Expression of CD44 on bile ducts in primary sclerosing cholangitis and primary biliary cirrhosis
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Abstract

Aim—To examine expression of CD44, a transmembrane glycoprotein involved in lymphocyte homing and activation, in inflammatory liver diseases.

Methods—Formalin fixed, paraffin embedded tissues were obtained from normal, uninvolved liver from patients undergoing partial hepatectomy for metastatic carcinoma (9) and transplant hepatectomy specimens from patients with primary biliary cirrhosis (12), primary sclerosing cholangitis (8), autoimmune hepatitis (3), hepatitis C (3), and secondary sclerosing cholangitis (1). Expression of CD44 (using antibodies to three core epitopes), HLA-DR, and lymphocyte phenotypic markers was studied by immunohistochemistry.

Results—CD44 expression was not detected in either hepatocytes or biliary epithelial cells in normal livers. In sections from all 27 transplant hepatectomy specimens, CD44 was positive in bile duct epithelial cells but not in hepatocytes. The proportion of CD44+ ducts was much higher in biliary disease than in chronic hepatitis. By contrast, expression of HLA-DR was detected in a relatively small percentage of bile ducts. Activated, memory phenotype CD44+ T lymphocytes were increased in the parenchyma of all diseased livers and an infiltrate of activated CD8+ cells within the biliary epithelium was evident in inflammatory biliary disease.

Conclusions—CD44 appears to play an important role in the development of autoimmune biliary disease by promoting lymphoepithelial interactions, whereas HLA-DR may be involved in the subsequent progression of these conditions.

Keywords: CD44; biliary epithelium; inflammatory liver disease

Human intrahepatic biliary epithelial cells (HIBEC) are known to be the target of immune attack in various conditions involving the liver such as acute and chronic rejection, primary biliary cirrhosis, and primary sclerosing cholangitis. This has been attributed to their ability to express immune recognition elements, such as the DR region of the human leucocyte antigen (HLA-DR), which are believed to facilitate T cell activation.

T cell activation occurs as a result of T cell receptor binding to an antigenic peptide held within the “groove” of MHC molecules expressed by antigen presenting cells. In addition to constitutive expression of MHC, “professional” antigen presenting cells also express cell surface costimulatory molecules, such as CD54 (ICAM-1) and members of the B7 family (CD80 and CD86), which are essential for effective T cell activation. Although it has been argued that any cell which expresses MHC class II can present antigen, it has now become accepted that in the absence of B7, T helper cells recognise antigen but do not proliferate and are rendered unresponsive to subsequent stimulation by the same antigen. Expression of B7 by normal human HIBEC has not been demonstrated either in vitro or in vivo, although somewhat conflicting results have been reported in liver disease. Furthermore, the requirement of members of the B7 family for the activation of cytotoxic T cells is not as well established and there is evidence for a B7 independent pathway of activation, which may use other T cell accessory molecules such as CD44.

CD44, the lymphocyte homing receptor, is a type I transmembrane glycoprotein. The principal ligand for CD44 is hyaluronan, although CD44 and its splice variants can also bind other extracellular matrix components such as collagen, fibronectin, and laminin, as well as heparin and glycosaminoglycans. In the immune system, CD44 promotes T cell activation and is central to the migration of memory and effector cells to sites of inflammation. The functional significance of CD44 expression by epithelial cells is less well defined, although CD44 can bind a variety of growth factors and metalloproteinases. Thus the increased expression of CD44 on epithelial cells in various inflammatory conditions has led to the suggestion that CD44 may play a significant role in mediating inflammation, perhaps by facilitating lymphoepithelial interactions.

We have shown previously that several immune recognition elements, including CD44, are expressed in vitro constitutively by HIBEC, but not by hepatocyte derived cell lines. Our aim in this study was to investigate the expression of CD44 in normal liver tissue and to determine whether this was altered in chronic liver disease, particularly primary biliary sclerosis and primary sclerosing cholangitis, where progression is caused by immune mediated destruction of the bile ducts.

