My approach to oncocytic tumours of the thyroid
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The traditional approach to oncocytic thyroid lesions classified these as a separate entity, and applied criteria that are somewhat similar to those used for follicular lesions of the thyroid. In general, the guidelines to distinguish hyperplasia from neoplasia, and benign from malignant were crude and unsubstantiated by scientific evidence. In fact, there is no basis to separate oncocytic lesions from other classifications of thyroid pathology. The factors that result in mitochondrial accumulation are largely unrelated to the genetic events that result in proliferation and neoplastic transformation of thyroid follicular epithelial cells. The concept of classifying oncocytic lesions, including follicular variant papillary carcinomas, based on nuclear morphology, immunohistochemical profiles, and molecular markers may pave the way for a better understanding of the biology of oncocytic lesions of the thyroid.

The first question that we must ask is “What is oncocytic change?”.

WHAT IS ONCOCYTIC CHANGE

Definition

Oncocytic change is defined as cellular enlargement characterised by an abundant eosinophilic granular cytoplasm as a result of the accumulation of altered mitochondria. This is a phenomenon of metaplasia that occurs in inflammatory disorders, such as thyroiditis, or other situations that result in cellular stress. The proliferation of oncocytes gives rise to hyperplastic and neoplastic nodules.

Oncocytic tumours are found in the thyroid and other endocrine tissues, including the parathyroid, pituitary, adrenal cortex, pancreas, gut, and lung. Outside of the endocrine system, there is an oncocytic variant of renal cell carcinoma, and salivary glands, particularly the parotid, develop oncocytic nodules and tumours. This discussion will concentrate on thyroid nodules, but many of the principles apply to other sites too.

Oncocytic cells in the thyroid are often called “Hürthle” cells; however, this is a misrepresentation because they were initially described by Askenazy, and the cells that Hürthle described were in fact C cells. Nevertheless, the terminology has been established in most parts of the world (with the exception of Germany where the term “Askenazy cells” has persisted).

Diagnosis

In general, oncocytic change is highly characteristic on conventional haematoxylin and eosin staining. Morphologically, Hürthle cells are characterised by large size, polygonal to square shape, distinct cell borders, voluminous granular and eosinophilic cytoplasm, and a large, often hyperchromatic nucleus with prominent “cherry pink” macronucleoli (fig 1). With the Papanicolaou stain, the cytoplasm may be orange, green, or blue. By electron microscopy, the cytoplasmic granularity is produced by large mitochondria filling the cell, consistent with oncocytic transformation. The diagnosis of an oncocytic tumour is not usually difficult because of these distinctive features, but in borderline or dubious circumstances, mitochondrial markers can be used, including the antimitochondrial antibody 113-1.

“The proliferation of oncocytes gives rise to hyperplastic and neoplastic nodules”

In some cases, the degree of oncocytic change precludes recognition of the cell of origin. In this situation, other markers of differentiation can be applied. Immunohistochemistry of these lesions can be confounded by the notorious “stickiness” of oncocytes, which can result in non-specific staining. In addition they have high endogenous peroxidase activity, so that rigorous controls must be performed to enable the results of these studies to be interpreted correctly. Using appropriate technology, one can apply markers such as transcription factors (for example, thyroid transcription factor 1 (TTF-1)) to distinguish thyroid from parathyroid oncocytic lesions, enzymes and differentiation proteins (including synaptophysin and chromogranins) to distinguish neuroendocrine tumours, and hormones (such as thyroglobulin, calcitonin, parathyroid hormone (PTH), and other peptide hormones) to characterise endocrine oncocytomas in the thyroid area.

Importance

In some circumstances, oncocytic change is a feature of tumours that have a benign behaviour, such as renal cell carcinomas. Parathyroid tumours with oncocytic change were thought to have reduced functional capacity for hormone production. This has not been substantiated because there are cases of hormone hypersecretion in patients with these lesions, and immunohistochemical studies show that these lesions express PTH. In general, however, oncocytic
parathyroid tumours tend to be larger than non-oncocytic tumours with similar concentrations of circulating PTH.

In the thyroid, Hürthle cells are found in a variety of conditions and, therefore, are not specific for a particular disease. Individual cells, follicles, or groups of follicles may show Hürthle cell features in irradiated thyroids, in aging thyroids, in nodular goitre, and in chronic lymphocytic thyroiditis (fig 2), in addition to that seen in long standing autoimmune hyperthyroidism (Graves’ disease). In some of these situations, one can often find an entire nodule composed of oncocytes (fig 3), and the distinction of hyperplasia from neoplasia can be problematic.

