

Letters

Methods for analysing AgNORs

In their interesting review on proliferation markers in tumours, van Diest and coworkers¹ emphasise the importance of the assessment of AgNORs (nucleolar organiser regions), since AgNOR scores correlate well with other proliferation markers and can be used to estimate cell cycle time. The authors finalise the section with the statement "but at present these methods are difficult to apply in daily practice." At the moment, indeed, most of the working groups determine the silver stained area in the nucleus, which requires morphometry of course, and is time consuming. Yet we would like to remind readers that there are alternative methods for AgNOR analysis that do not depend on image analysis systems and can easily be applied in daily routine work. In our own investigations we have always emphasised the different morphological appearances of silver precipitations. AgNOR staining of cytological preparations from acute leukaemias allows the differentiation of clusters (aggregations of precipitations within a common matrix in the nucleolus) and dots (small singular precipitations without a matrix).^{2,3} Our staining and counting procedures are standardised and the inter- and intraobserver variability is low. This alternative approach is justified because for acute leukaemias there is a good correlation between the BrdU index and the mean number of clusters ($r = 0.60$) or the percentage of cells with one cluster ($r = -0.63$), bearing in mind that the correlation between the mean AgNOR size and BrdU shows a very similar value ($r = -0.63$).³ For chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL) we also recommend making a differential count, separating cells with one or two compact nucleoli and cells with clusters.^{4,5} The percentage of cells with clusters correlates well with the tumour mass score ($r = 0.72$) and lymphocyte doubling time ($r = -0.74$) and permits one to differentiate well between stable and progressive CLL. Furthermore the AgNOR pattern in CLL helps in the follow up monitoring of the patients and their response to chemotherapy.^{4,5}

In summary, although we agree with others that measuring the AgNOR area provides very important information, especially with regard to the cell cycle time,¹ we believe that there are alternative ways of analysing AgNORs which can be more easily applied in daily practice, but nevertheless are of equal pathophysiological and clinical relevance.

KONRADIN METZE

IRENE LORAND-METZE

Faculty of Medicine, State University of Campinas,
BR 13081-970 Campinas-SP, Brazil

- 1 Van Diest PJ, Brugal G, Baak JPA. Proliferation markers in tumours: interpretation and clinical value. *J Clin Pathol* 1998;51:716-24.
- 2 Grotto HZM, Metzke K, Lorand-Metze I. Pattern of nucleolar organizer regions in human leukemic cells. *Anal Cell Pathol* 1993;5:203-12.
- 3 Lorand-Metze I, Carvalho MA, Metzke K. Relationship between morphometric analysis of nucleolar organizer regions and cell proliferation in acute leukemias. *Cytometry* 1998;32:51-6.

- 4 Lorand-Metze I, Metzke K. AgNOR clusters as a parameter of cell kinetics in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. *J Clin Pathol Mol Pathol* 1996;49:M357-60.
- 5 Lorand-Metze I, Chiari AC, Andrade FL, et al. Nucleolar organizer regions as a proliferation marker in chronic lymphoid leukemia. *Eur J Histochem* 1998;42(suppl 1):19.

Cervical intraepithelial glandular neoplasia

Kurian and Al-Nafussi¹ deserve our gratitude for shedding further light on the difficult subject of cervical intraepithelial glandular neoplasia. In particular, by establishing a ratio of 1.12:1 between the incidence of invasive and non-invasive disease and of 0.96:1 for low grade and high grade in situ lesions, they provide a means by which pathologists may monitor their diagnostic performance using the principles outlined by Wakely *et al* in 1998.² This will be particularly informative in the case of low grade lesions which could be easily overlooked or passed off as reactive changes. The classification of in situ glandular lesions of the cervix is the subject of much controversy—for example, two methods are described in a standard British textbook of gynaecological pathology,³ each of which differs from the method used in the current study, which has also dispensed the term *adenocarcinoma in situ*. Variations in diagnostic criteria and terminology between papers may go some way to explaining why the conclusions in this paper, where progression from low grade to high grade disease is assumed to occur, differ from those of Goldstein *et al*,⁴ who suggested that there was no morphological evidence to support the existence of a spectrum of endocervical glandular changes culminating in what they recognised as adenocarcinoma in situ. Finally, Kurian and Al-Nafussi's study highlights the importance of the cervical smear test in detecting these lesions when it is used as part of a screening programme.

