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Abstract

**Aims:** To ascertain the present practices of Scottish microbiological laboratories for examining faecal samples for *Cryptosporidium* spp and to compare these with practices elsewhere.

**Method:** A questionnaire was circulated to all 35 Scottish NHS bacteriological laboratories, where human stool samples are subjected to routine microbiological examination, to determine current laboratory testing methods and selection criteria used to examine faecal specimens for *Cryptosporidium* spp.

**Results:** All laboratories responded, of which the first began testing in 1984 and the last in 1990. At 15 sites all diagnostic stool samples submitted are routinely examined for *Cryptosporidium* spp. Elsewhere, selection criteria, principally based on age, specific request, and foreign travel, are used. The age distribution of Scottish cases differed significantly from that of the PHLS study of 16 laboratories (p < 0.001), probably as a result of the selection criteria used. The phenol-auramine staining procedure is used by 19 laboratories, with modified Ziehl-Neelsen staining used by 14 laboratories; eight used a second, confirmatory method.

**Conclusions:** Currently applied selection criteria for examination of stools for *Cryptosporidium* in Scotland do not accord with published epidemiological data. Specimen selection based on age of patient and stool consistency may lead to cases of public health importance being missed. Nationally agreed criteria for testing of human samples based on epidemiological evidence are required.


*Cryptosporidium* was first identified as a human pathogen in 1976. Of all the members of this genus of coccidian protoza, *C. parvum* is believed to be responsible for most cases of clinical disease in both animals and humans. Its importance to public health has been illustrated by several recorded outbreaks of diarrhoeal illness in the United States and the United Kingdom and reinforced by the conclusions of the Group of Experts’ report on *Cryptosporidium in Water Supplies.*

In Scotland NHS microbiology laboratories voluntarily report individual isolations or detections of a range of micro-organisms, on a weekly basis, to the Communicable Diseases (Scotland) Unit (CD[S]U). *Cryptosporidium* was first routinely reported by Scottish laboratories in 1984 and on 1 January 1989 it became a notifiable infection (as distinct from a notifiable disease) in Scotland. From 1 January 1991 a nationwide active surveillance programme of all reported human cases of cryptosporidiosis has been in operation with the cooperation of microbiologists, consultants in public health medicine, environmental health officers and drinking water quality control staff. As part of this work a survey of laboratory testing methods and selection criteria used to examine human faecal samples for *Cryptosporidium* was performed.

**Methods**

A postal questionnaire was sent to a named medical microbiologist or head of laboratory at each of the 35 NHS general microbiology laboratories in Scotland. A single reminder was issued. Information was sought on which individual laboratories began testing stool samples for *Cryptosporidium*, current selection criteria, laboratory methods presently used, and any changes in criteria and methods since testing began. The records of CD[S]U were examined to determine the numbers of cases reported each month by individual laboratories between 1984 and 1990. Using Registrar-General (Scotland) population estimates, calculations were made of the populations served by individual or groupings of laboratories.

**Results**

Responses were received from 35 (100%) laboratories; all had been providing a routine microbiological service throughout the period 1984–90. The first laboratories began testing in 1984 with the entirety examining clinical stool samples for *Cryptosporidium* by 1990 (table 1).

The crude numbers of cases of cryptosporidiosis and rates per 100 000 total Scottish population show an apparent large increase in laboratory diagnosis. When the national denominator is corrected for the first month and year that each individual laboratory began testing, the trend in rates is less noticeable (table 2).

All human stool samples submitted are currently tested for *Cryptosporidium* at 15 sites. The remainder apply a variety of selection criteria, some using more than one; 18 routinely examining stools in response to a specific
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Table 1 Laboratories testing for Cryptosporidium according to year started

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>'84</th>
<th>'85</th>
<th>'86</th>
<th>'87</th>
<th>'88</th>
<th>'89</th>
<th>'90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Numbers (%) of laboratories</td>
<td>5 (14)</td>
<td>11 (31)</td>
<td>16 (46)</td>
<td>20 (57)</td>
<td>27 (77)</td>
<td>34 (97)</td>
<td>35 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Reported cases of cryptosporidiosis by year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>'84</th>
<th>'85</th>
<th>'86</th>
<th>'87</th>
<th>'88</th>
<th>'89</th>
<th>'90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cases (Nos)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate/100 000 (Scotland)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate/100 000 (UK)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Sources: Registrar General for Scotland mid-year population estimates, CD[S]U laboratory reporting.)

request, nine where foreign travel is mentioned, 12 only certain age groups, and 16 applying other means of selection—most commonly, stool consistency.

Where a specific age policy exists the commonest (four of 12) is less than 5 years, eight using aged less than 16. The age related testing policy applied by certain Scottish laboratories produced, for the period 1986–87, a case age distribution significantly different from that reported by the Public Health Laboratory Service Study Group (χ² 42-49; df; p ≤ 0.001) (assuming the underlying population distributions from which the samples were drawn to be similar) which examined all stool samples submitted to 16 laboratories for a range of enteric micro-organisms over the broadly comparable period (table 3). The most frequent “other” criterion applied is based on the consistency of the stool sample submitted—either non-solid, or watery, or liquid samples being examined.

