
JOURNAL OF CLINICAL PATHOLOGY

The Journal of the Association of Clinical Pathologists

VOLUME 50 • 1997

Editor: David Lowe
Deputy Editor: Helen Holzel
Technical Editor: Janet O'Flaherty
Editorial Assistant: Natalie Davies

ASSOCIATE EDITORS
M Crook (Chemical Pathology)
MM Reid (Haematology)
G Spickett (Immunology)

BROADSHEET EDITORS
MD Penney (Chemical Pathology)
PN Cooper (Forensic Pathology)
BE Woodcock (Haematology)
JD Davies (Histopathology)
RS Pereira (Immunology)
LJHE Hayek (Microbiology)

EDITORIAL BOARD

GM Addison
J Bolodeoku
J Breuer
D Burnett
J Crocker

P A van Dam
JD Davies
G Delsol
PJ van Diest
C Doglioni

JAA Hoogkampp-
Korstanje
R Montironi
JA Murray
BA Oppenheim

MD Penney
JW Shay
DN Slater
E Tahara

D Tarin
P Vanezis
AF Winder
Editor, *BMJ*

Instructions for Authors

Papers for publication should be sent to the Editor, *Journal of Clinical Pathology*, BMA House, Tavistock Square, London WC1H 9JR (tel: 0171 383 6209/6154; fax: 0171 383 6668; e-mail: 101317.464@compuserve.com). Receipt of manuscripts will be acknowledged by the editorial office.

Submission of a paper will be held to imply that it contains original work not being offered elsewhere or published previously. Manuscripts should be prepared in accordance with the Vancouver style.¹ The Editor retains the right to shorten the article or make changes to conform with style and to improve clarity. All authors must sign the copyright form after acceptance.

Failure to adhere to any of these instructions may result in delay in processing the manuscript and it may be returned to the authors for correction before being submitted to a referee.

General

- Authors must submit three copies of the original manuscript typed in double line spacing. The journal is now produced electronically and revised manuscripts should be submitted as printed copy and on disk. A guide to submitting an article on disk will be sent when requesting a revision or on notification of an acceptance. Authors should not submit the original paper on disk.
- The names of the authors, with initials or one forename, should be followed by the name of the institution where the work was carried out. An indication of the position held by each author should be given in an accompanying letter to the Editor, and manuscripts should bear the name of one author to whom correspondence should be addressed. If available, a fax number and an e-mail address should be supplied.
- Identifying information should not be published in written descriptions, photographs, or pedigrees unless the information is essential for scientific purposes and the patient (or parent or guardian) gives written informed consent for publication; but patient data should never be altered or falsified in an attempt to attain anonymity. Informed consent should be obtained if there is any doubt. Masking the eyes in photographs of patients is inadequate protection of anonymity (for the full statement see the *BMJ*²).
- Authors should include the names and addresses of four experts whom the authors consider suitable to peer review their work.
- When submitting original manuscripts authors should send a copy of any of their other papers on a similar subject to assure the editors that there is no risk of duplicate publication.
- If requested, authors should produce the data upon which the manuscript is based for examination by the Editor.
- The number of authors should be kept to a minimum and should include only those who have made a contribution to the research: justification should be made for more than five authors. Acknowledgments should be limited to workers whose courtesy or assistance has extended beyond their paid work, and to supporting organisations.
- Sponsors of research must be declared.
- Authors should provide up to four keywords/phrases for the index.
- All measurements must be in SI units apart from blood pressure measurements, which should be in mmHg, and drugs in metric units.

- Abbreviations should be used rarely and should be preceded by the words in full before the first appearance.
- In the statistical analysis of data 95% confidence intervals should be used wherever appropriate.
- Any article may be submitted to outside peer review and for statistical assessment.
- No free offprints will be provided; reprints may be ordered when the proof is returned.

Original articles

- Papers should be no more than 2000 words long and should report original research of relevance to the understanding and practice of clinical pathology. They should be written in the standard form: abstract; introduction; results; and discussion.
- The journal uses a structured form of abstract in the interests of clarity. This should be short (no more than 250 words) and include four headings: *Aims*—the main purpose of the study; *Methods*—what was done, and with what material; *Results*—the most important results illustrated by numerical data but not p values; and *Conclusions*—the implications and relevance of the results.