M K HEATLEY

Department of Pathology, The Royal Liverpool
Hospital, Liverpool L7 8XP, UK

- 1 Kurian K, Al-Nafussi A. Relation of cervical glandular intraepithelial neoplasia to microinvasive and invasive adenocarcinoma of the uterine cervix: a study of 121 cases. *J Clin Pathol* 1999;52:112-17.
 - 2 Wakely SL, Baxendine-Jones JA, Gallagher PJ, et al. Aberrant diagnoses by individual surgical pathologists. *Am J Surg Pathol* 1998;22:77-82.
 - 3 Griffin NR, Wells M. Premalignant and malignant glandular lesions of the cervix. In: Fox H, Wells M, eds. *Obstetrical and gynaecological pathology*, 4th ed, vol 1. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone, 1985:323-44.
 - 4 Goldstein NS, Ahmad E, Hussain M, et al. Endocervical glandular atypia; does a preneoplastic lesion of adenocarcinoma in situ exist? *Am J Clin Pathol* 1998;110:200-9.
- Authors' response

Author's response

It is a pleasure to read Dr Heatley's response to our paper. The reason for abandoning the term *adenocarcinoma in situ* in our report is to conform with the new terminology for glandular lesions of the cervix. This is due to be released shortly in the *Guidelines of Royal College of Pathology* for reporting cervical biopsies.

A AL-NAFUSSI

Department of Pathology,
University of Edinburgh Medical School

Book review

Manual of Diagnostic Antibodies for Immunohistochemistry. By A S-Y Leong, K Cooper, and F J W-M Leong. (£45.00.) Greenwich Medical Media, 1999. ISBN 1 900151 31 6.

Immunohistological staining has rapidly become accepted as an important, and in some cases indispensable, adjunct to histopathological examination and diagnosis. Histopathology laboratories have to be proficient in immunostaining procedures and fully conversant with the sensitivities and specificities of the primary antibodies used, the nature of the epitope demonstrated by each antibody, and its sensitivity to common fixatives. A thorough knowledge of tissue processing as well as methods of antigen retrieval is essential for routine practice.

This excellent manual provides a comprehensive list of diagnostic antibodies (151) presented in alphabetical order, and covers the background and applications of each reagent together with relevant references. Most of the antibodies described are immunoreactive in fixed paraffin embedded tissue sections as these remain the mainstay of routine diagnostic histopathology. There is much useful practical technical information in each of these brief descriptions—evidence of the authors' extensive expertise—and as such this manual is much more than another antibody catalogue.

The authors rightly emphasise that immunohistological diagnosis is critically linked to an assessment of the morphological appearances and stress the need to employ a panel of antibodies to establish the immunoprofile of a tumour and thus reduce the risk of misinterpreting false positive and false negative staining. The text is cross referenced to an appendix that contains an extensive assembly of selected antibody panels (35) to a wide variety of specific diagnostic situations, providing an easy to use practical guide. A further appendix addresses the value of heat induced epitope retrieval and provides a succinct and helpful protocol for antigen retrieval using microwaves. Surprisingly there is no section on proteolytic digestion techniques, though experience has shown that these techniques have advantages over heat induced unmasking methods for some antibodies—for example, S100, CD21, CD68, 34 E12, CMV, cytokeratins, and so on.

This manual provides a wealth of essential technical information for biomedical scientists and pathologists who regularly employ diagnostic antibodies in their work. There will, however, be a need for early revision as new antibodies are becoming available with increasing frequency and immunodiagnostic practices will be continually evolving with their use. This book will become an important practical reference source for all immunohistopathological laboratories and will be regularly consulted by both trainee and experienced biomedical scientists and pathologists as part of their routine work and research.

E L JONES

Instructions for Authors

Papers for publication should be sent to the Editor, *Journal of Clinical Pathology*, BMA House, Tavistock Square, London WC1H 9JR (tel: 0171 383 6209/6154; fax: 0171 383 6668; email: jclinpathol@compuserve.com). Receipt of manuscripts will be acknowledged by the editorial office.

Submission of a paper will be held to imply that it contains original work not being offered elsewhere or published previously. Manuscripts should be prepared in accordance with the Vancouver style.¹ The Editor retains the right to shorten the article or make changes to conform with style and to improve clarity. All authors must sign the copyright form after acceptance.

Failure to adhere to any of these instructions may result in delay in processing the manuscript and it may be returned to the authors for correction before being submitted to a referee.