Twelve laboratories had recently modified their testing regimens with five now examining all stools, three widening their inclusion criteria, two altering their testing methods and one changing from universal testing to specific age groups. Two laboratories do not routinely report all diagnosed cases of cryptosporidiosis to CD[S]U.

The phenol-auramine staining procedure is used by 19 (54%) laboratories, six of which then proceed to modified Ziehl-Neelsen (M Z-N) staining. Fourteen (40%) use the M Z-N method, one then using confirmatory monoclonal immunofluorescence and another phenol-auramine staining. Two sites did not supply sufficient details to categorise their techniques.

Discussion

This study illustrates the caution that must be exercised when interpreting laboratory reporting data. The total numbers of reports of Cryptosporidium reported from Scottish laboratories increased each year (during 1989 there was one possibly water related outbreak plus a large peak of cases related in time but not in geography), but this can be partially explained by expansion in the number of laboratories examining for, and hence the population at risk of being tested for, the parasite. Interestingly, England and Wales also noted an increase in 1989. Unfortunately not enough of the laboratories were able to supply records of total numbers of faecal samples examined for Cryptosporidium to allow further inquiry to be made to elucidate whether these increasing rates demonstrate a true trend in incidence of human infection with this parasite.

Current selection criteria applied in Scotland for the examination of diagnostic stool specimens for Cryptosporidium spp do not seem to agree with epidemiological evidence, as demonstrated by the PHLS Study Group. Under policies which applied in 1986–87, 55% of cases were identified in children aged less than 5 years, with a further 20% in those between 5 and 14 while the Study Group found 59% of cases in under 14 year olds. The Scottish age distribution is skewed by a third of laboratories applying an age based criterion. The PHLS survey was a prospective investigation looking at all ages with a standardised methodology; this present study was retrospective and unstandardised, of laboratories using variable selection criteria and methodology. Evidence from outbreaks of cryptosporidiosis suggest that waterborne incidents tend to be associated with a higher number of adult cases than occur in the typical widespread gastroenteritis affecting persons of all ages.

The current Scottish laboratory practice, where 34% of all laboratories base their selection for routine examination of stools on the parasite Cryptosporidium on an age cutoff which excludes adults, may mean that adult initial primary cases in a waterborne outbreak would be missed.

There is no published evidence to support basing selection of specimens for testing on stool consistency. In the initial clinical stages there may be watery, green or clear, profuse and offensive diarrhoea, with frequency varying from two to 20 motions per day. Subsequently frequent and intermittent looseness may persist for up to a further four weeks. During the infection the number of oocysts excreted may fluctuate with some formed and semi-formed stools containing many oocysts while fluid stools may only have a few. Excretion may continue for prolonged periods in some cases. Determination of risk factors is also difficult, even assuming sufficient information is entered on laboratory request forms. The PHLS Group found that 23% of their cases reported farm animal contact and a further 26% indicated the possibility of person to person spread; the remainder were of unknown source.

There was an association
with foreign travel in 12% of infections.

Various methods have been described for the detection and identification of oocysts in stool samples. In the mid-1980s studies showed that modified acid-fast staining was the most useful method available. Since then the use of immunological methods has developed and more recent studies suggest that indirect monoclonal antibody techniques have a 100% sensitivity and specificity, followed closely by the auramine fluorescence technique.\(^8\)\(^-\)\(^11\) Compared with these, the modified Ziehl-Neelsen stains are less satisfactory with, in one study, sensitivity and specificity of 41% and 52%, respectively.\(^12\) Those techniques in use in Scottish laboratories are generally similar to those used elsewhere.\(^7\)

It is important that anyone performing surveillance of infection based on routine laboratory reporting data is aware of the following influences on the information: (1) laboratory techniques used and their validity; (2) specimen selection criteria used by individual laboratories; (3) degree of completeness of reporting by laboratories to surveillance centre; (4) any changes to the above during the period under surveillance.

In the case of Cryptosporidium further studies are needed to ascertain the comparative sensitivity and specificity of the different diagnostic techniques. This study supports the findings of the Badenoch Group, that nationally agreed criteria for testing of human stool samples based on epidemiological data are required and that the reporting of all cases by laboratories should be encouraged.\(^1\) The former is being examined by a Cryptosporidium Working Group under the chairmanship of Dr C Roberts, while the latter has been addressed within Scotland by the introduction of the system of reportable infections.\(^4\)

This study would not have been possible without the long established cooperation between CD(S)U and the microbiology laboratories throughout Scotland. The assistance of all colleagues in completing yet another questionnaire is gratefully acknowledged. The instrument used was piloted initially by Dr C N Ramsay.