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Abstract

**Background/aims—** Infection with *Helicobacter pylori* strains possessing the cagA gene is associated with increased risk of gastric cancer of the intestinal type. The aims of this study were to investigate whether CagA seropositivity is associated with increased risk of gastric cancer in a Japanese population that has a much higher incidence of gastric cancer than western populations.

**Methods—** Eighty one gastric cancer patients and 81 sex and age matched endoscopically evaluated controls were studied. Histologically, 62 cancers were of the intestinal type and 76 were early gastric cancer. Serum CagA IgG antibodies were assayed by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using purified recombinant CagA protein as antigen. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis for cagA in *H pylori* isolates (n = 80) showed that the CagA ELISA had a sensitivity of 83.3% (controls) and 72.5% (cancers).

**Results—** CagA seropositivity was 60% (49 of 81) in cancer patients and 44% (36 of 81) in controls. The odds ratio for the risk of cancer if CagA seropositive was 1.93 (95% confidence interval (CI) 1.01 to 3.68; p < 0.05). In the 57 *H pylori* positive cancer patients and their matched *H pylori* positive controls, the odds ratio for the risk of cancer if CagA seropositive was 2.22 (95% CI 1.04 to 4.65; p < 0.05).

**Conclusions—** These results suggest that CagA seropositivity is associated with increased risk of gastric cancer in Japanese populations.
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stored at −20°C. Biopsy specimens were taken at least 2 cm away from tumours, embedded in paraffin wax, and stained with both haematoxylin-eosin and Warthin-Starry stains. Specimens were examined for the presence of *H pylori* by an experienced pathologist (MT) without knowledge of the serological results. Full histological diagnosis of the tumour type and stage was undertaken on resected gastric mucosa. The gastric cancers were classified histologically according to Lauren system. Early gastric cancer was pathologically diagnosed by the growth of tumour confined to the mucosa and submucosa of the stomach as described previously. *H pylori* status was evaluated by serology, histology, and bacterial culture in each patient. Histologically, *H pylori* was considered to be positive if either the antral or the corpus biopsy was positive. Patients were considered *H pylori* positive if at least one detection method showed a positive result.

### Serological Assays

Serum samples were assayed for the presence of antibodies to *H pylori* and CagA. *H pylori* antibodies were measured using HELP^®^TEST (AMARD, Kew, Victoria, Australia) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. Previous studies have shown the sensitivity and specificity of HELP^®^TEST in Japanese patients to be 91.2% and 81.3%, respectively. CagA antibodies were assayed by ELISA using a recombinant fragment of CagA (kindly provided by Dr A Covacci, IRIS, Siena, Italy) as antigen, as previously described by Xiang and colleagues. Briefly, flat bottom 96 well microtitre plates were coated with 0.1 µg/well antigen in 0.1 M bicarbonate buffer for 24 hours at 4°C. Plates were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.1% Tween-20 and blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS-Tween for one hour at 26°C. Serum samples diluted 1/75 in 1% BSA/PBS were incubated in duplicate for 90 minutes at 26°C. Following incubation with goat antihuman IgG alkaline phosphatase conjugate (Sigma, Poole, Dorset, UK) for two hours at 26°C, bound antibodies were detected with p-nitrophenyl phosphate substrate (Sigma) at 1 mg/ml in diethanolamine-MgCl₂ buffer. Positive control serum diluted 1/50 to 1/3200 to generate a standard curve was included on each plate. The cut off for positivity was the mean + 2 SD of 30 patients who were histologically negative for *H pylori* and seronegative by western blotting.

### HELICOBACTER PYLORI CULTURE

Biopsy specimens were inoculated on to Skirrow blood agar and cultured for 3−5 days at 37°C. The bacteria were identified as *H pylori* by colony morphology, and positive oxidase, catalase, and urease reactions. The colony picked strains were suspended in 1 ml PBS (pH 7.6) for DNA preparation.

