Expression of thyroid transcription factor 1 in primary brain tumours
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Background: Thyroid transcription factor 1 (TTF-1) is expressed in a proportion of carcinomas derived from follicular thyroid cells and respiratory epithelium. Immunohistochemical detection of this protein was shown previously to be a helpful aid in tumour diagnosis, specifically in deciding whether a tumour is primary to the lung/thyroid gland or metastatic. Recently, TTF-1 expression was also observed in certain areas of postnatal brain.

Aim/Method: To investigate the expression of TTF-1 protein in a spectrum of 73 primary brain tumours including astrocytomas, glioblastomas, ependymomas, oligodendrogliomas, medulloblastomas, and gangliogliomas of different sites.

Results: All the tumours were negative for TTF-1 except for two ependymomas of the third ventricle.

Conclusions: The expression of TTF-1 in brain tumours appears to be site specific rather than associated with tumour dedifferentiation. The presented expression of TTF-1 protein in certain primary brain tumours should be taken into consideration when interpreting the immunohistochemical staining of brain tumours of uncertain primary site.

Material and Methods
In this pilot study, we investigated 73 PBTs from different sites in both children and adults (table 1). The patients’ medical records were reviewed so that relevant analyses of survival and of a possible prognostic impact of TTF-1 detection could be performed.

Resected tumours were fixed in 10% formalin and embedded in paraffin wax. Tissue sections were dewaxed and rehydrated. Heat induced epitope retrieval was performed in sodium citrate buffer solution (pH 6.0), which was warmed to 96°C in a water bath for 40 minutes. Mouse monoclonal antibody against TTF-1 (clone 8G7G3; Neomarkers, Freemont, California, USA) was used at a dilution of 1/100; the incubation was performed overnight at 4°C. The antigen–antibody complexes were visualised by a biotin–streptavidin detection system (ChemMate detection kit; DakoCytomation, Ely, Cambridgeshire, UK) with 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (Fluka Chemie GmbH, Buchs, Switzerland) as chromogen. All sections were counterstained with Harris’ haematoxylin. Nuclear staining only was considered as a positive result. Tissues of non-neoplastic thyroid gland and lung and of a pulmonary adenocarcinoma with known TTF-1 positivity served as positive controls.

To confirm the nature of the tumours, each was subjected to immunoperoxidase staining using primary monoclonal antibodies (DakoCytomation) against glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP; clone 6F2; diluted 1/1000), synaptophysin (clone SY38; diluted 1/20), and cytokeratin (clone AE1/AE3; diluted 1/200).

Results
All PBTs were negative for TTF-1 except from two ependymomas in which strong nuclear staining was seen in most of the tumour cells. In these ependymal tumours, the cell processes of the perivascular pseudorosettes were stained by anti-GFAP antibody, but immunohistochemistry for cytokeratin and synaptophysin was negative. Both of these ependymomas were localised in the third cerebral ventricle, were incompletely resected, and the children were treated by chemotherapy and radiotherapy. One of these ependymomas (in a 5 year old boy) was classified as grade III (fig 1). It recurred 14 months after surgery and the patient died as a result of tumour progression four months later. The other patient had grade II ependymoma (a 12 year old girl); the patient is well 72 months after tumour removal.

Discussion
Our hypothesis that TTF-1 might be upregulated in the most dedifferentiated PBTs and might thus serve as a marker of tumour aggressiveness was not confirmed by the results. All astrocytomas, medulloblastomas, and all except one anaplastic ependymoma were negative. The only TTF-1 positive
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tumours were two ependymomas of different grades arising from the walls of the third ventricle. Such a finding is of interest, because TTF-1 has been shown to be essential for embryonic morphogenesis, particularly of the diencephalon; in mice carrying a null mutation of the TTF-1/Nkx-2.1 gene, the walls of the third ventricle were fused together and certain nuclei of the hypothalamus were underdeveloped. Furthermore, recent reports have shown that TTF-1 is localised in rats to specific hypothalamic neurones and astrocytes of the median eminence, in addition to ependymal/subependymal cells of the third ventricle, which are thought to be involved in the control of sexual maturation and body fluid homeostasis in the postnatal period. We speculate that the TTF-1 positive tumours may have originated from these specific cell subsets. Because one of the ependymomas had anaplastic morphology, whereas the other was grade II, with a favourable outcome, the presence of TTF-1 expression is probably site specific, rather than being associated with tumour dedifferentiation. There is a parallel in a series of lung carcinomas, in which TTF-1 expression was not associated with the grade of the tumours. This concept needs to be verified in a larger series of cases.

"Our hypothesis that thyroid transcription factor 1 might be upregulated in the most dedifferentiated primary brain tumours and might thus serve as a marker of tumour aggressiveness was not confirmed by the results"

### Table 1 Series of primary brain tumours investigated in our study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Tumour</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
<th>Mean age (median); sex</th>
<th>Localisation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Astrocytic tumours</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>7.7 (6); 4F:4M</td>
<td>2× ChO; 2× Hf; 4× CB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilocytic astrocytomas</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18.3 (18); 2F:4M</td>
<td>2× TP; 1× O; 1× Th; 1× FL; 1× HT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic astrocytomas</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30.1 (29); 4F:2M</td>
<td>2× P; 1× T; 1× Th; 1× TP; 1× HT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioblastomas</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>58.1 (61); 5F:7M</td>
<td>6× P; 1× O; 2× PO; 2× T; 2× TP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ependymomas</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ependymomas, grade II</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.3 (7); 4F:7M</td>
<td>6× PF 3× vIII; 2× vL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic ependymomas</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.8 (5); 8F:8M</td>
<td>8× PF; 2× vIII; 6× vL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligodendrogliomas</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>43.7 (45); 1F:2M</td>
<td>2× P; 1× FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medulloblastomas</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.7 (7); 3F:4M</td>
<td>7× CB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gangliogliomas</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16.6 (12); 1F:2M</td>
<td>2× T; 1× Th</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age is given in years.

CB, cerebellum; ChO, optical chiasma; F, female; FL, frontal lobe; FT, frontotemporal region; HT, hypothalamus; M, male; O, occipital lobe; P, parietal lobe; PF, posterior fossa; PO, parieto-occipital region; T, temporal lobe; Th, thalamus; TP, temporoparietal region; vIII, third ventricle; vL, lateral ventricle.

### Take home messages

- Most primary brain tumours are thyroid transcription factor 1 (TTF-1) negative
- However, TTF-1 protein might be expressed in certain brain tumours, especially those in the third ventricle region
- These facts should be taken into consideration when interpreting the immunohistochemical staining of brain tumours of uncertain origin

Most PBTs can be distinguished from metastatic tumours by histology. However, epithelioid features of PBTs, including papillary pattern of growth of brain tumours (particularly of ependymomas), can cause diagnostic problems. In such cases, the interpretation of TTF-1 protein expression in brain tumour cells could be misleading, especially in small biopsy specimens.

In conclusion, most PBTs are TTF-1 negative; however, TTF-1 protein might be expressed in certain brain tumours, especially those in the third ventricle region. This should be taken into consideration when interpreting the immunohistochemical staining of brain tumours of uncertain origin.
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