Mycoplasma fermentans, but not M. penetrans, detected by PCR assays in synovium from patients with rheumatoid arthritis and other rheumatic disorders
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Abstract

Background—Mycoplasmas, especially Mycoplasma fermentans, were suggested more than 20 years ago as a possible cause of rheumatoid arthritis but this hypothesis was never substantiated. In view of the superior sensitivity of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay over culture, the aim was to use this method to seek M. fermentans and M. penetrans in synovial samples from patients with various arthritides.

Methods—Synovial fluid samples (n = 154) and synovial biopsy specimens (n = 20) from 133 patients with various rheumatic disorders were stored at −80°C for between one and 40 months. Aliquots (500 µl) of the synovial fluid samples were centrifuged and the deposit, and also the synovial biopsy specimens (approximately 1 g) were placed in lysis buffer with protease K for DNA extraction. The DNA was tested by using a semi-nested PCR assay for M. fermentans and a single-round PCR for M. penetrans.

Results—M. fermentans was detected in the joints of eight (21%) of 38 patients with rheumatoid arthritis, two (20%) of 10 patients with spondyloarthropathy with peripheral arthritis, one (20%) of five patients with psoriatic arthritis, and four (13%) of 31 patients with unclassified arthritis. M. fermentans was not found in the joints of the seven patients with reactive arthritis, the 29 with osteoarthritis or post-traumatic hydralthrosis, the nine with gouty arthritis, nor the four with chronic juvenile arthritis. M. penetrans was not detected in any sample.

Conclusions—These findings show that the presence of M. fermentans in the joint is associated with inflammatory rheumatic disorders of unknown cause, including rheumatoid arthritis. However, whether this organism triggers or perpetuates disease or behaves as a passenger remains conjectural.
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Rheumatoid arthritis is a chronic destructive polyarthritis of unknown cause. There are several manifestations of autoimmunity in rheumatoid arthritis and the possible role of viruses or slow growing bacteria in triggering the disease has been discussed.12 Mycoplasmas are a cause of acute and chronic arthritis in many animal species1 and, therefore, should at least be considered as candidates for causing human disease. Mycoplasma fermentans was suggested more than 20 years ago as a cause of rheumatoid arthritis on the basis of isolation from the synovial fluid of a few patients with this condition.2 Furthermore, Williams et al3 showed that migration of leucocytes from two thirds of patients with rheumatoid arthritis was inhibited by membrane fragments of M. fermentans. However, although other authors4–6 have isolated M. fermentans from a small proportion of patients with rheumatoid arthritis, there have been many attempts which have failed.7 In addition, M. fermentans seemed an unlikely candidate because it was considered at the time to be only a rare isolate from the genitourinary tract.8 More recently, by use of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technology, this mycoplasma has been found in the throats of more than 20%, the peripheral blood leucocytes of about 10% and the urine of about 5% of both HIV positive and HIV negative patients.9 In view of this it seemed worthwhile reevaluating the possible presence of M. fermentans in the joints of patients with rheumatoid arthritis using the PCR technique. For comparison, and because this mycoplasma has also been isolated from a few patients with other rheumatic disorders,10 we examined synovial samples from patients with other arthritic conditions. As other mycoplasmas may be involved, it seemed of value to also seek M. penetrans, the most recent of the mycoplasmas of human origin to be recognised. It was isolated from urine samples of patients with AIDS,11 but its preferred site of localisation and significance are unknown.