The identification of oncocytic change in thyroid tumours has led to major controversies. Because some lesions that were called benign developed metastases, there were proponents of the view that all oncocytic tumours of the thyroid should be treated as malignancies. Numerous studies indicated that the criteria that apply to follicular tumours of the thyroid also distinguish malignant from benign Hürthle cell lesions. These included capsular and vascular invasion. Moreover, studies showed that the larger the Hürthle cell tumour, the more likely it is to show invasive characteristics; a Hürthle cell tumour that is 4 cm or greater has an 80% chance of showing histological evidence of malignancy. Nuclear atypia, which is the hallmark of the Hürthle cell, multinucleation, and mitotic activity were not considered useful for predicting prognosis. However, there remained a group of Hürthle cell lesions that were not invasive and were considered to be Hürthle cell adenomas, yet they gave rise to lymph node metastases. In my opinion, these lesions were a reflection of the failure to recognise oncocytic follicular variant papillary carcinomas.

Many Hürthle cell tumours, whether benign or malignant, show papillary change, which is really a pseudopapillary phenomenon, because Hürthle cell tumours have only scant stroma and may fall apart during manipulation, fixation, and processing. True oxyphilic or Hürthle cell papillary carcinoma (fig 4) has been reported to comprise from 1% to 11% of all papillary carcinomas. These tumours have a papillary...
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architecture, but are composed predominantly or entirely of Hürthle cells.1–21 The nuclei may exhibit the characteristics of usual papillary carcinoma,16–22 or they may instead resemble the pleomorphic nuclei of Hürthle cells, being large, hyperchromatic, and pleomorphic.17–21 The clinical behaviour of this rare subtype is controversial; some authors have reported that they behave like typical papillary carcinomas,19–21 whereas others maintain that the Hürthle cell morphology confers a more aggressive behaviour,18–20 with higher rates of 10 year tumour recurrence and cause specific mortality.18 This suggestion of aggressive behaviour may be attributed to the inclusion of tall cell variant papillary carcinomas in the group of Hürthle cell carcinomas.

“The diagnosis of Hürthle cell follicular variant papillary carcinoma remains controversial”

Because of a characteristic cystic change and extensive lymphocytic infiltration into the cores of the papillae of the tumour (fig 5), one morphological subtype of Hürthle cell papillary carcinoma has a striking histological resemblance to papillary cystadenoma lymphomatosum of the salivary gland and has been called “Warthin-like tumour of the thyroid”.16 This lesion occurs in the setting of chronic lymphocytic thyroiditis, predominantly in women, and has a similar prognosis to usual papillary carcinoma.

The diagnosis of Hürthle cell follicular variant papillary carcinoma remains controversial. The application of ret/PTC analysis by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) allowed the recognition of a follicular variant of Hürthle cell papillary carcinoma as a group of lesions with no invasive behaviour at the time of diagnosis but which harboured a ret/PTC gene rearrangement.18–20 Many of these lesions exhibit irregularity of architecture, with hypereosinophilic colloid and nuclear features of papillary carcinoma, but these can be obscured by the hyperchromasia and prominent nuclei of oncocytic change. Nevertheless, they can be recognised when there is a high index of suspicion and with the addition of immunohistochemistry for HBME-1, galectin-3, cytokeratin 19 (CK19), and ret, or by RT-PCR studies of ret rearrangements. These tumours have the potential to metastasise,19 explaining the occurrence of malignancy in patients with a histopathological diagnosis of adenoma.

The management of Hürthle cell carcinoma is controversial.5,10–15 In most institutions, patients undergo total thyroidectomy followed by radioactive iodine. Iodine uptake by these lesions tends to be poor.24 External beam radiotherapy is advocated only for locally invasive disease. There is no evidence that oncocytic follicular variant papillary carcinomas behave differently to their non-oncocytic counterparts.25 Some authors have reported that the more aggressive oncocytic carcinomas that behave as follicular carcinomas with widespread metastatic spread have a worse prognosis that do non-oncocytic follicular carcinomas matched for stage and patient parameters; this might be attributable to the reduced capacity for radioactive iodine uptake that these lesions may exhibit.26 The loss of effectiveness of this targeted treatment results in increased morbidity and mortality.

