Accuracy and completeness of the documentation of blood culture results

Heard and colleagues' raise the thorny issue of how important microbiological results and advice should be recorded in patients' notes and by whom. Reported here is a prospective audit identifying how accurately this is done. It was decided that the audit should concentrate on blood cultures yielding a clinically significant isolate, a finding that all members of staff should consider as important and worthy of prompt documentation.

This work was performed in a 1045-bed hospital where all Gram stain and culture results from clinically significant blood cultures are telephoned by a medical microbiologist to either the attending doctor or to qualified nursing staff on the ward. It is expected that the information and advice given over the telephone should be promptly documented in the patient's notes, but this is not always the case. In one instance it was unclear to who the telephone call was made to.

The number of contacts documented is similar to previous studies that have looked at the accuracy of both telephone and face-to-face contacts. In similar studies 83% and 79% of clinically significant culture results were documented. There were marginally fewer documented calls at weekends (56% v. 67% during weekdays) but this was largely because more weekend calls were made to nurses. In one instance it was unclear to who the telephone call was made to.

Of the 61 episodes reviewed, 16 (26%) were not recorded in the patient's notes at all. One hundred and eleven individual contacts were made and 71 (64%) of these contacts were recorded in the patient's notes. Not all of the 61 episodes necessarily had two contacts made, on 11 occasions the microbiologist wrote directly in the notes. It was of note that 60 of the 76 calls to doctors were documented in the patient record, whereas only 10 of the 34 calls to nurses were documented. There were marginally fewer documented calls at weekends (56% v. 67% during weekdays) but this was largely because more weekend calls were made to nurses. In one instance it was unclear to who the telephone call was made to.

The number of contacts documented is similar to previous studies that have looked at the accuracy of both telephone and face-to-face contacts. In similar studies 83% and 79% of clinically significant blood cultures had at least one entry in the patient's notes. There seemed to be little difference if the result was reported over the telephone or face to face. An audit looking at day one results only reported a documentation rate of 54% but contaminated blood cultures, which may be less likely to be documented, were also considered.

This audit shows that advice given by microbiologists to other members of staff is recorded in the notes on fewer than two thirds of occasions, although where recorded it is generally accurate. The finding that over one quarter of clinically significant blood cultures had at least one entry in the patient's notes is of note that 60 of the 76 calls to doctors were documented in the patient record, whereas only 10 of the 34 calls to nurses were documented. There were marginally fewer documented calls at weekends (56% v. 67% during weekdays) but this was largely because more weekend calls were made to nurses. In one instance it was unclear to who the telephone call was made to.

The number of contacts documented is similar to previous studies that have looked at the accuracy of both telephone and face-to-face contacts. In similar studies 83% and 79% of clinically significant culture results were documented. There were marginally fewer documented calls at weekends (56% v. 67% during weekdays) but this was largely because more weekend calls were made to nurses. In one instance it was unclear to who the telephone call was made to.

The number of contacts documented is similar to previous studies that have looked at the accuracy of both telephone and face-to-face contacts. In similar studies 83% and 79% of clinically significant culture results were documented. There were marginally fewer documented calls at weekends (56% v. 67% during weekdays) but this was largely because more weekend calls were made to nurses. In one instance it was unclear to who the telephone call was made to.
Prepubertal testicular tumours in Kashmir: a histopathological report of 15 cases

