Editorial

Immunology of chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis

Patients with chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis (CMC) are susceptible to debilitating, persistent, and refractory infections of the skin, nails, and mucous membranes with yeasts of the genus Candida, most often by the species Candida albicans. The underlying defect is thought to be an impairment of immune defences but the nature of the defect(s) has not been defined.1 Candida albicans is an opportunistic yeast inhabiting, as a commensal, the mucous membranes of >80% of adults, becoming invasive only if the existing balance is disrupted. Various non-immunological factors are known to predispose to candida overgrowth and thrush (diabetes, obesity, systemic antibiotics, systemic and local steroid treatment, dentures, and occlusion—for example, nappies and tight clothing, etc); however, these infections are characteristically resolved as soon as the predisposing factors are removed and should not be confused with a persistent inability to clear candida, as is seen in CMC.2 Patients with CMC do not constitute a homogenous group and numerous classifications have been proposed, mostly based on the presence or absence of endocrinopathy, juvenile or mature onset, and familial or sporadic occurrence.3 Associated findings include alopecia, vitiligo, malabsorption disorders, and infections with encapsulated microorganisms.1 Patients with CMC and endocrinopathy are now frequently referred to as having the APECED (autoimmune polyendocrinopathy candidiasis ectodermal dysplasia syndrome), previously known as APS-1 (autoimmune polyendocrinopathy syndrome type 1). In these patients, a genetic defect has recently been identified showing (various) mutations in the AIRE (autoimmune regulator) gene, which probably encodes a DNA transcription factor. The link between the identified gene defect and the inability to clear candida remains unknown. No gene defect has as yet been identified in patients with CMC without endocrinopathy, although there have been occasional reports of such patients where multiple family members through several generations were affected, again suggesting an underlying gene defect.4 Research in this area is currently in progress in our and other laboratories.4

Intracellular killing is mediated primarily through oxygen dependent pathways (superoxide anion, myeloperoxidase and reactive nitrogen (NO) production), although oxygen independent pathways (lysosomes) have also been implicated.5 Most at risk from systemic candidiasis are patients who develop granulocytopenia, such as patients on immunosuppressive or cytotoxic treatment for malignancies and other diseases, or patients with inborn phagocytic defects (such as chronic granulomatous disease and hyper-IgE syndrome).6 Interestingly, although complement greatly enhances candida phagocytosis, patients with complement component deficiencies including C3 and C5–9 are not more susceptible.1 Several groups have argued that antibodies to candida are crucial in protection from widespread disease, particularly an antibody specific for a 47 kDa candida protein.7 However, this is difficult to reconcile with the fact that patients with humoral (antibody) immune deficiencies are not particularly prone to infections with fungi, including candida.7 Indeed, patients with CMC have repeatedly been shown to have high amounts of candida specific antibody,8 but are still unable to clear the infection. Interestingly, although the role of T cells is paramount in regulating the humoral and, to a certain degree, the innate immune responses described above, T cell mediated effector mechanisms seem to be crucially important in protection against mucocutaneous rather than systemic spread. Earlier studies showed that candida itself, in particular candida mannan, exerts immunosuppressive properties that result in depressed T cell function.8 Although this might contribute to the immune depression seen in patients with CMC, this is unlikely to play a major role because it is well documented that patients with CMC regularly relapse in spite of candida eradication by vigorous antifungal treatment.8

Immunity to candida

Protective immunity to candida is complex and includes both the innate response and adaptive cellular and humoral immune responses.1 Data suggest that different mechanisms operate in protection from systemic as opposed to mucocutaneous disease, and indeed disseminated disease is rarely seen in patients with CMC and vice versa. Protection from systemic disease and internal organ spread is mediated primarily by innate immune immunity, particularly by neutrophils and complement.7 Neutrophil and macrophage function is dependent on antibody and complement opsonisation, as well as pattern recognition receptors such as the mannose and Toll-like receptors.8 9

HUMORAL IMMUNITY

Antibody responses in general and specifically to candida have repeatedly been shown to be intact.1 11 Our own data showed very high titres of IgG1 and IgA candida specific antibodies in all patients. However, recent data suggest that a proportion of patients with CMC may have impaired antibody responses to encapsulated bacteria such as Haemophilus influenzae B and Streptococcus pneumoniae, with or without accompanying IgG2 subclass deficiency.1

*Because affected families with CMC but without endocrinopathy are extremely rare, it would be much appreciated if any physicians/immunologists seeing or being aware of such patients would contact the authors.
T CELL MEDIATED IMMUNITY AND CYTOKINES

