abnormal immunological response. Unfortunately, in Oberman’s series both electron microscopic investigation and
specific stains to detect amyloid were not carried out so this association could not be confirmed.

It is our intention to follow up this patient to appraise if this unusual host reaction can modify the prognosis of primitive carcinoma, or is only, as suggested by Oberman, a rare morphological variant of breast cancer.
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