Prepubertal testicular tumours are very rare and occur at an incidence of 0.5–2/100,000 children. Of all the paediatric malignancies they rank seventh in frequency and represent only 1% of all paediatric solid tumours. We conducted a study to see the pattern of prepubertal testicular tumours in Kashmir. The material for our study was obtained from the files of the histopathology section of the department of pathology, Government Medical College, Srinagar, Kashmir, India. The records of all prepubertal testicular tumours reported from January 1984 to December 1998 were studied. Routine and special stains were applied on fresh sections from paraffin wax embedded blocks wherever required. Fifteen prepubertal testicular and paratesticular tumours were recorded in the 15 year period of our study. Germ cell tumours predominated: there were 12 germ cell tumours and only three non-germ cell tumours. There were 10 yolk sac tumours, two teratomas (mature), two rhabdomyosarcomas (paratesticular), and two non-Burkitt's lymphoma-Burkitt's lymphoma. The youngest patient was 10 months old and the oldest was 14 years old. Ten patients presented at or below the age of 4 years. The youngest patient (10 months of age) had a yolk sac tumour and the oldest (14 years old) had rhabdomyosarcoma. In two patients both testes were involved, with one of these two patients having bilateral undescended testes. Prepubertal testicular tumours most commonly occur within the first 4 years of life. Although the cut off age for our analysis was 14 years, most patients presented at or below the age of 4 years. Most of the germ cell tumours were yolk sac tumours (10 of 12), followed by teratoma (two of 12). Mostofi recorded 15 cases of yolk sac tumour (embryonal cell carcinoma) in a total of 22 cases and seven cases of teratoma. Yolk sac tumour is widely accepted today as the most common prepubertal germ cell tumour. Rhabdomyosarcoma was the second most common tumour recorded in our series. It is the most common mesenchymal malformation and is one of the paratesticular tissue in the prepubertal age group. We found one case of Burkitt's lymphoma in a 5 year old child involving both the testes. Although rare, cases of Burkitt's lymphoma involving the testis have been reported in the literature.
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Reduced bone formation in UK Gulf War veterans: a bone histomorphometric study

We read the paper by Compton et al on bone loss in Gulf War veterans with concern and interest. However, if taken to represent the problems of unwell Gulf veterans it is open to serious misinterpretation because of problems in design, factual accuracy, and certain conclusions. The 17 cases are potential litigants who are highly unlikely to be representative of the Gulf veteran population. Apart from very brief sociodemographic details, smoking and alcohol consumption histories, no clinical information is given about the indications for bone biopsy except that 16 complained of unspecified arthralgia and other musculoskeletal symptoms. The 13 controls were taken from a study, reported 20 years ago, involving bone biopsies in fibromyalgia patients. Twenty-three of the 25 fibromyalgia patients have osteoporosis except that 16 complained of unspecified arthralgia and other musculoskeletal symptoms. The 13 controls were taken from a study, reported 20 years ago, involving bone biopsies in fibromyalgia patients. Twenty-three of the 25 fibromyalgia patients have osteoporosis except that 16 complained of unspecified arthralgia and other musculoskeletal symptoms.
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Dr C Rubin’s address should have been Watford General Hospital not Watford Green Hospital.

Pathology of Vascular Skin Lesions: Clinicopathological Correlations

This book fulfills the goal set out in the foreword by the authors. Indeed, there is no whose detection on military operations is only possible by either patients experiencing symptoms or special detection equipment. There was no confirmed offensive use during the Gulf conflict or subsequently. Given the toxicity of sarin and the fact that no deaths from or cases of OP poisoning were seen during the Gulf conflict the uncritical acceptance of a statement of awareness of sarin exposure is irresponsible. To claim similarities with findings in agricultural workers with chronic OP exposure from sheep dipping is equally unjustified because any possible exposure histories would be quite dissimilar, usually involving several years of exposure. Immunisation history is a red herring. A search of MEDLINE reveals no evidence to connect osteoporotic conditions with immunisations. It is true that the reversible anticholinesterase pyridostigmine was used as a nerve agent pretreatment but doses were small. If this was a factor in the bone changes found would one not expect to see a similar picture in patients with myasthenia gravis? Clearly, there are many alternative explanations for the reported findings, which may of course have no clinical relevance at all.

The authors are all employed by the Ministry of Defence. The views expressed here are their own and do not represent those of the Ministry of Defence.
book devoted exclusively to the clinicopathological features of vascular skin lesions, and this book fills that void.

The book has black and white clinical and histopathological illustrations but is supplemented by a CD with colour versions. This companion CD is much better, although some illustrations are very out of focus, especially the low power pictures. I suppose if the reader is prepared to revert from the book to computer images, then the CD is a useful substitute. But that may not always be possible.