Methods

TISSUES

Formalin fixed, paraffin embedded tissue was obtained from normal uninvolved liver from patients undergoing partial hepatectomy for...
metastatic carcinoma (9), and transplant hepatocarcinoma (9), and transplant hepatocarcinoma (9), and transplant heptectomy specimens from patients with primary biliary sclerosis (12) and primary sclerosing cholangitis (8). Blocks were selected which showed adequate numbers of residual bile ducts. For comparative purposes, tissues were included from the transplanted livers of patients with autoimmune hepatitis (3), hepatitis C (3), and one case of secondary sclerosing cholangitis caused by iatrogenic bile duct stricture from a cholecystectomy 10 years previously.

IMMUNOHISTOLOGY

Paraaffin wax embedded sections (5 µm thick) were dewaxed and rehydrated through graded alcohols. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by 0.3% (vol/vol) H2O2 in methanol for 30 minutes at room temperature. To expose antigens masked by processing the tissue, sections were either boiled in 10 mM citrate buffer, pH 6.0, for 10 minutes and washed (microwave retrieval), or treated with 0.05% trypsin in 0.1% calcium chloride, pH 7.8, for 10 minutes (table 1). Non-specific secondary antibody binding and endogenous biotin were blocked by serum and avidin/biotin solutions (Vector Laboratories), respectively. Sections were incubated sequentially with pretitred primary antibody for 60 minutes, biotinylated secondary antibody for 30 minutes, and streptavidin-biotin-horseradish peroxidase complex ("ABC," Dako) for 30 minutes, as described previously.16 The primary antibodies used are listed in table 1. Antibody binding was visualised by a peroxidase activated diaminobenzidine substrate reaction. Sections were counterstained with Harris’s haematoxylin, blued in Scott’s tap water, dehydrated, cleared, and mounted. Normal urothelium served as the positive control tissue for CD44 core epitopes,17 and tonsil was used as the positive control for the HLA-DR and T cell antibodies. Negative controls, from which primary antibody was omitted, were included in all experiments.

Results

EXPRESSION OF CD44

In normal liver, the expression of core epitopes 1, 2, and 3 of CD44 was identical and confined to Kupffer cells, endothelial cells, and the stromal component of the portal triad. No expression of CD44 was detected in biliary epithelial

Table 1: Unconjugated antibodies for immunoperoxidase labelling of normal and diseased liver tissue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody*</th>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Antigen retrieval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRIC222 (1)</td>
<td>CD44 core epitope 1</td>
<td>Microwave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRIC235 (1)</td>
<td>CD44 core epitope 2</td>
<td>Microwave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KZ1 (1)</td>
<td>CD44 core epitope 3</td>
<td>Microwave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERMES III (2)</td>
<td>CD44 core epitope 4</td>
<td>Microwave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR3/43 (3)</td>
<td>HLA-DR</td>
<td>Microwave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCHT-1 (3)</td>
<td>CD3, TcR complex</td>
<td>Trypsin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF6 (4)</td>
<td>CD4, T helper cells</td>
<td>Microwave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFT8 (5)</td>
<td>CD8, cytotoxic T cells</td>
<td>Microwave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCHL-1 (4)</td>
<td>CD45RO, memory T cells</td>
<td>Microwave</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CD44 epitopes follow the designation of Anstee et al, 1991.42 Antigen retrieval protocols were as described previously.16* Reagent sources: (1) IBGRL Research Products, Bristol; (2) the generous gift of Dr S Jalkanen (Turku, Finland); (3) Dako Ltd (High Wycombe, Bucks); (4) Novocastra Laboratories Ltd (Newcastle Upon Tyne); (5) the generous gift of Professor G Janossy (Royal Free Hospital, London).

