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Abstract

Aims—The spectrum of microscopic lesions resulting from the chronic use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), known as chemical gastritis, remains unclear, and the variable prevalence reported in different studies makes this issue a matter of lively debate. The aim of this study was to evaluate the prevalence and importance of chemical gastritis in patients regularly taking NSAIDs. Owing to the high prevalence of Helicobacter pylori infection, particularly in subjects over 60 years of age, and in view of a possible association with damage, the presence of H pylori infection in the same tissue sample was also determined in all patients.

Methods—One hundred and ninety seven subjects were enrolled, 118 of whom were receiving chronic treatment with NSAIDs and 79 of whom were controls, pair matched for age, sex, and clinical symptoms (ulcer-like dyspepsia or upper digestive tract haemorrhage). Antral biopsies taken during upper gastroduodenal endoscopy were assessed for chemical gastritis according to a modified version of Dixon’s score, and for helicobacter correlated chronic active gastritis, according to the updated Sydney system.

Results—Chemical gastritis was identified in 11 patients taking NSAIDs (9%) and in four controls (5%) (p < 0.05). Helicobacter pylori was detected in 53 patients taking NSAIDs (45%) and in 34 controls (43%). Patients taking NSAIDs had a significantly higher number of erosions and ulcers and worse endoscores than controls. The presence of H pylori did not appear to increase histological damage, ulcer prevalence, or haemorrhagic events.

Conclusions—Chemical gastritis is present in a limited number of patients regularly taking NSAIDs, and is not strongly correlated with NSAID induced damage. In many cases of peptic ulcer or upper gastrointestinal bleeding in patients taking NSAIDs, the presence of chemical gastritis or H pylori infection cannot solely account for the development of mucosal damage.
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The chronic use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) is a common cause of gastroduodenal erosions and peptic ulcers resulting, in many cases, in fatal haemorrhage.1–3

NSAIDs and Helicobacter pylori infection are thought to be the two most important exogenous factors in gastric and duodenal ulcer disease. The relative frequency of H pylori and NSAIDs as the cause of peptic ulcers varies and is, at least in part, correlated to the prevalence of H pylori infection and the use of NSAIDs in a population,11 as well as to the expertise in identifying the presence of H pylori infection.12

The clinical and demographic data of NSAIDs and H pylori related gastroduodenal ulcers are considerably different. Whereas the histopathology of H pylori correlated gastritis is associated with well defined histological features,13 the spectrum of microscopic gastric lesions caused by chronic ingestion of NSAIDs is unspecific and is currently a matter of lively debate.14–18

Moreover, because NSAIDs are widely used in the elderly1 and the incidence of H pylori infection is increased in the elderly,19 H pylori should be present in almost 50% of those patients over 60 years taking NSAIDs.

Although the damage mechanisms of these two factors probably follow independent pathways,21–23 from a practical point of view it is important to establish whether their effects are the sum of two independent forms of injury (additive effect) or whether one form of injury can enhance the effect of the other (synergistic effect). Similarly, it is crucial to determine whether we should diagnose and cure H pylori infection in all patients about to undergo long term treatment with NSAIDs.

The aim of our study was to define the histological spectrum of the gastric damage seen in symptomatic patients who are regular users of NSAIDs and its relation to H pylori infection, endoscopic lesions (erosions and/or ulcers), and a major clinical event such as haemorrhage.