Methods

SAMPLES AND PATIENTS

From May 1992 to August 1995, 154 synovial fluid and 20 synovial biopsy specimens were collected in the Rheumatology Department of the Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Bordeaux. These specimens were from patients in nine clinical categories, as follows: 38 synovial fluid samples from 28 patients and 10 synovial biopsy specimens from 10 patients with...
Table 1  Frequency of M fermentans detection in the different disease groups and comparability of the groups in respect of age and sample storage duration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>RA (0)</th>
<th>ReA (0)</th>
<th>SPA (0)</th>
<th>PsA (0)</th>
<th>UIA (0)</th>
<th>CJA (0)</th>
<th>OA + HA (0)</th>
<th>GA (0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 (15)</td>
<td>10 (5)</td>
<td>39 (19)</td>
<td>48 (17)</td>
<td>52 (17)</td>
<td>19 (12)</td>
<td>31 (4)</td>
<td>4 (12)</td>
<td>9 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62 (15)</td>
<td>52 (12)</td>
<td>39 (23)</td>
<td>48 (23)</td>
<td>23 (17)</td>
<td>31 (12)</td>
<td>11 (5)</td>
<td>4 (12)</td>
<td>9 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 (15)</td>
<td>21 (12)</td>
<td>18 (15)</td>
<td>24 (16)</td>
<td>21 (13)</td>
<td>11 (11)</td>
<td>11 (4)</td>
<td>12 (11)</td>
<td>12 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 (13)</td>
<td>10 (12)</td>
<td>18 (15)</td>
<td>24 (16)</td>
<td>17 (12)</td>
<td>11 (11)</td>
<td>17 (12)</td>
<td>12 (11)</td>
<td>12 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 (21)</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
<td>3 (20)</td>
<td>1 (20)</td>
<td>4 (13)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RA = rheumatoid arthritis; ReA = reactive arthritis; SPA = spondyloarthropathy with peripheral arthritis; PsA = psoriatic arthritis; UIA = unclassified inflammatory arthritis; CJA = chronic juvenile arthritis; OA = osteoarthritis; HA = post-traumatic hyaluronidase; GA = gouty arthritis.

**Detection of M fermentans, but not M penetrans, by PCR in patients with various arthritides**

rheumatoid arthritis, classified according to the American College of Rheumatology (ARA) criteria; 11 synovial fluid samples from seven patients with reactive arthritis, defined as an arthritis following a genital non-gonococcal infection or an enteric infection; 25 synovial fluid samples from 10 patients with spondyloarthropathy with peripheral arthritis, classified according to the European Spondyloarthropathy Study Group (ESSG) criteria; five synovial fluid samples from five patients with psoriatic arthritis; 39 synovial fluid samples from 31 patients with unclassified arthritis; six synovial fluid samples from four patients with chronic juvenile arthritis (two of whom were B27 positive); 17 synovial fluid samples from 16 patients and 10 synovial biopsy specimens from 10 patients with osteoarthritis; three synovial fluid samples from three patients with post-traumatic hyaluronidase; and 10 synovial fluid samples from nine patients with gouty arthritis. These patients' data are summarised in table 1. All of the samples were stored at −80°C for between one and 40 months.

**PROCESSING OF SAMPLES**

After thawing, a 500 µl aliquot of each of the synovial fluid samples was diluted with an equal volume of phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and centrifuged at 13 000 × g for 15 minutes. The DNA was then extracted from the pellet according to a standard protocol with the following modifications. The synovial fluid sample pellet was resuspended in lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, 1 mM EDTA, 1% sodium dodecyl sulphate, and 100 µg/ml proteinase K (Sigma, Poole, Dorset, UK)). A negative control (lysis buffer only) was included with each group of five specimens and all tubes were incubated at 37°C for two hours instead of one. The synovial biopsy specimens (approximately 1 g) were placed directly in the lysis buffer, with a double concentration of proteinase K and incubated at 37°C overnight. The remainder of the extraction was according to the standard protocol and the final DNA pellet was resuspended in 100 µl distilled water.

**PCR ASSAYS**

An aliquot (5 µl) of each DNA preparation was used in the PCR assay for M fermentans. The semi-nested procedure described previously was used with the following modifications. The thermal cycling profile for each round of amplification comprised 35 cycles at 95°C for 30 seconds (60 seconds in the first cycle), 55°C for 30 seconds and 72°C for 60 seconds (increased to 10 minutes for the final cycle). The product from the first round (1 µl) was added to 49 µl fresh PCR mixture for the second round of amplification, using the same cycle profile as above. An aliquot (10 µl) of the second round product was analysed on a 2% agarose gel. Positive samples, indicated by a 104 base pair product, were confirmed by Southern blot analysis using an internal oligonucleotide RW005 (GGTTATCTGATTCTAAATGCCT) labelled with digoxigenin (Boehringer) as a probe. Gels of all of the samples from patients with osteoarthritis, post-traumatic and gouty arthritis that were negative were also blotted for confirmation of negativity.