Most, if not all, vascular cutaneous lesions are covered in this book. The text is brief and in some areas, disappointing. In particular, haemangioepithelioma is covered in a very superficial manner. My impression is that the text has to be supplemented by reading other textbooks containing vascular lesions for more detail. The most disappointing feature of the book is that some of the illustrations are not informative at all. The small size format allied to black and white do not do justice to the book. Better quality black and white pictures may have obviated the need for colour. Most benchbooks are used to “wallpaper” and because many of the illustrations are of such low magnification, even this becomes difficult.

However, this is a useful book that has all the lesions in one compendium. I think that dermatologists, who dabble in histopathology, and trainees will find this book more useful that fully fledged dermatopathologists. I think there is an opportunity to improve the book in future editions.

R Chetty

Diagnostic Cytopathology, 2nd edition


It is a daunting task to produce a contemporary and comprehensive textbook of cytopathology that adequately deals with the full expanse of the topic. This difficulty is compounded by the need to write a text that is not only appealing, but functional as a reference, from student cytotechnologists to expert cytopathologists. Yet, the second edition of Diagnostic Cytopathology manages to accomplish much of this task.

This single volume book is organised into a systems based review of general cytopathology. The second edition maintains its focus on cytomorphology with minimal discussion of sampling and preparatory techniques. There is some attention paid to the impact on cytomorphology of exfoliative versus fine needle aspiration sampling; however, the effects of cytopreparation on the cyto pathological features and interpretation are not frequently discussed. This is particularly evident in the differences in cytomorphology that occur as a result of liquid based preparations. The application of immunocytochemistry is covered in most sections, but again greater detail would be useful, and there is little discussion on the use of other ancillary studies. New technology is mentioned as overviews on liquid based processing, automated screening devices, and other modalities for screening for cervical cancer, in addition to newer sampling techniques, such as endoscopic ultrasonographically guided pancreatic fine needle aspiration.

There is variability in the comprehensiveness of coverage of some topics, but particular strength is shown in the sections relating to the respiratory system, serous cavities, breast, and female genital tract. With some other chapters, the advanced reader may be left wanting for details when topics are rather briefly discussed. To compensate, the reader is at times directed to a chapter’s bibliography for further information, and in general these bibliographies are reasonably comprehensive, although inclusion of more recent references is inconsistent. Novice readers, in particular, may appreciate the use of bulleted lists of the pertinent cytological features of the major entities, which have been offset from the text by colour headings and are followed by a discussion of the diagnostic pitfalls, review of the differential diagnoses, and often with a suggested approach to the separation of entities under consideration. These discussions could have been aided by the inclusion of tables to contrast and compare differential diagnostic entities. In addition, allusions to the limitations of cytomorphology to separate some entities could have been more clearly stated.

The nomenclature and classification systems used in the book follow most standard schema, but there are some chapters in which the most recent classification system is not applied. Notable examples include the use of the 1973 World Health Organisation (WHO) classification for urothelial neoplasms rather than the 1998 WHO/International Society of Urological Pathology Consensus classification, and the use of the revised European–American classification of lymphoid neoplasms (REAL classification) rather than the 2001 WHO classification. The book does not address recent changes to histological classifications that have rendered definitive cytological diagnosis more difficult. This is illustrated by bronchioloalveolar carcinoma, which by the 1999 WHO histological definition must be a non-invasive lesion. Because invasiveness cannot be accurately assessed in exfoliative or fine needle aspiration specimens, a cytological diagnosis of bronchioloalveolar carcinoma may not correlate with the ultimate histological classification of the excised lesion, a dilemma that is not addressed within the discussion of this entity.

The book is richly endowed with colour photomicrographs aptly demonstrating the pertinent cytological features of normal cellular constituents and pathological entities under discussion. The cytological images include air dried and alcohol fixed material with both May–Grünewald–Giemsa and Panoptic staining. Some illustrations of liquid based preparations are included, but most of the illustrations are of conventional cyto pathological preparations. Occasional gross and histological photographs are used to good effect and the focus, clarity, and colour balance of most illustrations are good to excellent.

Diagnostic Cytopathology demonstrates a number of strengths and is particularly useful as an introductory and general reference book. Further updating would be useful with regard to classification schemes and the impact of new technologies on cytological interpretation, but this does not detract from the wonderful illustrations and sound basis in cytomorphology with which the book is endowed.

S Boerner