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Abstract

Aims—To evaluate the role of hepatocyte expression of leucocyte adhesion molecules and major histocompatibility complex (MHC) antigens in the pathogenesis of chronic viral hepatitis.

Methods—The expression of intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1), lymphocyte function associated antigen 3 (LFA-3), and MHC class I and II antigens on hepatocyte membrane in relation to the histological and biochemical activities was studied in patients with chronic B hepatitis, chronic persistent hepatitis (CPH) n = 23; chronic active hepatitis (CAH) n = 20; chronic D hepatitis (CAH) n = 6; and chronic non-A, non-B hepatitis (CPH n = 4, CAM n = 6). Six of the latter were hepatitis C virus antibody positive.

Results—In chronic B hepatitis ICAM-1 and MHC-I were expressed significantly more in patients with CAH than in those with CPH (p < 0.001), while the expression of LFA-3 and MHC-II showed no significant difference, irrespective of serum HBeAg or hepatitis B virus DNA. Similar findings were noted in non-A, non-B hepatitis. Regardless of the viral aetiology, patients with CAH had a significantly higher degree of ICAM-1 and MHC-I expression than LFA-3 (p < 0.001 v ICAM-1 and MHC-I, respectively) and MHC-II (p < 0.001 v ICAM-1 and MHC-I, respectively) expression. Those with CPH showed little or no difference in the expression of these four molecules. Furthermore, serum ALT values positively correlated with the hepatocyte expression of ICAM-1 (p < 0.001) and MHC-I (p < 0.001), but not LFA-3 (p > 0.05) and MHC-II (p > 0.05).

Conclusions—In chronic viral hepatitis hepatocyte expression of ICAM-1 and MHC-I might be important for immune-surveillance against virally infected hepatocytes, while the expression of LFA-3 and MHC-II does not seem to have a role in the pathogenesis of chronic viral hepatitis.

Methods

Fifty nine patients with chronic viral hepatitis confirmed histopathologically were studied. All had increased serum alanine aminotransferase values for more than six months before the histological examination, and drugs or alcohol misuse was excluded as a likely cause. Their detailed clinical and laboratory data are listed in the table. Forty three patients were hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) positive and antibody against hepatitis delta virus (anti-HDV) negative. HBV DNA was positive in 12 hepatitis Be antigen (HBeAg) positive patients with chronic persistent hepatitis (CPH). Six patients had chronic D hepatitis as they were HBsAg positive and had hepatitis delta antigen (HDAg) detectable in the liver. Ten patients were HBsAg negative and were presumed to have chronic non-A, non-B
Clinical and laboratory data of patients studied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Cases (n)</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>AST (IU/L) &lt; n 40</th>
<th>ALT (IU/L) &lt; n 40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chronic B hepatitis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBsAg positive CPH</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24 (2)</td>
<td>10:4</td>
<td>61 (5)</td>
<td>115 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBsAg positive CAH</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>27 (2)</td>
<td>13:3</td>
<td>128 (15)</td>
<td>268 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-HBe positive CAH</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33 (5)</td>
<td>4:0</td>
<td>142 (39)</td>
<td>303 (130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-HBe positive CPH</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36 (3)</td>
<td>8:1</td>
<td>31 (5)</td>
<td>46 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic D hepatitis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>35 (3)</td>
<td>6:0</td>
<td>131 (30)</td>
<td>261 (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-HBe positive CAH</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>29 (4)</td>
<td>2:2</td>
<td>41 (6)</td>
<td>55 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic non-A, non-B hepatitis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPH</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31 (3)</td>
<td>3:3</td>
<td>129 (34)</td>
<td>266 (72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CPH: Chronic persistent hepatitis; CAH: Chronic active hepatitis.

*Data are mean (SEM).

(NANB) hepatitis. Of these, six were sero-positive for antibodies against hepatitis C virus (anti-HCV).