Patients and methods

One hundred and eighteen adult patients treated regularly with NSAIDs for a variety of conditions and observed in our unit for signs of potential injury to the gastroduodenal mucosae (such as ulcer-like dyspepsia or upper intestinal tract haemorrhage) were examined by upper gastroduodenal endoscopy. All the patients...
with haemorrhage presented melena or hae- 
matemesis of mild or medium severity; to be 
enrolled, however, they had to be able to 
undergo the endoscopic examination. The 
drugs, which had been used for at least one 
month at the dosage indicated, were: aspirin 
(100–200 mg), diclofenac (100–200 mg), 
naproxen (500–1000 mg), and ketoprofen 
(100–200 mg/day) in 68, 22, nine, and 19 
patients, respectively. Seventy nine subjects 
not regularly taking NSAIDs, pair matched for age, 
sex, race, and symptoms, were used as controls. 
The exclusion criteria were: recent use of antibi-
otics, antisecretory (particularly proton 
pump inhibitors) or cytostatic drugs, and 
previous gastroduodenal surgery. All patients 
were asked for complete clinical notes and were 
questioned about habits such as smoking and 
alcohol intake. Informed consent was obtained 
before the upper gastroduodenal endoscopy, 
which was performed without medication. 
The endoscopic lesions were graded accord-
ing to the following score:\footnote{1} 0; minor 
erthema; 1, antral or duodenal erosions (≤ 5); 2, 
antral and duodenal erosions (or > 5); 3, ulcerations 
measuring 5 mm in diameter or more. 
A set of two to four mapped antrum biopsy 
specimens was obtained from patients and 
controls. Histology of all biopsies, processed 
routinely and stained by haematoxylin and 
eosin, according to the method of May-
Grünwald-Giemsa, was assessed independ-
ently and blindly by two different pathologists 
trained in gastrointestinal pathology, and a 
third pathologist was consulted in the event of 
disagreement. 
Chronic inflammation (CI), *H pylori* density 
(HpD), polymorphonuclear neutrophil activity 
(PNA), glandular atrophy (GA), and intestinal 
metaplasia (IM) were classified according to 
the updated Sydney system.\footnote{2} To improve 
comparability of parameters, specimens were 
scored for HpD, IM, and GA as positive (1) or 
negative (0); CI and PNA were graded as 
positive (0), mild (1), moderate (2), or 
pronounced (3). Patients scoring 4 or more 
were considered to have chronic active gastritis. 
This form is characterised by a high infiltration 
of polymorphonuclear neutrophils (PMN) 
attracted to the tissue by the presence of *H py-
lori* (fig 1). 

All biopsies were also graded according to a 
modified version of Dixon’s system for chemi-
ical gastritis.\footnote{3} Foveolar hyperplasia, oedema, 
and prominent smooth muscle fibres were 
graded as absent (0) or present (1) because, in 
our experience, a more extensive score does not 
work; loss of PMN and plasma cells were 
scored inversely from many (0) to absent (3). 
Vasodilatation and congestion were not consid-
ered because, in our view, they are mostly the 
result of biotic trauma and are therefore also 
present in patients from the control group. 
Patients scoring more than 6 were considered 
to have chemical gastritis (fig 2). 

The data were analysed using the χ² test for 
the per cent values and the Student’s paired *t* 
test for the comparison of mean values; p 

\[ \chi^2 \text{ test for per cent values and Student's paired } t \text{ test for comparison of mean values; } p \]

Results 
A total of 197 subjects were examined, 118 of 
whom were regular NSAID users, and 79 of 
whom were controls. Table 1 gives the 
demographic and clinical details of the patients 
and controls. 

Table 2 details the histological pattern of the 
antral mucosa seen in the two groups. Chemi-
cal gastritis was only present in a minority of 
patients using NSAIDs, but its prevalence was 

\[ \text{Table 1 Demographic and clinical details of patients and controls} \]

\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|} 
\hline 
& NSAID users & Controls & \\
(\(n = 118\)) & (\(n = 79\)) & \\
\hline 
Sex (M/F) & 51/67 & 31/48 & \\
Mean age (range), years & 66.5 (22–88) & 67.3 (21–87) & \\
Smokers & 40 & 18 & \\
Alcoholics & No & No & \\
Haemorrhages & 24 & 16 & \\
\hline 
\end{tabular} 

\[ \text{NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.} \]

\[ \text{Table 2 Histological pattern of antral mucosa in the two groups} \]

\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|}
\hline 
& NSAID users & Controls & \\
(\(n = 118\)) & (\(n = 79\)) & \\
\hline 
Chronic gastritis & 53 (45\%) & 32 (41\%) & \\
Acute gastritis & 34 (29\%) & 15 (19\%) & \\
Chemical gastritis & 54 (45\%) & 53 (67\%) & \\
No lesions & 7 (9\%) & 7 (9\%) & \\
\hline 
\end{tabular} 

\[ \text{Significance was calculated by means of the } \chi^2 \text{ test.} \]

\[ \text{NS, not significant; NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.} \]
Patients in the control group had a total of 12 ulcers—nine duodenal, two gastric, and one gastric and duodenal—and only six of these patients were positive for *H pylori* infection.

NSAID users complaining of one or more haemorrhagic episodes had more ulcers and worse endoscopes than the others, although the prevalence of chemical and active gastritis did not reach significance. The prevalence of *H pylori* was considerably, although not significantly, lower (33% v 48%) than in those patients without haemorrhage (table 5).