General

- Authors must submit four copies of the original manuscript typed in double line spacing. The journal is now produced electronically and revised manuscripts should be submitted as printed copy and on disk. A guide to submitting an article on disk will be sent when requesting a revision or on notification of an acceptance. Authors should not submit the original paper on disk.
- The names of the authors, with initials, should be followed by the name of the institution where the work was carried out. An indication of the position held by each author should be given in an accompanying letter to the Editor, and manuscripts should bear the name of one author to whom correspondence should be addressed. If available, a fax number and an email address should be supplied.
- Identifying information should not be published in written descriptions, photographs, or pedigrees unless the information is essential for scientific purposes and the patient (or parent or guardian) gives written informed consent for publication; but patient data should never be altered or falsified in an attempt to attain anonymity. Informed consent should be obtained if there is any doubt. Masking the eyes in photographs of patients is inadequate protection of anonymity (for the full statement see the *BMJ*²).
- Authors should include the names and addresses of four experts whom the authors consider suitable to peer review their work.
- When submitting original manuscripts authors should send a copy of any of their other papers on a similar subject to assure the editors that there is no risk of duplicate publication.
- If requested, authors should produce the data upon which the manuscript is based for examination by the Editor.
- The number of authors should be kept to a minimum and should include only those who have made a contribution to the research: justification should be made for more than five authors. Acknowledgments should be limited to workers whose courtesy or assistance has extended beyond their paid work, and to supporting organisations.
- Sponsors of research must be declared.
- Authors should provide up to four keywords/phrases for the index.
- All measurements must be in SI units apart from blood pressure measurements, which should be in mm Hg, and drugs in metric units.

- Abbreviations should be used rarely and should be preceded by the words in full before the first appearance.
- In the statistical analysis of data 95% confidence intervals should be used wherever appropriate.
- Any article may be submitted to outside peer review and for statistical assessment.
- No free offprints will be provided; reprints may be ordered when the proof is returned.

Original articles

- Papers should be no more than 2000 words long and should report original research of relevance to the understanding and practice of clinical pathology. They should be written in the standard form: abstract; introduction; methods; results; and discussion.
- The journal uses a structured form of abstract in the interests of clarity. This should be short (no more than 250 words) and include four headings: *Aims*—the main purpose of the study; *Methods*—what was done, and with what material; *Results*—the most important results illustrated by numerical data not p values; and *Conclusions*—the implications and relevance of the results.

Leaders/Editorials

- Leaders and Editorials are published by editorial invitation; unsolicited reviews or commentaries are unlikely to be accepted, though the Editor is always pleased to receive suggestions.

Short reports

- Single case reports of outstanding interest or clinical relevance, short technical notes, and brief investigative studies are welcomed and usually published in the form of a Short/Technical report.
- Length must not exceed 1500 words, including an unstructured abstract of less than 150 words, up to two figures or tables (or one of each) and up to 10 references. If more illustrations are required the text must be reduced accordingly.

Letters

- Letters must be typed in double line spacing, should normally be no more than 500 words, have no more than five references, and must be signed by all authors. Two copies should be provided.

Tables and illustrations

Tables should be presented separately in double line spacing without ruled lines; when presented on disk they should be in a separate file from the text.

- Letters and other marks which are to appear on the face of a photomicrograph should be made on a photocopy: they will be added in the Journal style in the editorial office when the manuscript is accepted.
- Legends for illustrations should be typed with double spacing on a separate sheet. The staining technique used should be stated. Magnifications should be given for electron micrographs but not for light micrographs except in cases where this is important.
- Photographs and photomicrographs should be on glossy paper for half tone reproduction. The printing process requires that prints are unmounted and unbacked, and of high quality, with full tonal scale. Illustrations that will not reproduce well will be returned and this may delay publication. Areas in which tissue does not appear ("background") should be as near white as possible. Three sets of prints must be supplied with each manuscript. Only salient features should be included to preserve detail.

- Colour reproduction of figures in papers is encouraged and is heavily subsidised by the Journal. Advice on costs and material to be submitted for colour work should be sought from the editorial office. The journal can accept colour images as TIFF files in the following media: zipped or unzipped files on floppy disks, compact disks, or optical disks. A hard copy of the image should be provided.
- If any tables or illustrations submitted have been published elsewhere, written consent to republication should be obtained by the author from the copyright holder (usually the publisher) and the authors. A copy of the letter giving consent must be included.

Descriptions of laboratory methods

- When a manufacturer's method is used in a study with a particular item of equipment or kit of reagents, the source of this method and reference to the scientific literature on which it was based should be given. Authors might consider it courteous to inform manufacturers that an article assessing their product has been submitted for publication.
- For quantitative methods, information on the sensitivity, precision, and accuracy in the hands of the authors should always be provided. When a well recognised method is used, these requirements could be met simply by providing the references to the methodology and discussing the performance in a recognised current quality assurance scheme. Modifications to methods that have not been previously published should be detailed in the text and supported by evidence of their efficacy.
- It is useful to indicate, either from personal observations or by reference, the working range of an assay and the normal reference range when it is used on samples from humans. When information is expressed as mean \pm 2SD, the distribution of the range (normal, skew, or logarithmic) should be stated.