For M penetrans, the set of primers described by Grau et al was used. An aliquot (10 µl) of each DNA preparation was added to 40 µl of the reaction mixture (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.3, 50 mM/l potassium chloride, 2 mM/l magnesium chloride, 0.1% gelatin, 200 mM/l of each deoxynucleotide triphosphate, 0.2 µmol/l of each primer, and 1.25 units Taq polymerase). The thermal cycling profile of amplification comprised 35 cycles at 95°C for 60 seconds (120 seconds in the first cycle), 55°C for 60 seconds, and 72°C for 60 seconds (increased to 10 minutes for the final cycle). An aliquot of 10 µl of the second round product was analysed on a 2% agarose gel.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Fischer's exact test was used to compare proportion and unpaired Student's t test for mean comparisons.

**RESULTS**

**MYCOPLASMA DETECTION AND DISEASE ASSOCIATION**

M penetrans was not detected in any sample, even though the assay was sensitive down to 10−15 g DNA. Conversely, M fermentans was detected in 15 specimens, each from a different patient, all of whom had an inflammatory rheumatic disorder (table 1). Thus, synovial fluid samples from four (14%) of 28 patients with rheumatoid arthritis were positive, as were synovial biopsy specimens from four (40%) of 10 patients with rheumatoid arthritis, so that, overall, the mycoplasma was detected in the joints of eight (21%) of 38 patients with rheumatoid arthritis. In addition, M fermentans was detected in synovial fluid samples from two
Table 2  Comparison of M fermentans positive and negative patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>SPA</th>
<th>UIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M fermentans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean (SD)</td>
<td>61 (12)</td>
<td>62 (16)</td>
<td>57 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>36–74</td>
<td>23–75</td>
<td>47–67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex ratio</td>
<td>M/F 2/6</td>
<td>9/21</td>
<td>2/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration (years)</td>
<td>mean (SD)</td>
<td>6 (3)</td>
<td>7 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>1–10</td>
<td>1–25</td>
<td>3–34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR (mm/hour)</td>
<td>mean (SD)</td>
<td>65 (9)</td>
<td>50 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>57–78</td>
<td>12–137</td>
<td>13–124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synovial cells &lt;1000/mm³</td>
<td>mean (SD)</td>
<td>23 (18)</td>
<td>18 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>12–50</td>
<td>4.8–55</td>
<td>1.6–8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF+</td>
<td>n 4/6</td>
<td>19/29</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANA+</td>
<td>n 2/7</td>
<td>11/26</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B27+</td>
<td>n 0/2</td>
<td>2/17</td>
<td>0/2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ESR = erythrocyte sedimentation rate; RF = rheumatoid factor; ANA = antinuclear antibodies.

(20%) of 10 patients with spondyloarthropathy with peripheral arthritis, one (20%) of five patients with psoriatic arthritis and four (13%) of 31 patients with unclassified arthritis. In contrast, none of the synovial fluid samples of the four patients with chronic juvenile arthritis and the seven patients with reactive arthritis, and none of the 40 specimens from the 38 patients with non-inflammatory arthropathies and crystal associated synovitis—that is post-traumatic effusion, osteoarthritis and gouty arthritis, was positive. Thus, *M fermentans* was detected significantly more often in the joints of patients with inflammatory rheumatic diseases than in those of patients with non-inflammatory arthropathies (15/95 v 0/38, p < 0.01, odds ratio = 12). This was also the case when patients with rheumatoid arthritis were compared with patients with non-inflammatory arthropathies (8/38 v 0/38, p < 0.01, odds ratio = 17).