Figure 1 Expression of CD44 in normal liver (top left, A) and a liver affected with primary biliary cirrhosis (top right, B) showing induction of expression on the bile ducts in this condition. Also shown is expression of CD44 (bottom left, C) and HLA-DR (bottom right, D) on the same area in primary sclerosing cholangitis, showing that the CD44 positive bile duct did not co-express HLA-DR.
In normal liver, HLA-DR expression was restricted to Kupffer cells (high expression) and stromal cells of the portal tracts (low expression). In primary biliary sclerosis and primary sclerosing cholangitis, 30–50% of the large intermediate and septal bile ducts and approximately 25% of the smaller bile ducts expressed HLA-DR (table 2). Hepatocytes did not express HLA-DR in either primary biliary sclerosis or primary sclerosing cholangitis. Overall, there were a greater number of Kupffer cells in the diseased livers, all of which expressed HLA-DR (fig 1). In some of the diseased tissues, HLA-DR expression was observed on endothelial cells within the portal tracts, although in general endothelial cells did not express HLA-DR. Expression of HLA-DR was high on many of the hepatocytes in the samples of hepatitis C and autoimmune hepatitis, but HLA-DR expression was apparent only in a small percentage of ducts (less than 5%; table 2). HLA-DR positive bile ducts coexpressed CD44 although not all CD44 positive ducts expressed HLA-DR (fig 1).

Discussion

Our data suggest that CD44 expression by HIBEC is virtually a universal feature of inflammatory liver disease. Furthermore, expression of CD44 appeared to correlate with bile duct damage, as the proportion of positive ducts was higher in primary biliary sclerosis and primary sclerosing cholangitis than in autoimmune hepatitis or hepatitis C. CD44 expression was observed even in the one case of iatrogenic secondary sclerosing cholangitis. These observations suggest that CD44 expression is a function of biliary cell response to stress or damage. Although no CD44 expression was observed by HIBEC in normal liver, our previous studies have shown that cultured HIBEC express CD44 in the absence of any apparent exogenous inducing agent.15 Such observations suggest that expression of CD44 by HIBEC may be associated with cell proliferation. A connection between epithelial cell proliferation and expression of CD44 has been suggested previously,12 although subsequent studies have contradicted this view.10 20 Thus there are likely to be additional mechanisms involved in the induction of CD44 expression. We have previously shown that interleukin (IL)–4 and IL-13 can upregulate CD44 and CD44 splice variant 6 (CD44v6) expression in cultured colonocytes.21 However, neither IL-4 nor the cytokines interferon (IFN) γ, tumour necrosis factor α, transforming growth factor β, IL-6, and IFNα had any apparent effect on CD44 expression in cultured HIBEC.23 Expression of CD44 has been induced on keratinocytes following ligation of CD40 by its ligand CD40L (CD154).24 22 As we have found that HIBEC express CD40 constitutively23 and expression of CD40L is confined to activated T cells, such findings suggest that there may be a direct involvement of the infiltrating T cells in the induction of CD44 in HIBEC. This may be why CD44

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimens</th>
<th>CD44</th>
<th>HLA-DR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal (n=9)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary biliary cirrhosis (n=12)</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary sclerosing cholangitis (n=8)</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoimmune hepatitis (n=3)</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>+/−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C (n=3)</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>+/−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary sclerosing cholangitis (n=1)</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+/−, < 5% positive ducts; +, 5–50% positive ducts; ++, > 50% positive ducts.

RESIDENT LYMPHOCYTES

In addition to the expression of CD44 in the bile ducts of the diseased tissues, lymphocytes throughout the tissues (normal and diseased) were positive for CD44 core epitopes 1 and 2. However, the majority (90%) of T cells did not express detectable CD44 core epitope 3. Virtually all (≥ 98%) of the T cells also expressed CD45RO. Thus T cells within the diseased livers were predominantly of a CD45RO+, CD4tn “memory” phenotype. Expression of CD44 on T cells was particularly evident in germinal centres seen at the edges of damaged ducts. It was also observed that cells in the pre-B cell region of the germinal centres did not express CD44. T cell expression of CD44 was also seen in autoimmune hepatitis and hepatitis C.