Serum HBsAg, HBcAg, and anti-HDV were assayed using radioimmunoassay kits (Austin-II, HBe-RIA and anti-delta, Abbott Laboratories, Chicago, Illinois). Serum anti-HCV was assayed by a second generation enzyme immunoassay with synthetic peptides from both structural and non-structural regions of HCV (UBI HCV EIA, United Biochemical, New York). Serum HBV DNA was assayed by spot hybridisation with 32P-labelled cloned HBV-DNA, and hepatocyte expression of HDAg was studied by direct immunofluorescence using fluorescein isothiocyanate-labelled anti-delta, as reported before.18

Liver specimens were obtained by percutaneous needle biopsy with a Menghini needle. Fragments of specimens were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen cooled isopentane and stored at -70°C until use. Samples of the same biopsy specimens were also fixed in 10% formaldehyde and embedded in paraffin wax for routine histological diagnosis. Cryostat sections (5 μm) were dried overnight at room temperature and fixed in carbon tetrachloride at 4°C for 10 minutes, followed by extensive washing with phosphate buffered saline (pH 7-2) before staining. The expression of LFA-1, CD2, ICAM-1, LFA-3, MHC class I and class II antigens in liver was studied using a three-step immunoperoxidase-alkaline-phosphatase (APAAP) method. Briefly, sections were incubated for 45 minutes at room temperature with the following mouse monoclonal antibodies: Dako-CD11a (Dakopatts, working dilution 1 in 50) reacting with the α-chain of LFA-1; Dako-T11 (Dakopatts, working dilution 1 in 50) specific for CD2; antibodies against CD54 and CD58 (Immunotech, SA, working dilution 1 in 50) specific for ICAM-1 and LFA-3, respectively, Dako-HLA-ABC (Dakopatts, working dilution 1 in 50) specific for MHC class I antigens; and Dako-HLA-ABC (Dako; working dilution 1 in 50) specific for MHC class II antigens. After a wash in TRIS-buffered saline (TBS), sections were reincubated for 30 minutes with rabbit anti-mouse immunoglobulin (Dako; working dilution 1 in 20). After a further wash in TBS, APAAP immune complexes (Dako; working dilution 1 in 30) were applied to the sections for 30 minutes. The last two steps were repeated for 10 minutes each and finally the alkaline phosphatase reaction was developed using the hexazotised new fuchsin procedure. Endogenous alkaline phosphatase activity was blocked by adding levamisole to the substrate solution at a concentration of 1 mmol/l. Control tests consisted of omission of the primary antibody or substitution with non-immune mouse immunoglobulins (Dako) and incubation with alkaline phosphatase substrate alone.

The expression of ICAM-1, LFA-3, MHC class I and class II antigens on the hepatocyte membrane was scored on a scale of 0 to 3+: (0) undetectable, when they were present on the sinusoidal lining cells but absent on the hepatocyte membrane; (1+) positive staining on the hepatocyte membrane in only small clusters (<10%) of hepatocytes; (2+) positive staining on the hepatocyte membrane in 10–50% of hepatocytes; and (3+) positive staining on the hepatocyte membrane in more than half of the hepatocytes with honeycomb appearance.

Results were analysed using Wilcoxon’s non-parametric rank sum test and Spearman’s rank correlation test.

Results

LFA-1 was expressed on most of the inflammatory infiltrates as well as on the sinusoidal lining cells. Serial sections showed CD2 expression on the same cells positive for LFA-1. ICAM-1, LFA-3, MHC class I and class II antigens were expressed on the sinusoidal lining cells and on a variable proportion of mononuclear inflammatory cells throughout the portal areas and lobular parenchyma.