Finally, all the NSAIDs used in our series had a low damaging profile, which might account for the fact that we did not find a significant correlation between the severity of the endoscopic and/or histological finding and the type of drug used.

### Discussion

In 1986, Dixon et al investigated several morphological parameters of the gastric mucosa (foveal hyperplasia, occurrence of smooth muscle fibres and oedema in the lamina propria), vasodilatation, congestion of superficial capillaries, and paucity of both acute and chronic inflammatory cells) and found a strong association between severe grades of each of these histological variables and both hypochlorhydria and increased bile acid concentrations in the stomach, suggesting that reflux gastritis was a distinct histopathological entity. A few years later, Sobala et al stated that most cases of so called “reflux gastritis” in the intact stomach did not result from the reflux of bile, but were caused by NSAID use, and suggested calling this type of gastritis “C” or “chemical”. In 1992, Laine et al considered a different set of morphological parameters and concluded that the development of ulcers (but not gastritis) in patients taking NSAIDs did not require *H pylori*.

Caselli et al reported that distinguishing features in patients treated with NSAIDs were erosions, maceroesions, and the presence of prominent capillaries in suberosive areas of the lamina propria. Finally, El-Zimaity et al concluded that none of these histological features could be used singularly to characterise the diagnosis of chemical gastropathy.

The variability of the data reported in the literature may have a variety of explanations, the most plausible being the fact that the parameters used to define chemical gastritis are not well defined. Foveolar hyperplasia, which appears to be a result of excessive cell exfoliation from the surface epithelium or of stimulation by cytokines or other inflammatory mediators, was first reported in patients with bile reflux and subsequently observed after gastric surgery in long term NSAID users. Currently, according to the updated Sydney system, it “may be seen in all forms of gastritis, but is most pronounced in chemical gastritis”. Oedema, vasodilatation, haemorrhage, and telangiectasia are unstable features that are possibly related to local factors and/or biopsy. The increased number of smooth muscle fibres in the lamina propria was originally demonstrated by Dixon and colleagues but, although

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Endoscopic and histological findings in NSAID users and controls complaining of ulcer-like dyspepsia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSAID users (n = 94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosions</td>
<td>33 (35%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcers</td>
<td>22 (23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean endoscore (SD)</td>
<td>1.20 (0.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical gastritis</td>
<td>8 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active gastritis</td>
<td>20 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helicobacter pylori</td>
<td>45 (48%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance was calculated by means of the Student’s t test for mean values and the χ² test for percentages. NS, not significant; NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
<th>Endoscopic and histological findings in NSAID users according to Helicobacter pylori infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Helicobacter present (n = 53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>67.3 (40–84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosions</td>
<td>23 (43%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcers</td>
<td>17 (32%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean endoscore (SD)</td>
<td>1.28 (0.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical gastritis</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active gastritis</td>
<td>17 (32%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance was calculated by means of the Student’s t test for means and χ² test for percentages. NS, not significant; NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug.

higher than in controls. The other types of gastritis (chronic active gastritis and chronic non-active gastritis, or, strictly speaking, chronic gastritis) were equally distributed across the two groups, with the most common form being chronic non-active gastritis. The prevalence of *H pylori* (~ 40–50%) was not significantly different in the two groups.

Of the patients complaining of ulcer-like dyspepsia (table 3), the group of NSAID users had significantly more endoscopic lesions (erosions and/or ulcers), although the percentage of chemical or active gastritis did not reach significance. The number of patients infected with *H pylori* was similar in the two groups (48% v 41%).

With regard to *H pylori* in the NSAID user group (table 4), the 53 *H pylori* positive patients had similar endoscopic patterns to the 65 *H pylori* negative patients, as well as a higher percentage of active gastritis and no cases of chemical gastritis.

A total of 38 ulcers—21 duodenal, 15 gastric, and two gastric and duodenal—were diagnosed in the patients taking NSAIDs, 17 of whom were *H pylori* positive (seven gastric and 12 duodenal ulcers). Within this group, the distribution of chemical and active gastritis was not significantly different between the patients with and without ulcers, whereas the number of erosions was higher in the last subgroup (table 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5</th>
<th>Endoscopic and histological findings in NSAID users according to the presence of ulcers and haemorrhagic events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>Erosions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcers (n = 38)</td>
<td>6 (16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No ulcers (n = 80)</td>
<td>40 (49%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemorrhages (n = 24)</td>
<td>10 (42%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No haemorrhages (n = 94)</td>
<td>33 (35%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance was calculated by means of the Student’s t test for mean values and χ² test for percentages.

