**CASE REPORT**

Isolated EBV lymphoproliferative disease in a child with Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome manifesting as cutaneous lymphomatoid granulomatosis and responsive to anti-CD20 immunotherapy
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Patients with primary immunodeficiencies such as the Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome (WAS) are prone to develop Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) related lymphoproliferative disorders (LPDs). EBV LPD is most frequently seen in patients receiving immunosuppressive treatment after organ transplantation (post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder), but can also arise in the primary immunodeficiencies. Typically, EBV LPD presents as a diffuse systemic disease with lymphadenopathy and organ involvement. A rare angiocentric and angiodestructive form of EBV associated B cell LPD, lymphomatoid granulomatosis (LyG), has also been reported in association with WAS. LyG most commonly involves the lung, but can also be seen in brain, kidney, liver, and skin. This report describes the case of a 16 year old boy with WAS who presented with an isolated non-healing ulcerating skin lesion. Biopsy revealed an EBV related LPD with the histological features of LyG. This cutaneous lesion responded dramatically to treatment with specific anti-CD20 immunotherapy and the patient remains clinically free of LPD at 18 months.

A 15 year old boy with known WAS presented with an isolated, non-healing, annular 1.5 cm lesion on the left thigh. An initial punch biopsy revealed mild chronic inflammation only, with no specific features, and no organisms could be identified. He remained systemically well but the lesion increased in size to 4 cm and a further incisional biopsy was performed. This showed a focal ulcerating lesion with granulation tissue and fibrosis, and a dense angiocentric lymphocytic infiltrate within the dermis (fig 1). Special stains for fungi, bacteria, and mycobacteria were negative. Immunostaining for CD79a, CD20, CD5, and CD3 demonstrated a mixed T and B cell population, and enabled the identification of a subpopulation of enlarged B cells with moderate nuclear pleomorphism. In situ hybridisation (ISH) for EBV showed highly localised and intense staining for Epstein-Barr encoded viral RNAs (EBERs) in the intralesional lymphocytes, but no positive cells within the surrounding apparently normal skin (fig 1). Polymerase chain reaction analysis demonstrated a clonal immunoglobulin heavy chain rearrangement in the lymphoid infiltrate using FR3 primers. Further investigations revealed no evidence of systemic LPD and the patient was treated with anti-CD20 immunotherapy (Rituximab, MabThera; Roche, Basel, Switzerland; 375 mg/m² intravenous infusion: four doses over a four week period). Three months after the initial diagnosis, a further biopsy showed persistent ulceration and an associated inflammatory reaction with dense underlying fibrosis. The larger pleomorphic lymphocytes were no longer present and immunostaining revealed some residual T cells and a complete absence of B cells. Furthermore, EBV ISH staining showed that there were no EBER positive cells present (fig 2). Follow up is now at 18 months and there is no clinical evidence of recurrent lymphoproliferative disease.

DISCUSSION

We have presented a case of isolated cutaneous EBV related LPD with the features of lymphomatoid granulomatosis. The lesion arose in an immunocompromised patient with WAS, and treatment with immunotherapy showed a pronounced, immunohistologically demonstrable response.

Immunosuppressed patients are prone to clinical complications of EBV infection, including both PTLD and B cell lymphoma, and EBV related LPD is a recognised complication of lymphoproliferative disorders such as WAS. The mechanism of this association may relate to an abnormal response of lymphocytes to EBV infection, and reduced surface expression and aberrant proteolysis of CD23 in patients with WAS, and coexistent EBV infection has been reported. Apparently isolated EBV related cutaneous LPD has been previously reported in patients receiving immunosuppression. Histologically, these cases show a diffuse perivascular proliferation of large lymphocytes that express CD20, EBV latent membrane protein 1, and EBV nuclear antigen 2. In the setting of immunosuppression, the lymphoid
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proliferations are initially polyclonal, with progression to monoclonality, and can respond to a reduction in immunosuppressive treatment. LyG is a rare form of extranodal EBV LPD in which histology shows an angiocentric and angiodestructive pattern and a mixed T and B cell infiltrate. The most common site is in the lung, but cutaneous disease is well recognised. Predisposing conditions include organ transplantation, WAS, human immunodeficiency virus infection, and X linked lymphoproliferative syndrome. The skin lesion in our patient showed tissue destruction, an angiocentric pattern, a mixed T and B cell infiltrate, and EBER containing B cells, all of which indicated a diagnosis of LyG rather than the usual post-transplant form of LPD. In addition, molecular studies detected immunoglobulin gene rearrangement, a finding reported in most cases of LyG.

Although primary cutaneous manifestations of EBV LPD are rare, EBV infection has been described in both cutaneous lymphoma and cutaneous manifestations of PTLD. The mechanism by which EBV infection leads to lymphoproliferation is not clear, but involves the protection of infected cells from apoptosis, and a recent study indicates that high MDM2 protein expression by EBV infected cells may be important. The apparent localisation of EBV infected lymphocytes to a single region of skin, as seen in our patient, is unusual. It is possible that a preexisting inflammatory or infective focus was responsible for this dermatotropism. Most PTLDs contain predominantly B cells, so the presence of a mixed B and T cell infiltrate could indicate a previous T cell inflammatory reaction. However, there was no clinical response to treatment with antibacterial or antifungal agents, and it is equally
possible that the EBV LPD arose in the skin as a primary event, with the ulceration and associated inflammation representing secondary phenomena. EBV transformed cells express a variety of cell surface adhesion molecules, which in certain circumstances may result in tissue localisation.

“This is the first report of the use of Rituximab in treating lymphomatoid granulomatosis, and in this patient it resulted in both clinical and pathological remission”

The treatment of choice for this patient’s immunodeficiency is bone marrow transplantation, but in the presence of EBV LPD further immunosuppression is contraindicated. In the post-transplant setting, EBV LPD can present as an isolated cutaneous lesion and may show the clinical and pathological features of lymphomatoid granulomatosis. EBV LPD may respond dramatically to anti-CD20 immunotherapy.

Take home messages

- Patients with immunodeficiencies are at risk of developing Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) related lymphoproliferative disease (LPD)
- EBV LPD can present as an isolated cutaneous lesion and may show the clinical and pathological features of lymphomatoid granulomatosis
- EBV LPD may respond dramatically to anti-CD20 immunotherapy
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