Pathobiology

Hürthle cells have been studied by enzyme histochemistry and have been shown to contain high concentrations of oxidative enzymes.19–22 The pathogenetic basis of oncocytic change is fascinating because mitochondria contain their own separate and parallel DNA. There is a large body of literature that has described and characterised the human mitochondrial genome. Mitochondrial mutations have been identified as the cause of several inherited degenerative disorders.22 Recently, mitochondrial DNA polymorphisms and mutations have been associated with neoplastic disorders.

In much of the literature, the focus has been on mitochondrial DNA alterations that result in preferential survival in hypoxic conditions.23 This work provides an explanation for the malignant behaviour of some tumours that are able to grow in hypoxic conditions and are resistant to conventional treatments. However, much of this work deals with gliomas and cervical carcinomas, where oncogenesis is not a prominent feature, suggesting that the mitochondrial mutations do not result in proliferation.

Mitochondrial mutations have been identified in oncocytic tumours of the thyroid.20–21 These are found in benign and malignant tumours and, therefore, unlike mutations that result in hypoxic survival, do not appear to have prognostic significance. Moreover, similar changes have been found in the non-tumorous thyroid tissue of patients with oncocytic tumours,22 suggesting that certain polymorphisms predispose to this cytological alteration, rather than predisposing to neoplastic alteration.

“Hürthle cells have been studied by enzyme histochemistry and have been shown to contain high concentrations of oxidative enzymes”

Apart from these mitochondrial DNA characteristics, the somatic genetic events underlying oncocytic neoplasms of the thyroid tend to be similar to those in non-oncocytic tumours. Activating ras mutations are infrequent in oncocytic tumours,23 as they are in non-oncocytic differentiated thyroid follicular and papillary carcinomas.24–25 Oncocytic papillary carcinomas harbour ret/PTC gene rearrangements similar to those of non-oncocytic papillary carcinomas.24 The only difference identified to date is frequent chromosomal DNA imbalance, with numerical chromosomal alterations being the dominant feature.25 The importance of these alterations is not known.

Flow cytometric analyses document aneuploid cell populations in 10–25% of Hürthle cell tumours that are clinically and histologically classified as adenomas.24–26 However, once a histological diagnosis of carcinoma is made, aneuploidy on
flow cytometry may predict a more aggressive clinical behaviour for that carcinoma.45

THE APPROACH TO THE HÜRTHLE CELL THYROID NODULE

Basic principles

Hürthle cell nodules are diagnosed when more than 75% of a lesion is composed of this cell type. Needle biopsy of Hürthle cell tumours may cause partial or total infarction.48 This probably occurs because of the high metabolic activity of these cells and the delicate blood supply of these lesions, which may readily become inadequate after direct trauma. A solitary tumour of the thyroid occurring in a patient without thyroiditis, which is purely or predominantly composed of Hürthle cells on fine needle aspiration (FNA), should be excised because Hürthle cell tumours show an average 30% malignancy rate based on histology.7

The differential diagnosis of the thyroid nodule includes a large number of lesions, which are listed in fig 6. In the absence of oncocytic change, the criteria used for the diagnosis of each of these lesions is widely accepted, perhaps with the exception of papillary carcinoma, which remains one of the most controversial areas in pathology. The criteria for the diagnosis of lesions that are composed predominantly of Hürthle cells are the same as those applied to follicular lesions that do not contain Hürthle cells.7 Encapsulated lesions with no evidence of capsular or vascular invasion and no nuclear features of papillary carcinoma are diagnosed as Hürthle cell adenomas (fig 7), and those that have penetrated their capsule to invade surrounding tissues are diagnosed as Hürthle cell carcinomas (fig 8). The diagnosis of Hürthle cell papillary carcinoma (see below) is possible when the cytological criteria for papillary carcinoma are present.24

As with non-oncocytic lesions, the distinction between hyperplasia and neoplasia can be difficult. In patients with multinodular hyperplasia, dominant nodules are considered by some to be hyperplastic, whereas some pathologists diagnose them as follicular adenomas. Clonality studies have clearly shown that many if not most of the large nodules are indeed monoclonal,49–51 so that the second approach is biologically correct. This changes the approach to the pathobiology of neoplasia because we know (from many other examples in gut, breast, and other sites) that malignant transformation involves a stepwise progression of molecular changes. Therefore, it should not be surprising that malignancy occurs in nodular hyperplasia. The difficulty is in recognising the criteria and the clinical relevance of these changes. In the case of pure follicular proliferations, invasion can be difficult to ascertain in the setting of nodular hyperplasia. In the case of papillary transformation, the threshold for nuclear features is controversial.

