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Abstract
Two cases of combined goblet cell carcinoid and mucinous cystadenoma occurring in the appendix are reported. The histogenesis of the goblet cell carcinoid remains one of its most controversial aspects and the occurrence of both of these relatively uncommon tumours in the same organ may lend support to the unitary stem cell hypothesis on the origin of this tumour. Alternatively, this occurrence may represent an example of the adenoma/carcinoma sequence.
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Case reports
CASE ONE
An adherent pelvic appendix was resected with difficulty from a 54 year old woman admitted for an interval appendicectomy, two months after an attack of appendicitis. The appendix measured 60 x 15 mm and was irregular, distorted and showed serosal fibrosis. On sectioning, the tip of the appendix was distended and a mucus containing diverticulum penetrating the muscular wall of the appendix was identified.

CASE TWO
A 64 year old woman was admitted with a four month history of a dull ache in the right iliac fossa which had become increasingly severe over the last week. Eight months earlier, the patient had been admitted with a similar episode of pain which had been treated conservatively. The patient underwent appendicectomy. The resected appendix measured 65 x 12 mm and had a distended, fibrotic tip which contained two small diverticulae on sectioning.

Pathology
Both appendices were of very similar appearance on histology. The diverticulae noted were produced on the basis of a mucinous cystadenoma. The lumen was focally dilatated and lined by mucus secreting columnar epithelium. The epithelium was mainly flattened with focal papillary areas. Cellular crowding with pseudo-stratification and mild to moderate atypia were noted on cytology (fig 1). The appendiceal walls were also widely infiltrated by nests, clumps and rosettes of tumour cells with features resembling those of a goblet cell carcinoid (fig 2). The tumour cells were uniform, distended with mucus and had crescentic nuclei arranged around the periphery of the tumour nests. There was little pleomorphism and mitotic activity was absent. Moderate numbers of Paneth cells, both singly and as part of the tumour nests, were present in the second case but were scarce in the first. A Gremilis stain for agrophyilia performed in case 1 revealed numerous positive cells, occurring both singly and in the periphery of small tumour trabeculae but not in the larger tumour nests. Only scattered single positive cells were identified in the second case. The tumour nests in both cases appeared to arise from the basiglandular region of the intestinal crypts, in close proximity.

Figure 1 Mucinous cystadenoma of the appendix showing cystic dilatation of the lumen lined by mucus secreting epithelium (haematoxylin and eosin, × 100) (inset: detail, × 360).
endocrine/exocrine cell termed an ampicrine cell, hence the ampicrine cell carcinoma. The striking resemblance of the tumour nests to intestinal crypts prompted Isaacson to postulate an origin from lysozyme producing cells normally present in intestinal crypts.

Mucinous cystadenoma is the most common cause of the so-called mucocele of the appendix. In this condition there is focal cystic dilatation of the appendix which is lined by columnar/cuboidal, mucus secreting epithelium arranged in variable patterns, ranging from well developed papillary formations projecting into the lumen to a single layer of flat cuboidal cells. Mucus filled diverticulae of the appendiceal wall are commonly associated with this condition because of increased intraluminal pressure. It is now widely accepted that the mucinous cystadenoma is the appendiceal counterpart of villous adenomas occurring elsewhere in the intestine, differing from the latter only because they are restricted to the appendix.

Despite wide interest, the histogenesis of the goblet cell carcinoid remains controversial, hence the importance of this report. If this tumour is a true member of the carcinoid family, as suggested by Subbuswamy et al, then its co-existence with a mucinous cystadenoma, itself an uncommon epithelial appendiceal neoplasm, would seem to support the unitary stem cell hypothesis. If this tumour, however, is a carcinoma of crypt cell origin rather than a carcinoid, as claimed by Isaacson, then this combination may represent an example of the adenoma/carcinoma sequence, a widely recognised and accepted concept. Furthermore, the coincidental occurrence of these two neoplasms cannot be entirely ruled out. Further reports of similar occurrences may explain this unusual association.

Discussion
In 1969 Gagne et al described an appendiceal tumour with features intermediate between those of a carcinoid and those of an adenocarcinoma. Subbuswamy et al later suggested the name "goblet cell carcinoid" for this tumour in view of its growth pattern and relatively good prognosis, which resembled that of carcinoids more than adenocarcinomas, and because the principle cell type in the tumour closely resembled the goblet cells of the intestinal tract. Since then, this tumour has been described by a wide variety of names including mucinous carcinoid, adenocarcinoid, ampicrine cell carcinoma, and crypt cell carcinoma. This broad nomenclature reflects the identification of goblet cells, Paneth cells and enterochromaffin cells within this tumour, the presence of which has given rise to considerable discussion regarding its histogenesis. As a result, several hypotheses have been proposed. Supporters of the unitary hypothesis suggest that the tumour derives from a single neoplastic intestinal cell, albeit with divergent differentiation. A second hypothesis suggests that the tumour is the result of the simultaneous, integrated, neoplastic proliferation of several histogenetically different elements. Other authors suggest that it originates from a combined mucinous cystadenoma. The walls and serosal surfaces of both appendices contained pools of extravasated mucin, in which tumour cells were identified.
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