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Abstract

Background—Inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) is expressed in the colonic epithelium in both inflammatory bowel disease and colorectal cancer. Nitric oxide (NO), the product of this enzyme, has been implicated in the pathogenesis of both conditions. However, there are conflicting data on whether iNOS is expressed in the normal, uninfamed human colon.

Aims—to evaluate the expression of iNOS in histologically normal, non-inflamed human colonic mucosa.

Patients/Methods—Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), immunoblotting, and immunohistochemistry were used to investigate the expression of iNOS in 17 histologically normal specimens obtained at colectomy performed for colorectal neoplasia. In addition, 16 endoscopic mucosal biopsies, taken from normal individuals, were also evaluated. Eleven surgical specimens and 16 endoscopic biopsies from patients with refractory ulcerative colitis were used as inflammatory controls.

Results—All types of specimens expressed iNOS mRNA. Immunoblotting revealed a protein of approximately 130 kDa consistent with iNOS in mucosal extracts of 77% of normal individuals, and 85% of diseased controls. Immunolabelling localised this protein to the surface epithelium in most of the normal specimens and also to the crypt epithelium and inflammatory cells in the diseased controls.

Conclusions—These findings provide evidence that iNOS is often expressed in the surface epithelium of non-infiamed human colon, suggesting that it is induced by local luminal factors, such as bacterial lipopolysaccharide (endotoxin). The resultant NO produced at this site might act as an oxidative barrier, reducing bacterial translocation and providing a means of defence against pathogenic microorganisms.
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Nitric oxide (NO) is produced by the oxidation of the guanidino nitrogen atom of L-arginine by the nitric oxide synthases (NOS). These are broadly categorised into calcium dependent constitutive isoforms (endothelial NOS (eNOS) and neuronal NOS (nNOS)) and the calcium independent inducible isof orm (iNOS), which is produced by a variety of mammalian cells as a result of cytokine and/or bacterial endotoxin stimulation.24 iNOS expression in the human colon is believed to be dependent upon inflammatory conditions such as those occurring in ulcerative colitis.25 However, recent evidence suggests that iNOS is constitutively expressed in some tissues, such as the epithelium of human lung,26 and in the mouse ileal mucosa,27 with the plausible hypothesis that luminal antigens provide the stimulus for iNOS expression at these sites.

The colonic epithelium is continuously exposed to a variety of luminal factors (which might be modified by diet—for example, bacterial endotoxin), with the potential for iNOS induction. Rat colonic epithelial cells in culture express iNOS in the presence of bacterial endotoxin.28 Whether this isoform is expressed in a constitutive manner in the human colon is still a matter of debate, with conflicting data in the literature. In one study, iNOS was found in all normal mucosal specimens evaluated, whereas it was absent from cancer specimens.29 Another study, comparing the expression of this isoform in normal colon, adenomas, and carcinomas, gave contrasting results in that little or no expression was found in normal tissue.30 Therefore, we evaluated iNOS expression in the normal human colon as detailed below.

Patients and specimens

Surgical specimens

Histologically normal tissue was obtained during colectomy from patients with colorectal neoplasia from a site distant from the lesion. Full thickness biopsies were taken in four of these patients before colectomy, to control for any possible effect of surgical manipulation on iNOS expression. Surgical specimens from patients with refractory ulcerative colitis were used as inflammatory controls, with all patients receiving the same bowel preparation before surgery (table 1). Tissues were frozen immediately and stored at −70°C until analysis.

Endoscopic biopsies

Histologically normal mucosal biopsies obtained at rigid sigmoidoscopy (n = 8) and colonoscopy (n = 8) were obtained from patients attending the outpatient department who were later shown to have normal colons on either barium enema or full colonoscopy. It was felt necessary to analyse endoscopic biopsies from these normal colons because they were not influenced by any local carcinogenic factors or surgical stress and manipulation, two possible stimuli that might have influenced iNOS expression in the surgical specimens.
Inflamed mucosal biopsies from patients with active ulcerative colitis (n = 11) served as disease controls. All endoscopic biopsies were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and postfixed in fresh 1% paraformaldehyde pending immunohistochemical evaluation.

Materials and methods

**REVERSE TRANSCRIPTION POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION (RT-PCR)**

Total RNA was purified from 200 mg of frozen tissue according to the method of Chomczynski and Sacchi. Extracted RNA pellets were washed in 70% ethanol, dissolved in sterile water, and stored at −70°C until evaluated. Aliquots of each RNA sample (5 µg) were reverse transcribed to produce single stranded cDNA using random primers and AMV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Leek, the Netherlands). Samples of cDNA (50 ng) were then analysed by PCR amplification using iNOS specific nucleotide primers and Biotaq DNA polymerase (Bioline, London, UK). Primer sequences were as follows: iNOS sense, 5'-GAG AAA GCC CCC TGT GCC-3' and iNOS antisense, 5'-TAC CGC TTC CAC CCT GCC-3', which amplify a 424 bp cDNA fragment. PCR amplification was carried out for up to 45 cycles as follows: after five minutes denaturation at 93°C, hot start reactions were initiated (annealing 60°C for 30 seconds, extension 72°C for 30 seconds, and denaturation 93°C for 30 seconds). Semiquantitative PCR data were generated by kinetic analysis and compared with glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (G3PDH) mRNA values. Products were analysed by 6% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and film autoradiography using radiolabelled DNA size markers (100 bp ladder from Gibco-BRL, Paisley, UK). Products separated in this way were excised, eluted from the gel into 0.5 M ammonium acetate, and ethanol precipitated. The identity of the product was confirmed by direct cycle sequencing using a kit (Circumvent; New England Biolabs, Hitchin, UK).