If indeed oncocytic change is a metaplastic process, the criteria that apply to oncocytic lesions should be identical to those applied to non-oncocytic lesions. The only possible exception to that argument may be the diagnosis of papillary carcinoma, because the criteria are now accepted to be nuclear, and there may be difficulty identifying nuclear changes of papillary carcinoma in oncocytes that traditionally have large, hyperchromatic nuclei with prominent cherry red nucleoli.

In fact, this is not usually the case. The morphological features identified in papillary carcinoma are usually seen in a large proportion of Hürthle cell papillary carcinomas. The nuclei are enlarged, elongated, irregular in shape, crowded,
and overlapping, with prominent grooves and inclusions (fig 9). There is clearing of nucleoplasm and peripheral margination of chromatin (figs 10 and 11). The threshold for these alterations varies among experts. For example, the identification of irregularity of nuclear contours is sufficient for some pathologists (including myself) when it results in a ragged nuclear outline that resembles “crumpled paper” (fig 12). Others require more florid features, such as linear grooves; these are usually present in association with the first finding. Some investigators do not recognise the morphology until the grooves become so pronounced that they fill with cytoplasm and form pseudoinclusions (fig 9).

“Some investigators do not recognise the morphology until the grooves become so pronounced that they fill with cytoplasm and form pseudoinclusions.”

It is the recognition of these nuclear features that has enabled well delineated oncocytic neoplasms that would previously have been called Hürthle cell adenomas to be identified as oncocytic follicular variant papillary carcinomas. The hypothesis has been confirmed by the identification of ret/PTC gene rearrangements, the hallmark of papillary carcinoma, in such lesions. The recognition of this entity in turn explains the previous publications chastising the pathologist's diagnosis of Hürthle cell adenoma when the patients went on to develop lymph node metastases. These data support a new approach to the classification of oncocytic thyroid tumours, as shown in fig 13.

The first step: histopathology

The histopathological architecture of oncocytic carcinomas varies with tumour type. The one unifying feature is the presence of large tumour cells with abundant eosinophilic granular cytoplasm. The nuclei tend to be hyperchromatic...
and pleomorphic and generally have characteristic large, bright pink nucleoli. When colloid is present, there is a tendency for it to be rather basophilic and it may even show calcification.

Oncocytic papillary carcinomas may have papillary or follicular architecture. The papillary type are characterised by complex branching papillae in which oncocytic cells cover thin fibrovascular stromal cores (fig 4); the “Warthin-like” tumour has intense stromal infiltration by chronic inflammatory cells (fig 5). Oncocytic papillary carcinomas with follicular architecture may be macrofollicular or microfollicular with variable colloid storage. In this setting, the colloid may be hypereosinophilic (figs 14, 15). They may be well delineated and even encapsulated, but careful evaluation usually identifies at least superficial infiltration of surrounding tissue. Some lesions are frankly and widely invasive.

The diagnosis of papillary differentiation is based on the nuclear features of these lesions. The oncocytic cells are usually polygonal but may be columnar; they have abundant granular, pale, eosinophilic cytoplasm. The nuclei have variably developed atypia of papillary carcinoma, namely: enlargement, oval shape, elongation, and overlap, with clearing, resulting in a ground glass appearance, and irregular nuclear contours with nuclear pseudo-inclusions and grooves (figs 9–12). It is important to identify these features in well delineated lesions with follicular architecture, because they may predict lymph node metastasis.

Oncocytic follicular carcinomas have a variety of architectural patterns. They may form follicles that tend to be uniform in size throughout the lesion, and the most common pattern is microfollicular with scant colloid (fig 8). However, most of these lesions have a pattern of solid and/or trabecular growth and are generally devoid of colloid. As with other follicular carcinomas, malignancy is based on the identification of invasion that may be minimal, obvious, or widespread. Vascular invasion warrants identification with specific classification as angioinvasive carcinoma. The criteria are not different from those applied to follicular carcinoma.

Oncocytic medullary carcinomas can be difficult to recognise. These lesions usually have a nesting or insular architecture and the cells are polygonal (fig 16) rather than having the spindle shaped morphology of the usual variant. The nuclei resemble neuroendocrine nuclei (round to oval with salt and pepper chromatin).

The application of ancillary techniques

If the diagnosis is not obvious on routine histology, immunohistochemistry and molecular diagnostics are helpful tools. If the diagnosis of oncocytic medullary carcinoma is considered, it can be confirmed by identifying chromogranin,
calcitonin, and carinoembryonic antigen immunoreactivity, and lack of thyroglobulin staining in tumour cells. Similarly, the possibility of an intrathyroidal or perithyroidal oncotic parathyroid lesion can be confirmed by the lack of TTF-1 nuclear reactivity and the presence of chromogranin and/or PTH positivity.