### DNA Preparation and PCR Assay for Caga

Aliquots of bacteria (1 ml) were centrifuged at 10 000 xg for five minutes. The supernatant was discarded and the bacterial pellet was digested with 0.3 units of proteinase K at 55°C for two hours. DNA was extracted using phenol/chloroform/isooamylalcohol and precipitated. The concentration and quality of DNA samples were estimated by measuring A260/A280. The presence of cagA was determined by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) as described previously. Briefly, 35 cycles of PCR including 40 seconds of denaturation at 94°C, 40 seconds of annealing at 50°C, and 60 seconds of polymerisation at 72°C were performed using a pair of primers: 5’-GAT AACAGGCAAGCTTTGAGG-3’ and 5’-CTGCAAAAGATTTGTTTCGAGA-3’. The final cycle included an extension step for 10 minutes at 72°C. Each PCR amplification was performed using a total of 50 µl reaction mixture containing 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 µM each dNTP, 100 pmol of each primer, 0.5 units Taq polymerase (TaKaRa Taq; Takara Biochemicals, Tokyo, Japan), and 0.1 µg of prepared DNA as template. PCR amplification was performed in duplicate for each DNA sample and positive and negative controls were included in each assay. Aliquots (10 µl) of each PCR product were analysed by 3% agarose gel electrophoresis. Results were considered positive when the product, which was equivalent to the fragment described by Peek and colleagues, was found.

### Statistical Analysis

Odds ratio and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated by conditional logistic regression analysis in which cases and controls are individually matched. Chi-square analysis was performed to compare the CagA seropositivity between intestinal and diffuse type cancer groups. The two tailed t test was used to compare the titres in the CagA ELISA.

### Results

**Helicobacter pylori Status and Histological Analysis**

*H pylori* status was evaluated by serology, histology, and bacterial culture (table 1). *H pylori* positivity by serology, histology, and bacterial culture was 84.0%, 82.7%, and 66.7%, respectively, in cancer patients, and 79.0%, 66.7%, and 59.3%, respectively, in control subjects. There was no significant difference in *H pylori* positivity between cancer patients and control subjects. Histologically, 62 cancers were of the intestinal type and 76 were early gastric cancer. *H pylori* positivity by serology, histology, and bacterial culture was 82.3%, 80.6%, and 64.5% in intestinal-type gastric cancer patients, and 89.5%, 89.5%, and 73.7%, respectively, in those with diffuse-type gastric cancer. *H pylori* infection was defined as negative if all of the three tests showed negative results. Seventy cancer patients and 67 control subjects were considered to be *H pylori* positive. In 67 *H pylori* positive control subjects, the prevalences of glandular atrophy and intestinal metaplasia were 78% and 35%, respectively.
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H. pylori difference in IgG antibody titres to conserved Vp = 0.039). The odds ratio for the risk of gastric cancer in the two types of carcinoma in CagA seropositive subjects, titres were similar in the two groups. In the H. pylori positive cancer patients and controls, CagA seropositivity was observed only in one control subject. The odds ratio for the risk of gastric cancer if CagA seropositivity was 1.93 (95% CI 1.01 to 3.68; p = 0.041). No difference was seen between the two types of carcinoma in CagA seropositivity, 60% of patients with intestinal-type cancer and 63% of patients with diffuse-type cancer were CagA seropositive. Among the CagA seropositive subjects, titres were similar in the two groups. In the H. pylori positive cancer patients and controls, CagA seropositivity was 70% (49 of 70) and 54% (36 of 67), respectively (table 2). In 57 of the 70 H. pylori positive patients with gastric cancer, the matched control was also H. pylori positive. In the H. pylori positive cancer patients and matched controls, CagA seropositivity was 74% (42 of 57) and 53% (30 of 57), respectively. The odds ratio for the risk of gastric cancer in H. pylori positive patients if CagA seropositivity was 2.2 (95% CI 1.04 to 4.65; p = 0.039).

In H. pylori positive subjects, there was no difference in IgG antibody titres to conserved H. pylori antigens (HELpTEST) in the CagA seropositive and seronegative groups.

