Non-specific multiple ulcers of the small intestine unrelated to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs
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Aim: Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) enteropathy is a cause of chronic blood and protein loss from the intestine. The aim of this investigation was to compare NSAID enteropathy with enteropathy not related to chronic NSAID use.

Patients/Methods: During the period 1967–97, 12 cases of non-specific small intestinal multiple ulcers were laparatomised because of chronic and persistent blood loss for a prolonged period. The clinical features before and after surgery, and the small intestinal lesions, were compared between NSAID users and non-users.

Results: Three patients were NSAID users and the other nine were not. Initial clinical manifestations, haemoglobin concentrations, serum protein values, and inflammatory reactions were similar in the two groups. In both groups there were multicentric stenoses in the small intestine. In those not using NSAIDs, the stenoses were accompanied by multiple, sharply demarcated small ulcers with minimal and non-specific chronic inflammatory infiltrates. The small intestinal ulcers in NSAID users showed linear and circumferential alignment. NSAID users were free from medication during the subsequent period of observation, whereas repeated laparotomy and medication were required in eight of the nine patients not using NSAIDs.

Conclusion: There appears to be a chronic enteropathy, not related to the use of NSAIDs, in which non-specific multiple ulcers are found. This enteropathy may be a distinct entity that causes persistent blood and protein loss from the intestine.

Unlike other parts of the gastrointestinal tract, neoplastic pathology is rare in the small intestine, so that chronic bleeding in the small intestine usually has a non-neoplastic cause. In the 1960s, cases of non-specific ulcers of the small intestine were reported in the literature. Subsequently, it became evident that a proportion of non-specific small intestinal ulcers were caused by thiazides, potassium tablets, or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs).

In contrast, cases of unexplained chronic, non-specific small intestinal ulcers have been reported in the literature. Modigliani and colleagues and Robertson and colleagues described small intestinal ulcers that were accompanied by villous atrophy, and they referred to the condition as chronic non-specific ulcerative duodenal ulceritis. More recently, chronic and recurrent small intestinal pathology characterised by non-specific stenosis and superficial ulcers has become accepted as a distinctive entity. The condition is referred to as cryptogenic multifocal ulcerous stenosing enteritis (CMUSE).

“A proportion of non-specific small intestinal ulcers are caused by thiazides, potassium tablets, or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs”

Previously, we anecdotally reported an enteropathy characterised by multiple small intestinal ulcers and chronic, persistent blood loss. The cases were characterised by multiple, sharply demarcated ulcers in the small intestine and chronic, refractory anaemia in adolescents. Because several small intestinal pathologies have subsequently been established as the cause of small intestinal ulcers, we thought that it would be interesting to reanalyse the histological and clinical features of these chronic small intestinal lesions. Thus, we retrospectively analysed the clinical course and histological findings of our subjects with non-specific small intestinal multiple ulcers, with the aim of proposing a clinicopathological entity distinct from NSAID enteropathy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects
We reviewed the clinical files of the patients with small intestinal pathology diagnosed at our institution during the period 1967–97. Each case file contained all of the clinical records at the initial admission, small bowel radiography, and the pathological records of biopsy samples or surgically resected specimens. Among the files, we identified 160 patients who had undergone surgery because of small intestinal ulcer or stricture. A review of the clinical records of these patients identified 91 patients who had had symptoms for more than a year before surgery. One patient had an obvious history of abdominal irradiation, and five had extraintestinal symptoms compatible with a diagnosis of Behcet’s disease. Among the other 85 patients, 37 were lost to follow up after surgery. We excluded these 43 patients. In 48 patients, the clinical data at the time of initial surgery and subsequent clinical course at our institutions until April 2002 could be verified.

Thirteen subjects satisfied the criteria for Crohn’s disease (see below), and eight satisfied those of intestinal tuberculosis. In two subjects, one or more clinical items for each disease turned out to be positive during the subsequent clinical course before surgery. These patients were excluded from the study. The remaining 21 patients satisfied the criteria for NSAID enteropathy.

Abbreviations: CMUSE, cryptogenic multifocal ulcerous stenosing enteritis; CRP, C reactive protein; NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs
clinical course (one for Crohn’s disease and the other for intestinal tuberculosis). In another three patients, the criteria could not be fully assessed. In 10 subjects, information of possible NSAID use before surgery could not be verified. We excluded these 36 patients from further analyses. The remaining 12 patients were the subjects of our present investigation.