**IMMUNOHISTOCHEMISTRY**

iNOS expression and cellular localisation were examined in tissue by immunoperoxidase labelling. Frozen surgical specimens were sectioned and then postfixed in 1% paraformaldehyde. Non-surgical biopsy specimens were frozen and postfixed in 1% paraformaldehyde. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked in the tissue sections, (10 µm section on APES coated slides), using the endogenous peroxidase suppressor “Immunopure” (Pierce Laboratories, Chester, UK) for 22 minutes, followed by the application of a 1/20 dilution of normal goat serum to block non-specific staining. The primary antibody, a rabbit polyclonal raised against a peptide corresponding to amino acids 3–22 mapping to the N-terminus of human iNOS, was obtained from Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, California, USA (N-20). This was applied to sections at a concentration of 0.5–1 µg/ml and incubated for one hour. Subsequently, the second antibody was applied, a goat antirabbit biotinylated antibody (applied at 5 µg/ml; Vecta Laboratories, California, USA). This was probed further with AB vectastain and developed with diaminobenzidine (DAB) for three to five minutes, giving a brown stain at sites of immunolabelling. Normal rabbit serum (concentration up to 2 µg/ml) was applied on serial sections as a negative control. As an additional control of specificity of labelling, it was ensured that any positive labelling was neutralised by preabsorption of the primary antibody with iNOS peptide. Sections were counterstained with haematoxylin (1/20 dilution).

**EXTRACTION AND IMMUNOBLOTTING OF iNOS**

The monospecificity of the primary iNOS antibody (Santa Cruz N-20) used was initially assessed by immunoblots. Purified human recombinant iNOS, eNOS, and nNOS obtained from transfected insect cells were used as standards (donated by R Knowles, Glaxo-Wellcome, Stevenage, UK). No cross reactivity was seen with the eNOS and nNOS standards, but strong labelling was seen with the purified iNOS (data not shown). This protein was used as a positive standard for tissue blots.

**TISSUE EXTRACTION**

Colonic mucosa was homogenised in ice cold RIPA buffer containing 25 mM Tris, pH 6.8, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS), 1% NP40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, and 0.9% NaCl. Protease inhibitors were added as follows: 100 µg/ml phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride (PMSF), aprotinin containing 5–10 trypsin inhibitor units (TIU)/ml diluted to 30 µl/ml, 1 µg/ml pepstatin, 0.5 µg/ml leupeptin, and 625 µg/ml n-ethylmaleimide. Samples for SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) were solubilised in sample buffer containing 62.5 mM Tris/HCl, 10% glycerol, 2% SDS, 0.1% bromophenol blue, and 100 mM dithiobitol (DTT), pH 6.8. Equal amounts of protein (20 µg) for each homogenate were loaded on 7.5%
SDS-PAGE gels and electrophoresed. Separated proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham, UK). The membrane was incubated with iNOS primary antibody (as described above) at a similar concentration (1 µg/ml) to that used for immunostaining, followed by probing with a goat anti-rabbit antibody (diluted 1/5000) linked to horseradish peroxidase (Vector Laboratories). Blots were developed by enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL; Amersham, UK).

**Results**

iNOS mRNA expression was detected in all specimens, both normal and inflamed, using RT-PCR (fig 1). Kinetic PCR analysis revealed increased iNOS production in the inflamed tissues compared with normal tissue when standardised to G3PDH, consistent with previous data (fig 2). Immunoblotting revealed the presence of a protein of approximately 130 kDa in 77% of normal and 85% of diseased specimens, which was shown to comigrate with the iNOS standard. The presence of this band was inhibited by the addition of a 2x concentration of the immunising N-20 peptide (Santa Cruz) (fig 3). Immunostaining localised iNOS protein to the surface epithelium in 80% of the histologically normal surgical specimens and in 90% of the normal endoscopic biopsies. Additional labelling was seen in the crypt epithelium and inflammatory cells of the lamina propria in inflamed mucosa in 85% of the surgical and in 93% of the endoscopic biopsy specimens (fig 4), irrespective of any treatment the patients with ulcerative colitis received before surgery. For all specimens staining positive, the immunolabelling was neutralised when antibody was preabsorbed with the N-20 iNOS peptide.