“If the diagnosis of oncotic medullary carcinoma is considered, it can be confirmed by identifying chromogranin, calcitonin, and carinoembryonic antigen immunoreactivity, and lack of thyroglobulin staining in tumour cells.”

If the lesion is clearly of thyroid follicular cell derivation, but malignancy is not unequivocal, there are several useful markers that can be applied. HBME-1, CK19, and ret provide a screen for papillary carcinoma that has been reported to be valuable. HBME-1 positivity is seen in more than half of those malignancies of thyroid follicular cell derivation; when positive it is suggestive of malignancy, but when negative, it is not helpful. CK19 is helpful only when there is diffuse positivity throughout the lesion, because strong focal staining is seen in reactive areas around biopsy sites or in degeneration. In my experience, oncotic thyroid tumours are less often convincingly and strongly positive for this marker than non-oncotic lesions; however, a diffuse weak signal is common and is difficult to distinguish from non-specific reactivity in oncocytes. Although several authors have recommended the application of galectin-3 immunohistochemistry to identify malignancies of thyroid follicular cell derivation, we have not had unqualified success with this technique at our institution. Although malignancies may have stronger and more diffuse reactivity for galectin-3, it is also seen in normal thyroid, thyroiditis, and benign follicular proliferations and, therefore, like CK19, must be carefully evaluated and interpreted.

The identification of ret/PTC gene rearrangements has advanced our ability to diagnose papillary carcinoma. Indeed, these genetic alterations have been found to be specific for papillary carcinoma. No other tumour has been reported to harbour ret/PTC rearrangements. They have been reported in some lesions diagnosed as follicular adenomas, but the criteria for establishing that diagnosis are not clear or widely accepted, so that it is possible that these lesions may have been diagnosed as papillary carcinoma by some experts. Recent data have suggested that glands with Hashimoto’s disease express ret/PTC gene rearrangements. In our experience, this is the case when there are nodules of Hürthle cells or micropapillary carcinomas in the tissue submitted for examination, but not if these lesions are carefully excluded from the inflamed tissue examined. Stains for ret have been very helpful in recognising the presence of a ret/PTC gene rearrangement, because follicular epithelial cells do not express ret in the absence of a rearrangement. Indeed, some investigators have reported ret expression as the endogenous, non-rearranged receptor in follicular lesions, but it is my opinion that this can be attributed to macrophages, which are usually strongly positive with a membrane staining pattern.

Recently, changes in polyclonal antisera have reduced the usefulness of this immunohistochemical technique to indicate a ret/PTC gene rearrangement. In our laboratory, we have reverted to the use of RT-PCR for ret fusion mRNA to determine the presence of gene rearrangements, and this has proved highly valuable to confirm the diagnosis of oncotic papillary carcinomas. Indeed, this tool can also be applied to fine needle aspiration material if properly fixed, as it is in the alcohol based fixatives that are used for monolayer cytology.

HBME-1 can also be used as an immunohistochemical marker for application to cytological preparations but other markers, including CK19 and galectin-3, are not sufficiently specific to be used on cytology specimens.

THE FUTURE APPROACH TO THE DIAGNOSIS OF ONCOCYTIC THYROID TUMOURS

The reality of thyroid histopathology is that the ability to arrive at unequivocal diagnostic criteria is limited. Fortunately, the identification and recognition of aggressive malignancies is relatively easy; oncotic carcinomas that exhibit vascular invasion, insular, or anaplastic dedifferentiation are readily and consistently diagnosed as aggressive cancers. However, there is a more common scenario of Hürthle cell nodules, often associated with chronic lymphocytic thyroiditis, that creates a complex diagnostic dilemma for the pathologist.

“Fortunately, the identification and recognition of aggressive malignancies is relatively easy”

Although the microscope remains the first tool in the diagnostic armamentarium, pathologists must recognise the limitations that ensue and search for more accurate, scientific, and objective markers that will allow the accurate classification of hyperplastic lesions, benign neoplasms, and low grade malignancies, which can be safely treated with resection, and higher grade differentiated carcinomas, which require radioactive iodine ablation. Perhaps the future will see the pathologist examining the haematoxylin and eosin slide to determine which array, be it protein, cDNA, or other, should be applied to separate these and other closely related diagnostic entities, to achieve a clinically relevant and valuable diagnostic and prognostic result that will better guide patient management.