**Exclusion criteria**

**Crohn’s disease**
The diagnosis of Crohn’s disease was established according to the Japanese criteria proposed by one of the authors.\(^1\) The small intestinal lesions characterised by longitudinal ulcers and cobblestone appearance were the first diagnostic criteria for Crohn’s disease. Histological confirmation of non-caseating granuloma in the endoscopically obtained biopsy specimen anywhere within the gastrointestinal tract was regarded to be the second diagnostic criteria for Crohn’s disease. Subjects who satisfied the first criteria or those with small intestinal ulcers who satisfied the second criteria were diagnosed as having Crohn’s disease.

**Intestinal tuberculosis**
Confirmation of mycobacterium species in the faeces or in the biopsy specimens was the gold standard for the diagnosis of intestinal tuberculosis. Histologically verified caseating epithelioid granuloma in the resected specimen anywhere within the gastrointestinal tract was regarded to be the second diagnostic criteria for Crohn’s disease. Subjects who satisfied the first criteria or those with small intestinal ulcers who satisfied the second criteria were diagnosed as having Crohn’s disease.

**Definition of chronic NSAID use**
All of the prescribed medications before surgery were identified by a review of clinical charts at the time of initial surgery. Intake of the medications was confirmed by direct contact with the subjects at the latest visit to our institutions. We also questioned the subjects about possible intake of commercially available medications. Chronic NSAID use was defined as a continuous prescription of NSAID for more than a month before surgery. Other short term use was not counted as chronic NSAID use.

**Pathological assessment**
The surgically removed small intestinal specimens were reviewed by two pathologists (HW and TY) who were blind to the clinical diagnosis. The resected specimens were examined macroscopically with regard to the number and the shape of the ulcers. The alignment of the ulcers was classified as longitudinal, circular, or unspecified. The histological variables were examined in the slices cut from the centre of the ulcers, which were stained with haematoxylin and eosin. The histological variables examined were villous atrophy and inflammatory infiltrates in the mucosa, in addition to depth of ulcer, oedema, fibrosis, and inflammatory infiltrates.
Clinical features and outcome

Based on the clinical records at the initial admission, presenting symptoms, previous medications, haemoglobin, C reactive protein (CRP), serum protein values, and prescriptions at the time of surgery were reviewed. The clinical outcome after surgery was assessed with respect to repeated laparotomy and extraintestinal manifestations during the observation period and to clinical parameters at the final visit.

RESULTS

At the time of initial surgery, three subjects had a history of chronic NSAID use. The prescribed NSAIDs included diclofenac, loxoprofen, and indomethacin. The duration of the NSAID use ranged from three to 16 years. These subjects were regarded to be NSAID users. The other nine subjects had not been prescribed NSAIDs before surgery, and they denied commercially available drug exposure. These nine subjects were categorised as non-users of NSAIDs.

Comparison of initial clinical features

Table 1 compares the clinical features of the NSAID users and non-users. The age at onset was greater in NSAID users (median age, 57; range, 24–86 years) than in non-users (median, 15; range, 12–53 years). Similarly, NSAID users were younger at the time of diagnosis (median, 27; range, 13–58 years) than were non-users (median, 70; range, 57–88 years). In both NSAID users and non-users, anaemia was the most frequent presenting symptom. Two NSAID users and two non-users complained of abdominal pain. In NSAID users, NSAID was indicated for osteoarthritis. There was no underlying disorder in non-NSAID users. None of the patients had been given thiazide or potassium tablets.

Comparisons of laboratory data showed that both NSAID users and non-users had low concentrations of haemoglobin and serum protein. The median (range) haemoglobin (75, 62–88 g/l v 58, 48–82 g/l) and serum protein values (49, 46–69 g/l v 59, 48–69 g/l) were similar in non-NSAID users and NSAID users, respectively. CRP was positive in two subjects (one in each group).

Comparison of small intestinal lesions

The intestinal ulcers in both NSAID users and non-users were found predominantly in the ileum. Table 2 compares the macroscopic findings in the small intestinal lesions between the two groups. The intestinal ulcers in non-NSAID users were characterised by multiple, sharply demarcated mucosal defects (fig 1A). The ulcers had various configurations, referred to as irregular shape or circular shape. In six non-NSAID users, the ulcers were located predominantly on one side (the mesenteric side in three subjects and antimesenteric side in three subjects). In contrast to non-NSAID users, the small intestinal ulcers in NSAID users showed uniform macroscopic findings. The ulcers in these subjects were characterised by circular and linear mucosal defects (fig 2A).

In both NSAID users and non-NSAID users, the intervening mucosa appeared to be normal.