Leaders/Editorials

- Leaders and Editorials are published by editorial invitation; unsolicited reviews or commentaries are unlikely to be accepted, though the Editor is always pleased to receive suggestions.

Short reports

- Single case reports of outstanding interest or clinical relevance, short technical notes, and brief investigative studies are welcomed and usually published in the form of a Short/Technical report.
- Length must not exceed 1500 words, including an unstructured abstract of less than 150 words, up to two figures or tables (or one of each) and up to 10 references. If more illustrations are required the text must be reduced accordingly.

Letters

- Letters must be typed in double line spacing, should normally be no more than 500 words, have no more than five references, and must be signed by all authors. Two copies should be provided.

Tables and illustrations

Tables should be presented separately in double line spacing without ruled lines; when presented on disk they should be in a separate file from the text.

- Letters and other marks which are to appear on the face of a photomicrograph should be made on a photocopy: they will be added in the Journal style in the editorial office when the manuscript is accepted.
- Legends for illustrations should be typed with double spacing on a separate sheet. The staining technique used should be stated. Magnifications should be given for electron micrographs but not for light micrographs except in cases where this is important.
- Photographs and photomicrographs should be on glossy paper for half tone reproduction. The printing process requires that prints are unmounted and unbacked, and of high quality, with full tonal scale. Illustrations that will not reproduce well will be returned and this may delay publication. Areas in which tissue does not appear ("background") should be as near white as possible. Three sets of prints must be supplied with each manuscript. Only

salient features should be included to preserve detail.

- Colour reproduction of figures in papers is encouraged and is heavily subsidised by the Journal. Advice on costs and material to be submitted for colour work should be sought from the editorial office.
- If any tables or illustrations submitted have been published elsewhere, written consent to republication should be obtained by the author from the copyright holder (usually the publisher) and the authors. A copy of the letter giving consent must be included.

Descriptions of laboratory methods

- When a manufacturer's method is used in a study with a particular item of equipment or kit of reagents, the source of this method and reference to the scientific literature on which it was based should be given. Authors might consider it courteous to inform manufacturers that an article assessing their product has been submitted for publication.
- For quantitative methods, information on the sensitivity, precision, and accuracy in the hands of the authors should always be provided. When a well recognised method is used, these requirements could be met simply by providing the references to the methodology and discussing the performance in a recognised current quality assurance scheme. Modifications to methods that have not been previously published should be detailed in the text and supported by evidence of their efficacy.
- It is useful to indicate, either from personal observations or by reference, the working range of an assay and the normal reference range when it is used on samples from humans. When information is expressed as mean \pm 2SD, the distribution of the range (normal, skew, or logarithmic) should be stated.

References

- References must be numbered in the order they appear in the text and include all information (Vancouver style):
 - 1 Fletcher CDM, McKee H. Sarcomas - a clinicopathological guide with particular reference to cutaneous manifestations. I. Dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans, malignant fibrous histiocytoma and the epithelial sarcoma of Enzinger. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 1984;9:451-65.
 - 2 Washington JA. Conventional approaches to blood culture. In: Washington JA, ed. *The detection of septicemia*. West Palm Beach, Florida: CRP Press, 1978:41-87.
- References in the text should be identified by arabic numerals in brackets—for example, [1] [2].
- Abstracts, information from manuscripts not yet accepted, or personal communications may be cited only in the text and not included in the references. References are not checked by us; authors must verify references against the original documents before submitting the article.
 - 1 International Committee of Medical Journal Editors. Uniform requirements for manuscripts submitted to biomedical journals. *BMJ* 1991;302:338-41.
 - 2 International Committee of Medical Journal Editors. Protection of patients' rights to privacy. *BMJ* 1995;311:1272.