*p <0.05 between patients with and without ulcers.

†p<0.05 between patients with and without haemorrhages.

NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug.
usually reported as characteristic of chemical gastritis, in later studies it was not seen in long term NSAID users with chemical gastritis or in chemical gastritis induced by naproxen. Erosion and macroerosion are not often present in biopsy specimens because they are dependent on the sectioning and localisation of the endoscopic biopsy. Nonetheless, the prevalence of chemical gastritis in chronic NSAID users, described by the different authors, varies between 26% and 45%. In our study, we calculated a prevalence of about 10% in the whole population studied (table 2), with prevalence being only slightly lower among those patients with ulcers and not significantly higher in those reporting digestive haemorrhage (table 5). As can be seen in table 2, four patients in the control group had scores consistent with chemical gastritis. These patients had not taken NSAIDs in the three months preceding the study, and neither were they alcohol abusers. However, it cannot be excluded that these patients had taken other medications or ingested foods that were potentially harmful to the mucosa. In statistical terms they have to be considered false positives. These data confirm, in our view, that chemical gastritis is not a pathognomonic histotype of the chronic gastric damage caused by the use of NSAIDs. According to El-Zimaity and colleagues this may be accounted for by a variety of reasons, including the facts that of all the patients regularly taking NSAIDs, only a few (those with greater sensitivity) develop chemical gastritis, and mucosal damage can be patchy rather than uniform.

Other findings of our study are also worthy of note. The first is the relation between chronic NSAID use and colonisation by H pylori. The first papers on this question reported a lower prevalence of H pylori in the gastric mucosa of patients receiving chronic NSAID treatment. It was thought that the gastric environment created by the use of anti-inflammatory medication might be unfavourable to H pylori implantation, a view confirmed by the fact that NSAIDs can block the growth of the bacterium in vitro.

Later, possibly because of improved accuracy of diagnostic methods, other studies reported an equal prevalence of H pylori in chronic NSAID users and control groups.

Similarly, the prevalence of H pylori seen in our study (45–50%) was similar in the two groups, leading us to exclude the possibility that anti-inflammatory drugs can impair implantation and growth of H pylori in the stomach mucosa. Thus, we can conclude that bacteria and medications are two frequent but independent aetiological damaging factors.

Another much debated topic is whether the presence of H pylori can modify the histological picture associated with NSAID induced damage and, if so, in what way. According to some authors, chemical gastritis is only prevalent where H pylori infection is absent. This may be because the inflammation caused by the infection alters the characteristics of the pharmacological damage that has partly reverse histological features. In Dixon’s scoring system, chemical gastritis and chronic active gastritis are in fact mutually exclusive. Even El-Zimaity et al concluded that simultaneous infection by H pylori makes the histological diagnosis of chemical gastritis extremely difficult. In agreement with these data, none of our 53 H pylori positive patients who were chronic users of NSAIDs had chemical gastritis, whereas about a third of these patients had a score consistent with active chronic gastritis. NSAIDs can cause considerable damage and are associated with a high prevalence of gastroduodenal ulcers. NSAID related ulcers might originate by two possible mechanisms: the concomitant presence of H pylori infection and/or the mucosal damage that was thought to show up as chemical gastritis. Laine et al have already shown that gastric ulcers associated with the use of NSAIDs are an important subgroup of ulcers that do not require the presence of H pylori infection for their formation.

In our series of patients taking NSAIDs, H pylori infection was invariably close to 45%, both in those with ulcers and in those without (table 5). Of the 38 patients with ulcers, 17 were H pylori positive and three had chemical gastritis, but in 18 neither of these factors could explain the presence of the ulcer.

It must be concluded that, at least in our cases, the presence of H pylori does not seem to enhance the risk of the development of ulcers, so that in many cases NSAIDs might be responsible for producing the lesions via different pathways to those mentioned above. NSAIDs also act by means of other damage mechanisms, the most important being inhibition of the synthesis of prostaglandins and functional rupture of the mucosal barrier. These factors may underlie erosion and/or ulcers in H pylori negative cases not presenting evidence of chemical gastritis.

In patients taking NSAIDs it is still unclear whether concomitant infection with H pylori plays a synergic role in causing bleeding. This hypothesis has been supported by some authors, but not confirmed by others. One paper has even shown that the presence of H pylori significantly prevents the depletion of tissue prostaglandins caused by NSAIDs, thus reducing their damage potential.