Comparison of histological findings

Table 3 compares the histological findings in the small intestine between the two groups. In both groups, the maximal depth of ulcer in the resected small intestine was

| Table 2: Comparison of macroscopic findings between NSAID users and non-users |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                 | Non-NSAID users | NSAID users     |
| Number of ulcers (median        | 6 (3–12)        | 10 (8–11)       |
| (range)                        |                 |                 |
| Longitudinal alignment         |                 |                 |
| Mesenteric                     | 3               | 0               |
| Anti-mesenteric                | 3               | 0               |
| No eccentricity                | 3               | 3               |
| Predominant shape of ulcers    |                 |                 |
| Irregular                      | 6               | 0               |
| Longitudinal                   | 0               | 0               |
| Circular                       | 3               | 3               |
| Margin of ulcer                |                 |                 |
| Clear                          | 9               | 3               |
| Irregular                      | 0               | 0               |

NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug.
the submucosal layer (figs 1B, 2B). Submucosal oedema was not evident, except for in one non-NSAID user. There was a mild fibrosis in the submucosal layer in all three NSAID users and in eight of the nine non-users (figs 1C, 2C). Although a mild chronic inflammatory infiltrate was found in six subjects in the non-NSAID group, it was not seen in the NSAID users. In both groups, mucosal atrophy was not seen in the intervening mucosa. There were no cases of vasculitis or lymphangitis in the two groups.

**Comparison of clinical course**

Table 4 compares the follow up data between the two groups. The follow up period after the initial laparotomy varied from 2 to 35 years (median, 22) in non-NSAID users and from 2.0 to 4.5 years (median, 3 years) in NSAID users. Both NSAID users and non-NSAID users were free from continuous NSAID intake during the observation period. Whereas NSAID users were free from medications, eight of the nine non-NSAID users were taking oral or intravenous iron supplements. In addition, five non-NSAID users were treated by enteral or parenteral nutrition.

Laboratory data at the final visit were not available in two non-NSAID users. In the remaining five non-NSAID users, median (range) haemoglobin values (64, 51–74 g/l) were lower than in NSAID users (haemoglobin: 145, 93–161 g/l and serum protein 74, 66–78 g/l).

During the observation period, eight non-NSAID users underwent repeated laparotomy. In contrast, none of the NSAID users underwent laparotomy. A non-NSAID user died of liver cirrhosis 21 years after the diagnosis of small intestinal ulcers. The other patients were alive in April, 2002. In non-NSAID users, no autoimmune, haematological, or vascular disorders occurred during the observation period. An NSAID user was diagnosed as having myelodysplastic syndrome two years after the diagnosis of enteropathy.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of our retrospective case study show that a group of patients exists in whom chronic, non-specific small intestinal ulcers are present that cannot be attributed to NSAID use. A criticism of our study could be that the number of subjects is too small to draw strong conclusions and that our non-NSAID users may have actually been exposed to NSAIDs. However, the small number of the subjects was the consequence of the strict criteria applied, which were based on clinical data through initial surgery until the latest visit. We believe that small intestinal pathology of obscure origins and uncensored chronic NSAID use was excluded as much as is possible by such an approach, although exposure to a small amount of NSAIDs could not be completely excluded.

Over the past decade, it has become widely accepted that NSAIDs damage the small intestine. Bjarnason et al were the first to investigate longterm NSAID users who had small intestinal stricture and persistent anaemia. Their observations established the concept of NSAID enteropathy characterised by persistent blood loss from the small intestine. The macroscopic lesions in NSAID enteropathy consist of multiple circumferential ulcers with severe concentric stenosis, referred to as diaphragm disease. The macroscopic findings of the small intestinal lesions in our NSAID users were identical to those reported previously.

Diaphragm disease of the intestine has non-specific histology, such as fibrosis in the submucosa and thickening of the muscularis mucosae. The intestinal ulcers in our NSAID users were in fact non-specific, and they shared common characteristics with those found in NSAID users. In both groups of patients, the ulcers were confined to the mucosa or to the superficial submucosal layer and had mild fibrosis and minimal oedema, without pronounced inflammatory infiltrates.

Because non-specific histology was the only finding, diaphragm disease coupled with the use of NSAIDs is a pathognomonic feature of NSAID enteropathy. However, Santolaria et al recently reported a Spanish patient with diaphragm disease who had a 25 year history of relapsing abdominal pain and oedema. Similar to our non-NSAID users, this patient was not a chronic NSAID user. Thus, longterm NSAID use is probably not the only cause of diaphragm disease and chronic blood loss.

The initial manifestations of the disease in non-NSAID users were identical to those of NSAID users. Both groups of patients complained of persistent abdominal symptoms and, furthermore, they had pronounced anaemia and hypoproteinemia. Even though the cause of the intestinal ulcers in our non-NSAID users remains obscure, and the lesions may be heterogeneous in nature, chronic NSAID abuse was the

**Table 3** Comparison of histological findings between NSAID users and non-NSAID users

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximal depth of ulcer</th>
<th>Non-NSAID users (n = 9)</th>
<th>NSAID users (n = 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Submucosa</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proper muscular layer</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submucosal oedema</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrosis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory infiltrate</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervening mucosa</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucosal atrophy (−)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucosal atrophy (+)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug.

