A rare presentation of a rare disease

A 29 year old woman presented to the gynecology services with a history of cervical smear cytology and punch biopsy showing human papillomavirus related changes, associated with moderate dyskaryosis. She had undergone laser loop excision biopsy of the transformation zone (LLETZ) two years before for previous abnormal smears. This showed moderate dysplasia in the ectocervical epithelium, but was otherwise unremarkable. A second laser loop biopsy was performed. This was received in the histopathology department in two pieces, 1.5 and 1.2 cm in greatest dimension, respectively. On microscopic examination, focal mild squamous dysplasia was identified in each piece. However, in addition to this numerous eosinophils were seen in the cervical stroma. On close inspection, these were admixed with histiocytic cells, the nuclei of which displayed a degree of atypia, with a convoluted shape (see figs 1 and 2 for low and high power views, respectively). Immunohistochemistry revealed these cells to be positive both for S100 protein (fig 2) and CD1a (fig 3). A diagnosis of Langerhans cell histiocytosis (LCH) was made.

Following this diagnosis, the previous cervical smears were reviewed, but apart from the dyskaryotic squamous cells reported previously, no other abnormal cells were identified.

Figure 1 Low power view showing S100 protein positive histiocytoid cells in cervical stroma.

Figure 2 High power view of S100 protein positive histiocytoid cells in cervical stroma.

Figure 3 High power view of CD1a immunohistochemical stain showing positivity in the histiocytoid cells.

This is not surprising because the Langerhans cells were confined to the cervical stroma, and the overlying epithelium was not ulcerated, and hence it is difficult to see how a cervical smear could sample the tissue affected by LCH.

A review of the world literature by Axiotis and colleagues1 has revealed only 38 previous cases of LCH, to which four more were added in their report. Cases appeared to fall into four groups, namely: (1) those limited to the genital tract, or (2) associated with genital LCH; with subsequent multorgan involvement, (3) associated with oral or cutaneous LCH with subsequent genital involvement, or (4) associated with diabetes insipidus with subsequent genital and multorgan LCH.

No case has been found in the literature in which the diagnosis of cervical LCH was made as an incidental finding in a LLETZ biopsy, as in our present case.

In summary, our present case is of interest in that it draws attention to a disease with potential systemic importance, which is not only rare in itself, but only very rarely presents with a cervical lesion.
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Sputum cytology: an unsatisfactory test?

After the correspondence of Burton and colleagues1 and the Royal College of Pathologists’ working group guidelines2 on the use of sputum cytology as a diagnostic test, we reviewed sputum figures for our department for the period 1998–2001. The results are shown in table 1. These figures confirm that a high proportion of samples are unsatisfactory (30%), although our diagnostic rate (8%) for malignancy was higher than that of Burton et al.,3 who identified only a solitary carcinoma.

In addition, although most of the unsatisfactory specimens were submitted by non-respiratory physicians, it was this group that yielded the high pick up rate of malignancy (73%). We consider from these results that sputum cytology still has a place in the investigation of patients with suspected lung malignancy who are unsuitable for bronchoscopy. We agree however that careful selection of patients and correct collection of adequate lower tract material are essential. Although sputum sampling is thought of as a relatively quick, easy, and cheap investigation, it is labour intensive for pathology laboratory and medical staff to prepare and examine. In this present climate of pathology staff shortage, we agree that the use of this test should be restricted.

Table 1 Sputum data for our department for the period 1998 to 2001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>394 (51%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytological atypia</td>
<td>82 (11%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant</td>
<td>58 (8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>238 (30%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>772 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clinical source

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory physicians</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-respiratory physicians</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory physicians</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-respiratory physicians</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Glottis oedema and interstitial pulmonary disease as a result of extramedullary haemopoiesis in polycythaemia vera rubra causing asphyctic death

Polycythaemia vera rubra (PV) is a clonal disorder of the pluripotent haemopoietic progenitor cell, with overproduction of phenotypically typical erythrocytes, granulocytes, and platelets in the absence of recognisable stimuli. PV usually becomes clinically apparent as a result of splanchnegaly, hyperuricaemia, or agenesis of the ovary, which is suggestive of endometriosis. The term “presumptive” is also used as the description in Ackerman’s surgical pathology. Thus, by using CD10 immunostaining, we can make a definite diagnosis of ovarian endometrial cyst even if there is no obvious component of endometrius epithelium. We also had an interesting case of diaphragmatic endometriosis in a patient with catamenial pneumothorax, in addition to a very rare case of intraluminal endometriosis of the fallopian tube occluding the tubal lumen. In these cases, CD10 was also useful to confirm the diagnosis of endometrial cyst, as a marker of endometrial stroma.
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Figure 1 Extramedullary haemopoietic infiltration of the laryngeal submucosa consisting of megakaryocytes, myelopoietic cells, and erythropoietic cells. Original magnification, ×400.