FEATURES OF *M fermentans* POSITIVE PATIENTS

The patients with rheumatoid arthritis, two men and six women, had classic disease that was rheumatoid factor positive in four of the six in whom the test was undertaken. The two patients with spondyloarthropathy were both B27 negative men; one had a history of ankylosing spondylitis which had been in complete remission for a considerable time when, at the age of 67 years, he developed arthritis of both knees associated with ankle and heel pain. The other man, who was 47 years old, had a three year history of successive bouts of arthritis of one or both knees associated with chest and dorso-lumbar pain and pseudo-sciatica which responded to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. At the time synovial fluid was collected for this study, his cell count was 1600/mm³, but had been up to 5000/mm³ several times before. The patient with psoriatic arthritis had a long history of psoriatic dermatitis associated with attacks of synovitis involving sometimes one knee, one elbow or both shoulders. Although his arthritis has been classified as psoriatic, it could also be classified as peripheral spondyloarthropathy as it fulfills the ESSG criteria. The patients with unclassified inflammatory arthritis comprised a B27 positive woman with a five year history of symmetrical non-erosive polyarthritis associated with psoriasis, who also had a high titre of antinuclear antibodies (1:1000); a 62 year old B27 negative man who had a 10 year history of chronic non-erosive oligoarthritis with low levels of rheumatoid factor and no antinuclear antibodies; a 49 year old man who had a recent and recurrent monoarthritis of the knee, without any other feature; a 67 year old man who had, in the 1970s, acute episodes of monoarthritis of knees and ankles regarded as gout when he presented in 1986 with polyarthritis. In 1993, this patient developed a chronic symmetrical polyarthritis. The synovial fluid, collected several times, never contained crystals and a synovial biopsy specimen showed a non-specific synovitis with a lymphocytic infiltrate and no crystal deposits. Therefore, the diagnosis of polyarticular gout could be excluded. Moreover, his symmetrical polyarthritis was associated with a significant titre of antinuclear antibodies (1:250) and a low level of anti-DNA antibody (7.4 IU). He had no rheumatoid factor and was B27 negative.

As shown in table 2, sex ratios, erythrocyte sedimentation rate values, synovial cell counts, rheumatoid factor, antinuclear antibodies, and B27 haplotype were similar for *M fermentans* positive and negative patients in each group.

**Discussion**

In view of the specificity and sensitivity of the PCR¹ and the numerous negative controls used in this study, we conclude that a positive result on agarose gel, confirmed by Southern blotting, is indicative of *M fermentans* in the joint. It was located in this site in about 20% of patients with inflammatory rheumatic diseases, including rheumatoid arthritis, although *M fermentans* positive patients were not different from *M fermentans* negative patients within a clinical category. The mycoplasma was never detected in any sample from patients with reactive arthritis, chronic juvenile arthritis, osteoarthritis, post-traumatic hyaluronidase, or gouty arthritis. Furthermore, we could not detect *M penetrans* in any sample, whatever the disease, although it could be argued that the sensitivity of the two PCR assays used in our study is not exactly the same. A semi-nested PCR was used for *M fermentans* and a single-round PCR for *M penetrans*, and the set of primers for *M fermentans* was chosen in a repetitive DNA sequence. It has been shown that different strains of *M fermentans* (incogni-
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M. fermentans, peripheral with accumulation of rheumatic diseases? By means of the PCR assay, M fermentans has been found quite frequently in the throat and also associated with peripheral blood mononuclear cells of both HIV positive homosexual patients and HIV negative individuals attending a sexually transmitted diseases clinic. If this finding extends to the general population, it is clear that the opportunity for spread of the mycoplasma to joints is enhanced for a large number of people, especially in the case of inflammatory arthritides because of the migration to the joint of inflammatory cells from the blood. However, if inflammatory cells carry M fermentans, the expectation would be to find the organism in the joints of patients with gouty arthritis, which is also characterised by a neutrophil accumulation in the joint during the acute phase. The organism was not found in such patients so that its presence in the joints of other patients with an inflammatory arthritis may not be entirely secondary and a consequence of the disease. However, the immune dysfunction which characterises the rheumatic diseases and the corticosteroids often prescribed could further promote dissemination to the joints of organisms in the blood or those normally part of the resident mucosal flora. This is possible, but opportunistic infections are not a common feature of either rheumatoid arthritis or spondyloarthropathies. Moreover, the disease in two patients was at an early stage when the synovial fluid was collected and they were unlikely to have been immunosuppressed, at least by the treatment.