**SENSITIVITY OF THE CAGA ELISA**

*H. pylori* strains isolated from 40 cancer patients and 40 controls were randomly selected to be tested for the presence of cagA by PCR. All of the strains tested from the cancer patients were cagA positive. Twenty nine of these patients were CagA seropositive and the sensitivity of the CagA ELISA in the cancer patients was therefore 72.5%. In the control subjects, 36 of 40 *H. pylori* strains were cagA positive. Thirty of these 36 control subjects were CagA seropositive. The sensitivity of the CagA ELISA was 83.3% in the control group for detecting cagA infection. The four controls with negative PCR results were seronegative for CagA antibodies.

**Discussion**

This is the first study to investigate the association between gastric cancer and CagA seropositivity by a case control study using individually sex and age matched control subjects who had undergone endoscopy. The results show that CagA seropositivity is associated with increased risk of gastric cancer in an indigenous Japanese population, consistent with previous studies in North American, European, and emigrant Japanese patients. Recently, considerable interest has focused on the strain diversity of *H. pylori*. Many studies have shown that cagA positive strains are more virulent than cagA negative strains and that infection of cagA positive strains results in a more intense gastritis. In part, this is likely to result from the triggering of epithelial chemokine responses, which is dependent on multiple genes in the cag pathogenicity island. Reactive oxygen and nitrogen species released as a consequence of the inflammation contribute to epithelial cell injury and DNA damage. Correa et al. suggested that epithelial cells with DNA damage too extensive to repair may undergo apoptosis, and that the loss of glands in atrophic gastritis may result from large scale induction of apoptosis as the result of such DNA damage. Infection with cagA positive strains is considered to be associated with increased risk of atrophic gastritis and gastric cancer.

Few studies have investigated the relation between infection with *H. pylori* and/or VacA positive strains and gastric cancer in Japanese populations that have high incidences of gastric cancer. Recently, Kikuchi et al. investigated CagA seropositivity in Japanese gastric cancer patients under the age of 40, together with age matched controls. They showed lack of an association between CagA seropositivity and gastric cancer, conflicting with our results, but many of these young patients had diffuse gastric cancer. Previous studies reported that although CagA seropositivity was associated with increased risk of intestinal-type gastric cancer, there was no association with the diffuse type of gastric cancer. In a North American population, the odds ratio was 3.3 for the risk of gastric cancer in *H. pylori* positive subjects if CagA seropositive. This odds ratio is similar to the results obtained in the present study in a Japanese population and an earlier study in emigrant Japanese.

We also investigated the sensitivity of the CagA ELISA for detecting infection with *H. pylori* positive strains in Japanese patients with and without cancer. The sensitivities of the assay were 72.5% in cancer patients and 83.3% in controls. The patients in this study had a mean age of 62 years and the sensitivity of *H. pylori* ELISA is known to be lower in the elderly. Higher sensitivity in the CagA ELISA has been observed in younger patient groups.

---

**Table 1** Patient characteristics and *H. pylori* status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage (E/A)</th>
<th>Serology positive</th>
<th>Histology positive</th>
<th>Culture positive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancers</td>
<td>62.5 (43–85)</td>
<td>50/31</td>
<td>76/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal</td>
<td>63.0 (44–85)</td>
<td>43/19</td>
<td>59/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse</td>
<td>60.7 (43–73)</td>
<td>7/12</td>
<td>17/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>61.8 (42–86)</td>
<td>50/31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*E, early stage; A, advanced stage.*

**Table 2** *Helicobacter pylori* positivity and CagA seropositivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><em>H. pylori</em> positive</th>
<th>CagA positive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cancers</td>
<td>86.4% (70/81)</td>
<td>70.0% (49/70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal</td>
<td>89.5% (17/19)</td>
<td>70.6% (12/17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse</td>
<td>85.5% (53/62)</td>
<td>69.8% (37/53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>82.7% (67/81)</td>
<td>53.7% (36/67)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*At least one of serology, histology, or culture was positive.*