CD10 is useful in demonstrating endometrial stroma at ectopic sites and in confirming a diagnosis of endometriosis

We read with interest the article by Sumathi and McCluggage entitled “CD10 is useful in demonstrating endometrial stroma at ectopic sites and in confirming a diagnosis of endometriosis” in a recent issue of this journal.1 Coincidentally, we did the same kind of study regarding CD10 for our intradepartmental research work. Regarding cases of endometriosis of the ovary, we also included cases that were “suggestive of endometriosis”, which is described in Ackerman’s surgical pathology. It reads: “Not frequently, the repeated hemorrhages have totally destroyed the endometrial tissue, the cyst being lined by several layers of hemosiderin-laden macrophages. Under these circumstances, the most the pathologist can do is to report the case as a hemorrhagic cyst and comment that the changes are ‘consistent’ with those of endometriosis.” The term “presumptive” is also used as the description in Ackerman’s surgical pathology. Thus, by using CD10 immunostaining, we can make a definite diagnosis of ovarian endometrial cyst even if there is no obvious component of endometrius epithelium. We also had an interesting case of diaphragmatic endometriosis in a patient with catamenial pneumothorax, in addition to a very rare case of intraluminal endometriosis of the fallopian tube occluding the tubal lumen. In these cases, CD10 was also useful to confirm the diagnosis of endometrial cyst, as a marker of endometrial stroma.
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BOOK REVIEWS

Essentials of Anatomic Pathology

What is the best format to store and transmit information? With the development of electronic storage media and the Internet, paper is not always the first choice but even if it is then there are other decisions to be made. Will the book be illustrated? Will it be written in fully expanded grammatical prose or will some information be contained in lists and tables? How will it be indexed? What degree of cross-referencing will be included?

The authors of this textbook have taken the unusual step of producing a large (893 page) multi-author text on anatomical pathology with no illustrations and all the text presented as succinct bulleted lists. Because I come from the “picture is worth a thousand words” school of information presentation and assimilation, I was initially sceptical of this approach, but I spent some time investigating the usefulness of this format.

The book is divided into general pathology and organ systems. Each organ chapter is divided into the usual categories of congenital anomalies, inflammatory, and neoplastic conditions. Each condition has its features listed under headings such as clinical, macroscopic, microscopic, immunohistochemistry, electron microscopy, and differential diagnosis. However, these are pure lists with very little explanatory text. Any technical description of a histology appearance—for example, pinealoma, synovial nodular proliferations—will send trainee pathologists scurrying to a diagnostic histopathology laboratory for either consultant or trainee pathologists. The absence of illustrations, the list format of the text, and the absence of an index mean that there are a multitude of more accessible and useful sources of this information.

S Cross

Leucocyte Typing VII

This book is the edited proceedings of the seventh conference or international workshop on white cell differentiation antigens held in Harrogate, UK in June 2000. Although originally confined to white cells like Topsy these meetings have grown to encompass many other related cell types, such as dendritic cells, endothelial cells, platelets, and red blood cells. Originally the best intentions of the authors of this book I cannot find any reference for it within a diagnostic histopathology laboratory for either consultant or trainee pathologists. The absence of illustrations, the list format of the text, and the absence of an index mean that there are a multitude of more accessible and useful sources of this information.

K Gatter

Biochemical Investigations in Laboratory Medicine

I was considering buying this book but to my delight a gratis copy arrived on my desk to review. But would it have been worth purchasing?

The book, published in the form of a glossy surfaced ring binder, presumably for hands on laboratory use, gives diagnostic algorithms and protocols for various biochemical dynamic tests. Competitors would possibly include Algorithms in Chemical Pathology, by M Crook, Butterworth-Heinemann and The Bart’s Endocrine Protocols by PJ Trainer and M Besser, Churchill-Livingstone. Not everyone will necessarily agree with the algorithms described by the authors. I found some possibly a little too simplistic but they nevertheless cover a range of conditions likely to be encountered by the hospital laboratory.

I found the book particularly useful regarding non-endocrine tests, such as the investigation of renal tubular acidosis or renal calculi. However, if there is a next edition then updating and clarification of some of the dynamic tests will be necessary—for example, oral glucose tolerance tests will need to include impaired fasting glucose.

At under 200 pages this was an easy and fruitful read. It would be a useful book for the chemical pathology department to have, close to hand, to help with those difficult queries. In response to my initial question this is a book worth buying for the clinical laboratory; although a little pricey at £24.

M Crook