Manuscript checklist:

- Is there an abstract?
- Are the abbreviations spelt out?
- Are the measurements in SI units?
- Are the references in Vancouver style?

morphology in patients without any history of lymphoma displayed a consistent positive reaction for bcl-2 protein.¹ We have found considerably higher numbers of bcl-2 positive cells in malignant aggregates (mean value 78% per nodule) than in reactive nodules (mean value 60%). Nevertheless, we have confirmed the presence of numerous bcl-2 positive cells in apparently reactive benign nodules in all of our specimens. There were, however, always some bcl-2 negative cells in lymphoma bone marrow infiltrates in our material.¹

Thus, in contrast to the conclusions suggested by Chetty *et al.*,¹ we believe that bcl-2 expression should not be used as a discriminating criterion for the malignant nature of lymphoid aggregates. Overdiagnosis of bcl-2 positive reactive benign lymphoid aggregates as lymphomatous involvement is a considerable hazard and unnecessary over-treatment of patients cannot be reliably ruled out.

A SKÁLOVÁ
F FAKAN

Department of Pathology,
Faculty Hospital, Ed. Beneše 13,
305 99 Plzeň,
Czech Republic

- Chetty R, Echezarreta G, Comley M, Gatter K. Immunohistochemistry in apparently normal bone marrow trephine specimens from patients with nodal follicular lymphoma. *J Clin Pathol* 1995;48:1035-8.
- Ben-Ezra JM, King BE, Harris AC, Todd WM, Kornstein MJ. Staining for bcl-2 protein helps to distinguish benign from malignant aggregates in bone marrow biopsies. *Mod Pathol* 1994;7:560-4.
- Neilson JR, Oates JL, Lumley M, Leyland MJ, Crocker J. Patterns of bcl-2 staining in bone marrow biopsies from patients with follicular lymphoma [abstract]. *J Pathol* 1995;175:154A.
- Fakan F, Skálová A, Kuntscherová J. Expression of bcl-2 protein in distinguishing benign from malignant lymphoid aggregates in bone marrow biopsies. *Gen Diagn Pathol* 1996; in press.

Drs Chetty and Gatter comment:

We would like to thank Drs Skálová and Fakan for their comments on our paper. Our intention was not that bcl-2 immunostaining be used as a sole discriminant in separating benign from malignant lymphoid nodules in the bone marrow. The findings in our study are consonant with the staining profile/pattern of nodal follicular lymphoma and reactive follicular hyperplasia.

Reliance on immunohistochemistry alone, without cognisance of morphological and clinical features, is hazardous at the best of times. We are certainly not advocating the use of bcl-2 immunohistochemistry to diagnose follicular lymphoma in the bone marrow without a good index of suspicion. The index of suspicion is heightened by the strong immunoreactivity of bcl-2 in follicular lymphoma. It must also be remembered that quantitation of immunohistochemical staining is far from an exact science, but we are heartened to see that Drs Skálová and Fakan found "considerably higher numbers of bcl-2 positive cells in malignant aggregates than in reactive nodules".

In conclusion, bcl-2 immunohistochemistry, taken in conjunction with other relevant markers and the morphological and clinical features, is of use in separating follicular lymphomas from reactive aggregates.

Book reviews

Pathology for Surgeons in Training: an A to Z. Gardner D, Tweedle D. (Pp 408; £27.50.) Arnold. 1996. ISBN 0340 603747.

This book is the second edition of a short text which was originally called *Pathology for the Primary FRCS*. The text remains very similar to the original book although it has been slightly lengthened. Many of the medical dictionary type conditions are well described although special pathology is not a requirement for surgeons in training. Obviously, conditions such as abscess, AIDS, actinomycosis, amoebiasis, ageing, and amyloid are good general pathological conditions that might well be expected for the exam. There are, however, a number of unusual conditions found within the book—for example, antineoplastic drugs, the spine, space and air travel, "sludging of the blood" (a rather slang term), scrotum and retina. The book is well laid out and many important conditions, which will be expected knowledge for the new basic surgical trainee, are well covered.

I think the authors could look through their text again and perhaps extract some of the more specialised pathology, such as lymphoedema and ulcerative colitis (why ulcerative colitis and not Crohn's disease?). If this culling and, perhaps, some appropriate additions were made, this would be a very excellent book for surgeons in training.

K BURNAND

Saunders Electronic Atlas of Dermatology—CD Rom. (£202.00.) Harcourt Brace. 1996. ISBN 0721662242.