Among 43 patients with chronic type B hepatitis, the expression of ICAM-1 on the hepatocyte membrane was similar to that of MHC class I antigens. The degree of ICAM-1 expression was significantly higher than that of LFA-3 (p < 0·001) and MHC class II antigens (p < 0·001), as was the expression of MHC class I antigens (p < 0·001 v LFA-3 and MHC class II antigens, respectively). The expression of ICAM-1 and MHC class I antigens on the hepatocyte membrane correlated well with the site and the extent of the inflammatory infiltrates in liver tissue as well as the histological activity. Figure 1 shows that patients with CAH had a significantly higher degree of ICAM-1 and MHC class I antigen expression than those with CPH, irrespective of serum HBsAg or HBV-DNA. An example of ICAM-1 expression on hepatocyte membrane in patients with CPH + CAH is shown in fig 2. In contrast, the expression of LFA-1 and MHC class II antigens showed no significant difference between patients with CAH and those with CPH. Similar observations were seen in patients with chronic NANB hepatitis. In general, regardless of the viral aetiology, patients with CAH had a significantly higher degree of expression of ICAM-1 and MHC class I antigens than
MHC-II antigens is LFA-3, MHC-I and MHC-II on the hepatocyte membrane in chronic hepatitis type B, D, and non-A, non-B (NANB). Hepatocyte expression of ICAM-1, LFA-3, MHC-I and MHC-II antigens is semiquantitatively scored on a scale of 0 to 3+.

CPH: chronic persistent hepatitis; CAH: chronic active hepatitis.

that of LFA-3 (p < 0.001 vs ICAM-1 and MHC class I antigens, respectively) and MHC class II antigens (p < 0.001 vs ICAM-1 and MHC class I antigens, respectively), while patients with CPH had little or no difference in the expression of these four molecules.

Among a total of 59 controls with chronic viral hepatitis, ALT values correlated positively with the expression of ICAM-1 (p < 0.001) and MHC class I antigens (p < 0.001) on the hepatocyte membrane, but not with that of LFA-3 (p > 0.05) and MHC class II antigens (p > 0.05) (fig 3).

Discussion
The expression of ICAM-1 and MHC class I antigens, but not LFA-3 and MHC class II antigens, on the hepatocyte membrane correlated significantly with the histological and biochemical activities in chronic HBV infection (figs 1 and 3). These findings suggest that hepatocyte expression of ICAM-1 and MHC class I antigens might be important for immune surveillance against virus infected hepatocytes in chronic HBV infection, while hepatocyte expression of LFA-3 and MHC class II antigens does not seem to have a role in the pathogenesis of chronic B hepatitis. Similar findings were found in patients with chronic C and D hepatitis (figs 1 and 3). The pathogenesis of liver cell damage in chronic HCV and HDV infection remains unknown, but recent studies have shown that there was a prominent CD8 positive T lymphocyte infiltration in the area of liver cell necrosis in chronic C and D hepatitis,17,18 similar to those observed in chronic B hepatitis.23 The present findings thus suggest that an immunological mechanism might also be involved in the pathogenesis of chronic C and D hepatitis, though the possibility of the direct cytopathic effect of HCV and HDV still cannot be excluded.

Previous studies have shown enhanced expression of ICAM-119-22 as well as MHC class I antigens25-29 on the target structures in a number of inflammatory liver diseases. The mechanisms leading to their enhanced expression, however, remain to be elucidated. The present results indicated that hepatocyte expression of ICAM-1 and MHC class I antigens in chronic B hepatitis did not seem to be upmodulated by active HBV replication itself. Studies on cultured cells have shown that both ICAM-1 and MHC class I antigen expression is induced on many cell types in response to inflammatory lymphokines, such as interleukin-1, tumour necrosis factor and γ-interferon.30-34 The observation of an enhanced expression of ICAM-1 and MHC class I antigens simultaneously on the hepatocyte membrane at the site of necroinflammation and active cellular infiltration is thus consistent with the presence of inflammatory lymphokines at such sites, and with the induction of ICAM-1 and MHC class I antigens by such mediators. It is therefore suggested that the release of the inflammatory lymphokines by virus sensitised T lymphocytes around the area of virally infected hepatocytes will enhance hepatocyte expression of ICAM-1 and MHC class I antigens. The upmodulated expression of ICAM-1 on the hepatocyte membrane might facilitate movement of, and possibly "guiding", more T lymphocytes toward virally infected hepatocytes, but it might also serve as an important initiator for hepatocyte/T lymphocyte interaction. The latter then will maintain a broad area of approximation between cells so that MHC class I antigen-restricted, T lymphocyte mediated killing of infected hepatocytes can occur.