References

- References must be numbered in the order they appear in the text and include all information (Vancouver style; references with more than three authors should give only the first three followed by *et al*):
- 1 Fletcher CDM, McKee H. Sarcomas - a clinico-pathological guide with particular reference to cutaneous manifestations. I. Dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans, malignant fibrous histiocytoma and the epithelial sarcoma of Enzinger. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 1984;9:451-65.
 - 2 Washington JA. Conventional approaches to blood culture. In: Washington JA, ed. *The detection of septicemia*. West Palm Beach, Florida: CRP Press, 1978:41-87.
- References in the text should be identified by arabic numerals in brackets—for example, [1] [2].
 - Information from manuscripts not yet accepted, or personal communications may be cited only in the text and not included in the references. References are not checked by us; authors must verify references against the original documents before submitting the article.
- 1 International Committee of Medical Journal Editors. Uniform requirements for manuscripts submitted to biomedical journals. *BMJ* 1991;302:338-41.
 - 2 International Committee of Medical Journal Editors. Protection of patients' rights to privacy. *BMJ* 1995;311:1272.

Manuscript checklist:

- Is there an abstract?
- Are the abbreviations spelt out?
- Are the measurements in SI units?
- Are the references in Vancouver style?

Revised January 1999



Best Practice articles (formerly "Broadsheets") prepared by the Association of Clinical Pathologists

Just published

154 *Helicobacter pylori* 1999 CAM MCNULTY, JI WYATT (with correction in June issue)

Recent Publications

153 The laboratory investigation of vaginal discharge 1998 KF MACSWEEN, GL RIDGWAY

152 Clinical implications of plasma homocysteine measurement in cardiovascular disease 1998
RA STILL, IFW MCDOWELL

Other Best Practice articles are still available for purchase

151 Investigation of dyslipidaemias 1997 AF WINDER,
W RICHMOND, DT VALLANCE

150 Antenatal serological testing and prevention of
haemolytic disease of the newborn 1997 JKM DUGUID

149 Serological diagnosis of gluten sensitive enteropathy
1996 DJ UNSWORTH

148 Laboratory diagnosis of malaria 1996
DC WARHURST, JE WILLIAMS

147 Mycological techniques 1996 KG DAVEY,
CK CAMPBELL, DW WARNOCK

146 Macroscopic examination of prostatic specimens
1995 P HARNDEN, MC PARKINSON

145 Investigation of patients with autoimmune haemolytic
anaemia and provision of blood for transfusion 1995
RJ SOKOL, DJ BOOKER, R STAMPS

144 The investigation of hypercalcaemia 1994
PL SELBY, PH ADAMS

143 Detection of autoantibodies to neutrophil
cytoplasmic antigens 1994 RJ LOCK

142 Measurement of carbon monoxide and cyanide in
blood 1993 RW MAYES

141 Role of endocrine biochemistry laboratories in the
investigation of infertility 1993 GH BEASTALL

140 Techniques in pulmonary cytopathology 1993
JA YOUNG

139 Post mortem techniques in the evaluation of neck
injury 1993 P VANEZIS

138 Gross examination of uterine specimens 1993
J SCURRY, K PATEL, M WELLS

137 Obtaining samples at post mortem examination for
toxicological and biochemical analyses 1993
ARW FORREST

136 Detection and importance of anticardiolipin
antibodies 1993 MA KHAMASHTA, GRV HUGHES

*Earlier Broadsheets may still be available
from the author. A full list can be obtained
from the Publications Secretary,
Association of Clinical Pathologists,
189 Dyke Road, Hove, East Sussex
BN3 1TL.*

Prices

INLAND One copy, £2.50; 2–10 copies
(of any one broadsheet or reprint),
£2.00 each; 11–100 copies (of any
one), £1.75 each; 101 plus copies (of
any one), price to be agreed; authors
(over 50 free copies), £1.25 each.
OVERSEAS One copy, \$6.75; 2–10 copies
(of any one broadsheet or reprint),
\$5.25; 11–100 copies (of any one),
\$3.75; 101 plus copies (of any one),
price to be agreed.
Authors \$2.25. Prices include postage
but air mail will be charged extra. Trade
discount 10%. All orders (and all
changes of address of regular
subscribers) should be sent to the
Publishing Manager • *Journal of Clinical
Pathology*, BMJ Publishing Group,
BMA House, Tavistock Square,
London WC1H 9JR.