In our 24 chronic users of NSAIDs who reported one or more episode of upper intestinal tract haemorrhage, the bleeding originated from a gastric ulcer in 10 patients, a duodenal ulcer in four, a haemorrhagic gastropathy with erosions in eight, and a duodenal ulcer with gastric erosions in two. In this group, in agreement with the literature data that report a low prevalence of H pylori in patients with haemorrhage, we identified H pylori infection in only eight cases; three other cases had chemical gastritis. In 13 patients, none of whom were alcoholics, the mechanism underlying the mucosal damage was not easily identifiable on the basis of the histological findings (table 5). The results of our study are also worthy of note. The first is the relation between chronic NSAID use and colonisation by H pylori. The first papers on this question reported a lower prevalence of H pylori in the gastric mucosa of patients receiving chronic NSAID treatment. It was thought that the gastric environment created by the use of anti-inflammatory medication might be unfavourable to H pylori implantation, a view confirmed by the fact that NSAIDs can block the growth of the bacterium in vitro. Later, possibly because of improved accuracy of diagnostic methods, other studies reported an equal prevalence of H pylori in chronic NSAID users and control groups.

Similarly, the prevalence of H pylori seen in our study (45–50%) was similar in the two groups, leading us to exclude the possibility that anti-inflammatory drugs can impair implantation and growth of H pylori in the stomach mucosa. Thus, we can conclude that bacteria and medications are two frequent but independent aetiological damaging factors.

Another much debated topic is whether the presence of H pylori can modify the histological picture associated with NSAID induced damage and, if so, in what way. According to some authors, chemical gastritis is only prevalent where H pylori infection is absent. This may be because the inflammation caused by the infection alters the characteristics of the pharmacological damage that has partly reverse histological features. In Dixon’s scoring system, chemical gastritis and chronic active gastritis are in fact mutually exclusive. Even El-Zimaity et al concluded that simultaneous infection by H pylori makes the histological diagnosis of chemical gastritis extremely difficult. In agreement with these data, none of our 53 H pylori positive patients who were chronic users of NSAIDs had chemical gastritis, whereas about a third of these patients had a score consistent with active chronic gastritis. NSAIDs can cause considerable damage and are associated with a high prevalence of gastroduodenal ulcers. NSAID related ulcers might originate by two possible mechanisms: the concomitant presence of H pylori infection and/or the mucosal damage that was thought to show up as chemical gastritis. Laine et al have already shown that gastric ulcers associated with the use of NSAIDs are an important subgroup of ulcers that do not require the presence of H pylori infection for their formation.

In our series of patients taking NSAIDs, H pylori infection was invariably close to 45%, both in those with ulcers and in those without (table 5). Of the 38 patients with ulcers, 17 were H pylori positive and three had chemical gastritis, but in 18 neither of these factors could explain the presence of the ulcer.

It must be concluded that, at least in our cases, the presence of H pylori does not seem to enhance the risk of the development of ulcers, so that in many cases NSAIDs might be responsible for producing the lesions via different pathways to those mentioned above. NSAIDs also act by means of other damage mechanisms, the most important being inhibition of the synthesis of prostaglandins and functional rupture of the mucosal barrier. These factors may underlie erosion and/or ulcers in H pylori negative cases not presenting evidence of chemical gastritis.

Even in patients taking NSAIDs it is still unclear whether concomitant infection with H pylori plays a synergic role in causing bleeding. This hypothesis has been supported by some authors, but not confirmed by others. One paper has even shown that the presence of H pylori significantly prevents the depletion of tissue prostaglandins caused by NSAIDs, thus reducing their damage potential.

In our 24 chronic users of NSAIDs who reported one or more episode of upper intestinal tract haemorrhage, the bleeding originated from a gastric ulcer in 10 patients, a duodenal ulcer in four, a haemorrhagic gastropathy with erosions in eight, and a duodenal ulcer with gastric erosions in two. In this group, in agreement with the literature data that report a low prevalence of H pylori in patients with haemorrhage, we identified H pylori infection in only eight cases; three other cases had chemical gastritis. In 13 patients, none of whom were alcoholics, the mechanism underlying the mucosal damage was not easily identifiable on the basis of the histological findings (table 5).
In conclusion, our study has shown that neither chemical gastritis nor the presence of *H pylori* strongly correlates with the gastroduodenal injury induced by NSAIDs. This damage eludes all attempts at histological characterisation: the histological parameters put forward to date lack sufficient sensitivity or specificity to be considered reliable.