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Abstract
A unique case of a metastatic non-desmoplastic sarcomatoid malignant melanoma in an axillary lymph node showing smooth muscle differentiation in a 54 year old woman is described. The tumour cells exhibited smooth muscle actin, HHF-35 and desmin positivity but were negative for S100 protein and HMB-45. Ultrastructural examination revealed smooth muscle phenotype and there was no evidence of myofibroblastic differentiation, a feature described previously in desmoplastic melanomas.
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Malignant melanomas show a wide variety of cytological and architectural changes and hence may mimic carcinomas, lymphomas and sarcomas.1 Spindle cell melanomas commonly simulate spindle cell carcinomas, peripheral nerve sheath tumours and smooth muscle neoplasms. Schwannian, fibroblastic and myofibroblastic differentiation has also been reported, particularly in desmoplastic melanomas.2-4 Here, we document a very unusual case of metastatic non-desmoplastic melanoma in which part of the tumour exhibited immunohistochemical and ultrastructural features of smooth muscle differentiation.

Case report
A 54 year old woman presented in September 1987 with a cutaneous malignant melanoma in the epigastic area. In April 1988 she developed metastases in a right axillary lymph node and within the subcutaneous tissue of the left upper back. Both of these deposits were excised. No other neoplasm was detected on detailed clinical and radiological examination. In July 1994 the patient developed further metastatic disease in the right inguinal nodes, for which a right groin dissection was carried out. In March 1995 the patient was readmitted for lower abdominal pain. On laparotomy, a large mass of nodes was detected around the iliac vessels from which a biopsy specimen was taken. Treatment with dacarbazine and interferon was instituted and the patient was alive with a residual right inguinal mass in April 1996.

Pathological findings
Histological examination of the original cutaneous lesion in the abdominal skin showed a partially regressed invasive malignant melanoma. Assessment of the precise type and thickness of this lesion was difficult. Immunohistochemically, the tumour cells were positive for S100 protein (Dako, High Wycombe, UK; 1/5000), NKIC3 (Biogenex, Upton-upon-Severn, UK; 1/40) and HMB-45 (Dako; 1/50), and negative for cytokeratin (CAM 5.2, Becton Dickinson, Oxford, UK; 1/40) and AE1/3, Biogenex, 1/200) and muscle markers. The axillary lymph node removed in 1988 measured 4.5 × 2.5 × 2.0 cm and was almost completely replaced by a pleomorphic, amelanotic, non-desmoplastic sarcomatoid tumour composed of fascicles of plump spindle cells, polygonal cells and large numbers of multinucleated tumour giant cells containing pleomorphic nuclei and abundant, deeply eosinophilic fibrillar cytoplasm (fig 1A). Numerous mitoses, including atypical forms, were noted. Immunohistochemically, part of this tumour (area A) exhibited positivity with melanocytic markers S100 protein and NKIC3 but not HMB-45; the remainder (area B) showed strong positivity for α-smooth muscle actin (Sigma, Poole, Dorset, UK; 1/1500) (fig 1B), HHF-35 (muscle specific actin; Biogenex; 1/40), and desmin (D33 clone; Dako; 1/100) (fig 1C). There was also NKIC3 positivity in area B but stains for myoglobin (Dako; 1/1000), fast myosin (Sigma; 1/600), HMB-45, and S100 protein were negative.

For ultrastructural examination, tissue from the wax block was deparaffinised in xylene and embedded in epoxy resin. Areas A and B contained cells with moderate amounts of rough endoplasmic reticulum cisternae. Unambiguous melanosomes were not identified. Area B showed modestly developed subsurface tracts of smooth muscle myofilaments with focal densities, which were absent from area A (figs 2A and 2B). The fibronexus junctions defining myofibroblastic differentiation were not observed.5 The metastatic deposits in the subcutaneous tissue of the back and in the inguinal and intrapelvic lymph nodes exhibited typical features of metastatic melanoma with predominantly large epithelioid cells admixed with a few fascicles of plump spindle cells. The subcutaneous deposit contained moderately abundant melanin pigment. Immunostains revealed S100 protein, NKIC3 and HMB-45 positivity. Stains for muscle markers and cytokeratin were negative.

Discussion
Malignant melanomas, particularly the desmoplastic and neurotropic variants, may show
Schwannian, fibroblastic or myofibroblastic differentiation at the ultrastructural level. Very rarely, osteocartilaginous metaplasia has been noted in melanomas and there are occasional reports of rhabdomyosarcomatous differentiation in giant congenital naevi. Schwannian differentiation in melanocytic lesions is not an unexpected phenomenon as both melanocytes and Schwann cells are derived from the neural crest. However, mesenchymal differentiation with formation of heterologous elements in melanocytic tumours is an unusual occurrence. Immunohistochemically positive muscle markers, either smooth muscle actin or desmin, have been documented in some melanocytic tumours. Bittesini et al demonstrated desmin positivity in a metastatic melanoma in which the neoplastic cells showed a rhabdoid phenotype as a result of accumulation of paranuclear whorls of intermediate filaments. Truong et al also demonstrated desmin in rare cells in one metastatic melanoma. Reiman et al found desmin positivity in three neurotropic and four of six desmoplastic melanomas of the head and neck. Ultrastructural examination of four of these lesions revealed features, according to these authors, of fibroblastic and myofibroblastic differentiation. We have previously identified an α-smooth muscle actin positive melanoma, which was negative for HHF-35 and desmin. We have subsequently encountered two cases of desmoplastic melanoma showing smooth muscle actin positivity (unpublished observation). Recently, Carlson et al also demonstrated smooth muscle actin positivity in 12 (52%) of 26 cases of desmoplastic neurotropic melanoma. They interpreted this result as a non-specific phenomenon as these tumours did not exhibit any myofibroblastic or smooth muscle differentiation at the ultrastructural level. We are, however, unaware of any documented case of melanoma showing the full immunohistochemical and ultrastructural spectrum of smooth muscle differentiation.

The present case exhibited α-smooth muscle actin, HHF-35 and desmin positivity. In addition, fine filaments with focal densities were detected at the ultrastructural level in the cells exhibiting smooth muscle immunophenotype and features of myofibroblastic differentiation were absent. These cells lost reactivity to S100 protein and HMB-45 but, interestingly, retained positivity to NKIC3. It is noteworthy that subsequent metastatic deposits of the tumour did not exhibit any evidence of smooth muscle differentiation and the precise cause of this transient aberration remains uncertain.
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