Protection from mucocutaneous candidiasis has repeatedly been shown to be dependent on cellular immunity and, although the defect remains elusive, it is clear that patients lacking T cells (for example, severe combined immune deficiencies, Di George syndrome), and in particular CD4+ T cells (patients with AIDS), will suffer from this form of candidiasis.\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^3\) In recently identified patients with inborn deficiencies of the receptors for interferon \(\gamma\) (IFN-\(\gamma\)) and interleukin 12 (IL-12) it was shown that, apart from being susceptible to atypical mycobacteria, some also had persistent candidiasis.\(^7\) Although our own studies have excluded IFN-\(\gamma\) receptor/IL-12 receptor deficiency as a possible cause of susceptibility to chronic candidiasis in patients with CMC (vide infra), it is tempting to speculate that in analogy a rather general immune defect may be underlying the seemingly specific susceptibility to candida. In a series of well designed experiments in animal models, protection against candidiasis was shown to be dependent on a type 1 pattern of cytokine production.\(^1\)\(^7\) These studies demonstrated that IFN-\(\gamma\) and IL-12 were required for survival and clearance of infection,\(^8\) whereas neutralising IL-4 in susceptible strains improved survival.\(^9\) However, an animal model of spontaneous CMC is unavailable and the relevance of these findings for CMC in humans remains to be confirmed. In patients with CMC the abnormalities identified were found to affect the cellular arm of the immune response. A spectrum of defects was reported, ranging from no detectable abnormalities through selective absence of responses to candida antigens to a generalised absence of responses to ubiquitous delayed type hypersensitivity antigens, both in vivo and in vitro (delayed type hypersensitivity skin tests, proliferation assays, macrophage/leucocyte migration inhibition assays). In general, standard lymphocyte subset analysis (CD3/CD4/CD8/CD19) yielded normal results.\(^1\)\(^7\) Taken together, these data suggested that the defect in CMC was at the level of cell mediated immunity, most likely involving T cells, possibly the CD4+ subset, and affected soluable mediators. However, the defect remained undefined. Recently, our preliminary data demonstrated that patients with CMC had an altered pattern of cytokine production in response to candida antigens, with low or absent IL-2 production, increased IL-6 production, and very high titres of IgG1 and IgA candida specific antibodies, consistent with a low type 1 and high type 2 cytokine production pattern.\(^1\)\(^3\)\(^1\)\(^0\) Our current, more comprehensive studies on a larger number of patients with CMC, looking at a range of inflammatory as well as type 1 and type 2 cytokines, demonstrated increased production of inflammatory cytokines in response to candida antigens (tumour necrosis \(\alpha\) (TNF-\(\alpha\)) and IL-6) as well as a significant increase in IL-10 production in all patients. This was paralleled by decreased values of IL-12, IFN-\(\gamma\), and to a lesser degree IL-2. Interestingly, concentrations of IL-4 and IL-5 were low and comparable with controls. These alterations in cytokine production were more pronounced upon stimulation with purified carbohydrate candida fractions and mannann than with protein fractions (our data, unpublished, 2000).

Taken together, our data demonstrate for the first time that patients with CMC have a defect that presents as altered cytokine production in response to candida antigens. We speculate that these patients may be “over-reacting” to candida, possibly to carbohydrate moieties such as mannann, glucan, or chitin, by overproducing inflammatory cytokines that in turn trigger a feedback overproduction of IL-10. High IL-10 values could then lead to downregulation of the protective type 1 response, which could explain the low IL-12 values that were found in our patients. Interestingly, our data did not demonstrate increased type 2 cytokine production, suggesting that it is the insufficient production of type 1 rather than overproduction of type 2 cytokines that undermines the efficient clearance of candida in these patients. It is of note that all of our patients maintain normal amounts of receptors for IFN-\(\gamma\) and IL-12 (T Lamas, personal communication, 2000). At this point, it is impossible to say whether the defect primarily lies with the T cells as type 1 cytokone producers or whether the fault lies further “upstream”, involving accessory cells (macrophages?), which fail to produce adequate amounts of type 1 inducing cytokines, such as IL-12. Although the mechanisms are unclear, our data suggest that patients with CMC may also have a problem in the recruitment/maturatation of candida specific CD3+CD4+ cells, which fail to switch from CD45RA+ to CD45RO+ after culture with candida antigens (our data, unpublished, 1999): this could result in altered cytokine producing properties of the cells involved. Cytokine production by macrophages and/or responsiveness to cytokine stimulation in response to candida is currently also under study. In conclusion, the immune defect underlying susceptibility to candida infections in patients with CMC affects cell mediated responses through altered cytokine production, resulting in the production of inadequate concentrations of type 1 cytokines. The question of what skews cytokine responses remains unanswered, although the likely candidate is the macrophage, either through its antigen presenting or cytokine producing function. This hypothesis is currently under investigation in our laboratory. These findings may have bearing not only on understanding candida itself but also on understanding the mechanisms involved in protective immunity to fungi in general, which are still poorly understood.
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