**Table 4** Comparison of clinical course between non-NSAID users and NSAID users

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical outcome</th>
<th>Non-NSAID users (n = 9)</th>
<th>NSAID users (n = 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Repeated surgery</td>
<td>22, 2–35</td>
<td>3.5, 2.0–4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication for intestine pathology</td>
<td>1 3</td>
<td>0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin (g/l)</td>
<td>111, 59–139</td>
<td>116, 93–161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C reactive protein (g/l)</td>
<td>2, 1–4</td>
<td>0, 0–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical outcome</td>
<td>8 6</td>
<td>0 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are expressed as median and range.

NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug.
only clinical feature that could distinguish between the two entities. In contrast, the subsequent clinical course was very different between the two groups with respect to relapse and management of the disease.

Before the recognition of NSAID enteropathy there were some descriptions of non-specific small intestinal ulcers, particularly during the 1960s, and thiazides or potassium tablets were presumed to have contributed to their pathogenesis. However, approximately 60% of the reported cases were thought to be unrelated to medications. Because NSAID compounds (except for acetylsalicylate) were not widely available at that time, it seems unlikely that the non-specific small intestinal ulcers in the literature were caused by NSAIDs.

In 1979, Modigliani et al reported four patients with small intestinal multiple ulcers accompanied by villous atrophy, and they referred to the entity as chronic non-specific ulcerative duodenoujejunalitis. Robertson et al subsequently reported seven patients with similar clinicopathological features. Modigliani and colleagues and Robertson and colleagues suggested that the small intestinal ulcers might be related to coeliac disease, because their patients had been diagnosed with this disease or because the ulcers were accompanied by various degrees of mucosal atrophy. Similar cases have been reported in the literature with various nomenclatures, such as ulcerative jejunoileitis, ulcerative enteritis, and chronic non-specific ulcerative duodenoujejunalitis. Patients with this disease manifest with fever and diarrhoea, and their small intestine has non-specific inflammatory infiltrates and mucosal atrophy.

"Whereas cryptogenic multifocal ulcerous stenosing enteritis is characterised by multiple, small intestinal stenoses, the ulcers in our non-NSAID users have variable macroscopic characteristics, and do not always result in stenoses."

Another distinctive entity of non-specific small intestinal multiple ulcers has been described as CMUSE (cryptogenic multifocal ulcerous stenosing enteritis). Although descriptions of CMUSE are not very detailed and most cases have been reported in the French literature, Perlemuter et al recently analysed 12 patients with this disease. In their report, the clinicopathological features of CMUSE were summarised as: (1) unexplained small intestinal strictures found in adolescents and middle aged subjects, (2) superficial ulceration of the mucosa and submucosa, (3) chronic or relapsing clinical course even after surgery, (4) no biological signs of systemic inflammatory reaction, and (5) beneficial effect of steroids. Although a particular form of arteritis is presumed to be related to the pathogenesis of CMUSE, manifestations of vasculitis are not necessarily evident in all the cases.

The intestinal lesions of our non-NSAID users seem to be slightly different from those of CMUSE. Whereas CMUSE is characterised by multiple, small intestinal stenoses, the ulcers in our non-NSAID users have variable macroscopic characteristics, and do not always result in stenoses. In addition, because none of our non-NSAID users was treated with steroids, the therapeutic effect of these drugs is not known. However, other manifestations and the clinical course of our non-NSAID users were compatible with those of CMUSE. In contrast, coeliac disease—which is a condition that is thought to predispose the patient to chronic non-specific ulcerative duodenoujejunalitis or atrophy in the intervening normal mucosa—was not evident in our non-NSAID users. These observations suggest that the enteropathy of our non-NSAID users may be categorised as a variant of CMUSE, rather than chronic non-specific ulcerative duodenoujejunalitis.

In conclusion, our results indicate that there may be a chronic enteropathy of non-specific small intestinal multiple ulcers, which is distinct from NSAID enteropathy. In addition, the small intestinal multiple ulcers in our non-NSAID users do not seem to be a consequence of putative underlying conditions, because a prolonged period of observation failed to identify specific extraintestinal manifestations. A review of the literature indicated that the enteropathy shares common clinical features with the recently recognised cryptogenic multifocal ulcerous stenosing enteritis, and should be considered in patients with intestinal stricture who are not longterm NSAID users.
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