As someone who has a computer phobia, unlike my 10 year old son, I felt rather apprehensive on being asked to review this electronic atlas. Remarkably this CD Rom, which works on Windows and Mackintosh, contains over 2500 photographs with more than a quarter as photomicrographs of skin histology. In addition, the accompanying text is concise with definitions and differential diagnoses easily found by clicking simple icons. The information provided is based on the increasingly popular, recently published volumes of *Cutaneous Medicine and Surgery*, rapidly becoming the "bible" for US dermatology residents. Even for me, the disk was very user friendly and access to information was relatively easy. Photographs can be magnified, but tend to lose their definition. Also, for some reason, many illustrations were duplicated which was rather frustrating. Overall, the histology was remarkably good, but better at high power. I was surprised that no histology was available for relatively important disorders such as sarcoidosis, leprosy and leishmaniasis. Nevertheless, the content was extensive and included rare clinical examples, such as angioimmunoblastic lymphadenopathy. Classification of skin disorders was in places unorthodox such as including porokeratoses and Hailey Hailey as ichthyoses. I wondered also why they included lymphoma of the mouth in a dog! Apart from the irritating Texan-like tune that played repeatedly whenever I clicked the mouse too often, I was glued to the screen for quite some time by this well designed atlas. It highlighted the complexity of skin disorders,

but I am not sure there is enough histology for the pathologist. It will, however, be beneficial for the connoisseur who wants to specialise in dermatopathology up to DipRC-Path standard.

R CERIO

If you wish to order or require further information regarding the titles reviewed here, please write to or telephone the BMJ Bookshop, PO Box 295, London WC1H 9JR. Tel: 0171 383 6244; fax: 0171 383 6662. Books are supplied post-free in the UK and for BFPO addresses. Overseas customers should add 15% for postage and packing. Payment can be made by cheque in Sterling drawn on a UK bank or by credit card (MasterCard, Visa or American Express) stating card number, expiry date, and full name. (The price and availability are occasionally subject to revision by the Publishers.)

Notices

Cytopathology for Histopathologists

February 3-7 1997

This is an intensive course in basic cytopathology suitable for all candidates preparing for the MRCPATH and Diploma in Cytopathology examinations, as well as established histopathologists requiring revision. It is organised by the Department of Cellular Pathology, Northwick Park Hospital (Dr Eamon Leen). The programme will consist of lectures, microscopy sessions and discussions. Topics will include cytopathology of the cervix, urine, respiratory tract, serous effusions, and fine needle aspiration of breast, lymph nodes, salivary glands, and other sites. In addition, keynote lectures will be given by Dr Amanda Herbert (Overview of cervical cytology screening) and Professor Sebastian Lucus/Dr Nick Francis (Cytology of infectious disease). The course is limited to 30 participants. Royal College of Pathologists' approval for 29 CME credits is envisaged (as per 1996). The course fee is £350.00, which includes lunch, refreshments and a course dinner.

For further information, please contact: Dr Eamon Leen, Department of Cellular Pathology, Northwick Park Hospital, Harrow HA1 3UJ. (Tel: 0181 869 3312; fax: 0181 864 1933.)

Third International Course on Bone Marrow Biopsy Pathology

May 21-24 1997

Venue: Charing Cross and Westminster Medical School, London

This course, organised by the European Bone Marrow Working Group, is an update on bone marrow disorders in the format of lectures, discussions and slide seminars (pre-circulated slides). The meeting starts with a short course on taking and reporting bone marrow trephine biopsy specimens and the application of immunocytochemistry. Topics include cellular constituents and kinetics of bone marrow, paediatric disorders, low grade and high grade lymphoproliferative disorders, an update of the FAB classification of MDS, iatrogenic bone marrow problems, detection of minimal disease in BMTB, diseases leading to stromal fibrosis, and disorders of bone modelling.

The course is limited to 100 participants and will attract CME credits. Course fee £350.00.

For further information, please contact: Professor K Henry, Department of Histopathology, Charing Cross and Westminster Medical School, Fulham Palace Road, London W6 8RF (tel: 0181 846 7139; fax 0181 846 1364; email: k.henry@cxwms.ac.uk).