Coexpression of ICAM-1 and MHC class II antigens by hepatocytes, which correlated positively with the site and extent of the inflammatory infiltrates, has recently been reported in chronic B hepatitis by Volpes et al.28 In keeping with many previous observations, however,24,27-29 our study showed that hepatocyte expression of MHC class II antigens was negligible, if any, regardless of liver inflammatory activity in chronic HBV infection. As many inflammatory lymphokines, including interferon, are potent inducers for cell surface expression of both class I and class II MHC antigens on many cell types,35-37 it remains unclear why the hepatocyte expression of MHC class II antigens is minimal at
The expression of ICAM-1 on the hepatocyte membrane is (A) negative or (B) positive only in small clusters of hepatocytes (large arrow heads). Note strong staining of ICAM-1 on the sinusoidal lining cells (small arrows). In chronic active hepatitis, in addition to the staining on the sinusoidal lining cells (small arrows), the expression of ICAM-1 on the hepatocyte membrane is (C) prominent in the perportal hepatocytes (large arrow heads) or (D) diffusely over the whole liver parenchyma with honeycomb appearance. P indicates portal tract.

Figure 3 Correlation of serum ALT values with hepatocyte membrane expression of ICAM-1, LFA-3, MHC-I and MHC-II in chronic viral hepatitis. Hepatocyte expression of ICAM-1, LFA-3, MHC-I and MHC-II antigens is semiquantitatively scored on a scale of 0 to 3+. rs indicates correlation coefficient using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient.

In conclusion, in chronic viral hepatitis ICAM-1 and MHC class I antigens are
coexpressed on the hepatocyte membrane, which correlated significantly with the biochemical and histological results. These data indicated the significance of hepatocyte expression of ICAM-1 and MHC class I antigen in immune surveillance against virally infected hepatocytes. Hepatocyte expression of LFA-3 and MHC class II antigens correlated little or not at all with inflammatory activity in liver, and thus did not seem to be involved in the pathogenesis of chronic viral hepatitis.
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brief descriptions of geographical distribution, morphology, and life cycle.

Section 2 contains over 250 colour photographs covering a wide range of parasite morphology, pathology (including stained sections), and clinical pictures with captions on the facing page. Although the overall quality of the photographs is excellent, I was disappointed to see a lack of size markers on all but a handful. In the clinical laboratory size is of vital importance for identifying ova and cysts.

The third section contains black and white electron micrographs, radiographs, and other illustrations, separated from the colour section for reasons of economy. This does not detract from the atlas in any way, and indeed some of the scanning electron microscopic images are quite breathtaking. I would, however, like to have seen some indication of size on the photographs.

This atlas has a spacious and orderly feel to it, and I am impressed by the overall quality. Clinical microbiologists, particularly those in training, will find it useful.

AJ HAY


This sumptuously produced atlas is subdivided into two main sections with three chapters devoted to "clinical aspects" and seven chapters allocated to "pathology". This is convenient in a multi-author text, but it has resulted in a clinical section which is pathologically naive and a pathological section impoverished by the paucity of clinicopathological correlation.

The wide-ranging introductory chapter, which covers epidemiology, genetics, molecular biology, early diagnosis, and screening for ovarian cancer, provides a useful overview of the subject, although the emphasis placed on ultrasonography is excessive. The two ensuing chapters, both rather lengthy and repetitive, are devoted to management of ovarian carcinoma and non-epithelial tumours, respectively.

The pathology section comprises four chapters devoted to primary epithelial neoplasms including a whole chapter on the interesting but controversial subject of ovarian intraepithelial neoplasia. Other chapters deal with sex cord-stromal tumours, germ cell tumours, and metastatic tumours. This section is well illustrated with adequate photomicrographs and good photographs of excellent standard. However, many entities are skimpily and uncritically described with no attempt to evaluate the taxonomic over-enthusiasm displayed by recent authors in this field. On the other hand, many rare but well established entities are not included. An even more serious drawback for a book aimed at the practising histopathologist is the lack of consideration given to possible differential diagnoses.

In conclusion, this new atlas is unlikely to fulfil the need for a comprehensive, authoritative, and up to date reference text on ovarian neoplasms. It cannot be recommended as a bench book for the reporting room.
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