**Discussion**

Our data demonstrate that iNOS is expressed not only in inflamed mucosa in ulcerative colitis, but also in the epithelium of the non-inflamed human colon, as suggested by one previous study, although that report was limited by using immunohistochemistry alone and small numbers of patients. A further report suggested that iNOS enzyme activity was present in the mucosa of a few normal specimens, although they were unable to demonstrate iNOS protein expression itself in these specimens. This study also suggested that colonic iNOS expression was related to the stage of cancer development, with the highest degree of expression seen in adenomas; that is, precancerous lesions. Our surgical specimens were taken from patients with colorectal cancer or adenomas, although tissue was obtained from a site distant from the lesion. Because local carcinogenic factors might play a role in iNOS induction, we also examined colonic tissue taken endoscopically from patients shown to have completely normal colons, thereby eliminating such effects. All other studies have failed to demonstrate iNOS expression in the normal colon, and have therefore not confirmed the initial report.

---

**Figure 1** Qualitative RT-PCR analysis of inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) expression in surgical specimens showing the ubiquitous presence of a 424 bp DNA product indicating iNOS expression. MW, molecular weight markers (indicating 400 bp left lane). Lanes 1–6, normal tissue; lanes 7, 9, and 10, diseased specimens; lane 8, negative control.

**Figure 2** Example of kinetic PCR analysis of inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) expression in a diseased (left hand set) and a normal specimen (right hand set). Densitometrical analysis revealed the expected increase of iNOS product in diseased tissue compared with normal tissue. PCR cycle lengths: G3PDH, 30–34; iNOS, 41–45. G3PDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase.

**Figure 3** Immunoblotting of surgical mucosal specimens with primary anti-inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) antibody showing band of approximately 130 kDa expressed in normal mucosa, consistent with full length iNOS protein expression. Lanes 1 and 2, normal mucosa; lane S, iNOS recombinant protein standard (smaller sized bands indicating iNOS degradation on storage). Lane P shows immunoreactivity of iNOS inhibited by coincubation with the immunising peptide.
The expression of iNOS in the normal colonic epithelium is likely to occur in response to luminal factors, such as bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS), which are the only locally occurring substances known to induce iNOS. In some ways, this is similar to the expression of iNOS in the epithelium of normal lung, which also occurs in close proximity to such stimulants. All normal specimens expressed iNOS mRNA, with iNOS protein expression in most of these specimens being evaluated. Interestingly, the localisation of iNOS was found to be patchy along the surface epithelium, a feature that we as yet cannot explain. Therefore, it would seem necessary to evaluate large numbers of specimens and to use multiple techniques to obtain representative data in the normal human colon, as illustrated by our study.

Epithelial cell derived NO could provide an oxidative barrier, thereby reducing bacterial translocation through the colonic mucosa and might, therefore, be viewed as part of the local colonic barrier system. However, high concentrations of NO might be cytotoxic to epithelial cells at this site, either directly, or as a result of its reaction with superoxide radicals to form peroxynitrite, as occurs in inflammatory conditions of the colon. In high concentrations, NO will also induce apoptosis in some mammalian cells, whereas evidence is accumulating to suggest that inhibition of apoptosis occurs at lower concentrations of NO. At the cellular level, the chemistry of NO is ill defined and complex, with the potential of NO either to induce or inhibit apoptosis in the normal colonic epithelium, depending upon the luminal and cellular microenvironment.

Other pathophysiological effects of NO and its oxides include nitrosation of amines, which in the colonic epithelium would lead to increased concentrations of luminal nitrosamines with their carcinogenic potential. In addition, NO has been shown to deaminate DNA bases, thereby having the potential to alter the genome directly.

Dietary factors are implicated in colorectal carcinoma, including red meat, which increases faecal concentrations of N-nitroso compounds. The mechanism by which this increase in nitrosamine concentration occurs is uncertain, but may be via epithelial iNOS expression, with resultant nitrosation of luminal amines by NO, thus creating a luminal microenvironment that is more likely to lead to carcinogenesis.

In summary, using multiple techniques we have shown the expression of iNOS in the mucosa of normal human colon in a large number of specimens. The resultant NO produced by this enzyme might have beneficial effects by both maintaining epithelial barrier function, with prevention of bacterial translocation, and by stimulating apoptosis. Conversely, in certain luminal environments, epithelial NO might be carcinogenic to colonic epithelial cells. Therefore, mucosal iNOS expression might be a link between diet or some other luminal component and colorectal carcinogenesis. Further studies are required to identify possible stimulants of iNOS induction in the normal colon, whether dietary or another luminal factor, and to evaluate the effects of this iNOS expression in the normal colonic epithelium with regard to apoptosis and carcinogenesis.

Figure 4 Immunolocalisation of inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) in surgical specimens showing surface epithelial staining in the normal tissue, and more extensive labelling in the diseased tissue. (A) Non-immune serum, normal mucosa; (B) iNOS expression, normal mucosa; (C) control (primary antibody quenched with 2× concentration of immunising peptide), normal mucosa; (D) iNOS expression, diseased mucosa. Original magnification ×120 (A, C, and D); original magnification ×240 (B).
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