In normal liver, CD8+ T cells were the predominant T cell population, with a 2:1 ratio of CD8+ to CD4+. The CD8+ T cells in normal liver were located between hepatocytes and in the stroma of the portal tracts, with occasional intraepithelial location in septal ducts. By contrast, in diseased livers the majority of T cells (60–70%) were CD4+ lymphocytes. There was a heavy infiltrate of predominantly CD8+ T cells in the bile ducts in primary biliary sclerosis and primary sclerosing cholangitis, although CD4 cells were often observed just beneath the basement membrane of bile ducts. Many of the lymphocytes in the diseased tissues expressed HLA-DR, which was particularly evident where there was a germinal centre.
Expression of CD44 on bile ducts

upregulation is associated with inflammatory processes in other epithelial organs.

In primary biliary sclerosis and primary sclerosing cholangitis, expression of CD44 core epitope 1 and 2 was detected on the majority of bile ducts. The lack of expression of core epitope 2 on HIBEPC on these sections probably reflects an epitope masking phenomenon, as HIBEPC have been shown to express CD44 core epitope 3 in vitro. Similar differential detection of core epitopes 1 and 2 was found on a large majority of T lymphocytes. Although no specific functional properties have been ascribed to the CD44 core epitopes recognised by the various anti-CD44 antibodies, we have previously described the masking of core epitope 3 in intestinal epithelium, a finding suggestive of CD44 receptor occupancy in situ. The observation that almost all T cells in the liver were high expressers of CD44 is consistent with their CD45R0 "memory cell" phenotype, and agrees with a previous report. Although not the primary purpose of this study, the finding that many T cells also expressed HLA-DR in inflamed livers is evidence that these cells were activated.

One function for CD44 in epithelial cells appears to be the sequestration of chemokines, cytokines, and growth factors. In the diseased liver, presentation of chemokines could be a mechanism promoting chemotaxis of lymphocytes and other inflammatory cells to the bile ducts. CD44 also binds the Eta-1/osteonectin protein thought to be an important regulator of immune function. CD44 has been shown to bind hepatocyte growth factor/scatter factor, a potent mitogen for hepatocytes and biliary epithelial cells which is thought to play a key role in liver regeneration. CD44 can also bind heparin-binding epidermal growth factor and fibroblast growth factor (FGF) both of which can be produced by T cells. In addition to its potent role as a mitogen for mesenchymal cells, both FGF and hepatocyte growth factor have been shown to promote restitution during wound healing by intestinal epithelial cells, and may also promote secretion of IFNγ by natural killer (NK) cells, which would serve to upregulate HLA-DR expression.

Although primary biliary sclerosis and primary sclerosing cholangitis have quite different histological manifestations, the expression patterns of HLA-DR and CD44 were strikingly similar. Furthermore, the distribution and phenotypes of the infiltrating lymphocytes were also very similar. This suggests some commonality of immunological mechanisms in the two diseases. The finding that CD44 and HLA-DR were generally induced on the larger interlobular ducts in primary biliary sclerosis reflects the fact that a significant proportion of the cases included in this study were of end stage disease in which there was a marked reduction in numbers of small ducts. Our finding that HLA-DR was not expressed by the majority of the bile ducts in the diseased livers concurs with a recently published study. Expression of HLA-DR reflects the presence of IFNγ, of which activated proinflammatory T cells and NK cells are the only known sources. This would suggest that HLA-DR expression by HIBEPC is not a primary event in the development of primary biliary sclerosis and primary sclerosing cholangitis. Thus HLA-DR may have a role in the development rather than initiation of chronic progressive biliary diseases.

CONCLUSIONS

We suggest that the induction of CD44 on many of the bile ducts in primary biliary sclerosis and primary sclerosing cholangitis may play a primary role in the progression of disease. Although there is no direct evidence that expression of CD44 by biliary cells can directly promote T cell activation, its ability to bind chemokines and growth factors suggests that it plays a central role in mediating local inflammatory responses. Furthermore, we hypothesise that CD44 acts by both promoting the activation and migration of T cells to the bile ducts and facilitating subsequent lympho-epithelial interactions, perhaps through a B7 independent mechanism.
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