The second possible explanation for the findings is that M fermentans in the joint behaves as a primary trigger for the inflammatory rheumatic diseases or perpetuates them, or both. In this regard, it is interesting that the arthritogenicity of this mycoplasma has been demonstrated experimentally in rabbits. If it does behave as a trigger, why was it not detected more often in this study? Although the PCR assay is more sensitive than culture, it is possible that its sensitivity in tests on clinical samples is reduced, as shown for M pneumoniae in respiratory secretions. Moreover, it has been shown in experimentally induced mycoplasmal arthritis that organisms could be detected only in the early and not the late stage of disease, and the majority of specimens were taken from patients during the chronic phase. Alternatively, a triggering organism could reside mainly in another site and disseminate occasionally to the joint. Finally, different micro-organisms, and, indeed, mycoplasmas other than M fermentans, could have arthritogenic properties and be involved in the same disease, reminiscent of the polymicrobial triggering of reactive arthritis. In this regard, it is interesting to note that Chlamydia trachomatis, which is known to be involved in reactive arthritis, has recently been reported to exist in synovial specimens from 24% of patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. It is also noteworthy that M genitalium has been detected by PCR technology in the joints of two of 13 patients with arthritis, one with sexually acquired reactive arthritis and the other with seronegative rheumatoid arthritis. The role of this and other mycoplasmas in comparison with M fermentans needs to be explored.

The fact that M fermentans was not related to a single disease, but found in rheumatoid arthritis, spondyloarthropathies and unclassified arthritis could mean that it acts as a trigger for all of them and that differences in the genetic background associated with each disease, and the related pathway of the immune response, explain the different clinical features. Moreover, this could explain the heterogeneity of some of these diseases, such as unclassified arthritis or psoriatic arthritis, and the frequency of overlap syndromes.

It may seem surprising to have found M fermentans in synovial specimens from patients with different inflammatory rheumatic diseases which are not usually considered to have an infectious aetiology, but not in specimens from patients with reactive arthritis, a disease which is clearly related to infectious disorders. However, neither M fermentans nor M penetrans have been implicated in non-gonococcal urethritis and they are not considered to cause diarrhoea. Three of our patients had diarrhoea initially, and Veronica was isolated from a stool culture of one of them. The four other patients had sexually acquired reactive arthritis and Ureaplasma urealyticum was isolated from the genital tract of two of them. In this regard, it is interesting that the only mycoplasmas which have been suspected to cause reactive arthritis are U urealyticum and M genitalium. So, the triggering infection was clearly related to organisms different from those sought by us in the present study.

We believe that this study should be expanded to seek M fermentans and other mycoplasmas in patients from other geographical areas, that emphasis should be placed on early stage disease and that the organisms should be sought not only in synovial fluid samples but in synovial biopsy specimens too, in view of our particular success in detecting them in the latter. Although the organisms in the joints may not often be viable, as is the case with C trachomatis in reactive arthritis, attempts should be made again to isolate mycoplasmas by culture; if viable, recovery might be achieved best through the combined use of cell culture, PCR and acellular medium, as has proved successful in isolating M genitalium from patients with urethritis, although the hazard of this approach with M fermentans which is a common contaminant of cell cultures is clear. Finally, M fermentans antibody profiles in groups of patients with the aforementioned arthritides should be evaluated as a further means of defining the role of this mycoplasma.


