Urinary catecholamines and metabolites in the immediate postoperative period following major surgery
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BACKGROUND: Induction of anaesthesia can precipitate catecholamine release from an undiscovered pheochromocytoma and induce a hypertensive crisis. However, it is assumed that catecholamine and metabolite values resulting from the effects of surgery per se in the early postoperative period would overlap with the values generated by a tumour, and it is not known how soon after biochemical investigations can be carried out.

AIM: To study patterns of urinary catecholamine excretion and the feasibility of biochemical screening for pheochromocytomas in the immediate postoperative period in otherwise healthy subjects undergoing a single type of major surgical procedure.

METHODS: Catecholamines and metabolites were measured for each mole of creatinine in single voided urine on one preoperative and four postoperative days in five subjects who underwent elective coronary artery bypass graft surgery with an uncomplicated postoperative course. Reference ranges were established from 33 healthy normotensive volunteers.

RESULTS: Excretion of adrenaline, noradrenaline, dopamine, vanillylmandelic acid, and metadrenaline was within normal limits. Normetadrenaline excretion was mildly raised in four patients, but did not exceed 1.5 times the upper reference limit, and returned to normality by the fourth postoperative day.

CONCLUSION: It is feasible to perform simple urinary screening for possible pheochromocytoma in the immediate postoperative period.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We prospectively studied five subjects (four men) ranging in age from 41 to 75 (mean, 54.8) years who underwent elective coronary artery bypass graft surgery. None of them was administered catecholamines as part of their management and all had a routine postoperative recovery. For the purpose of this preliminary study, we monitored urinary catecholamine and metabolite excretion for each mole of creatinine using “spot” urine specimens, because this eliminates sampling errors of timed collections, and has a sensitivity of 97–100% and a specificity of 98–100%.1 2 Midday self-voided or fresh catheter urine was obtained on one preoperative (day 0) and four postoperative days (days 1–4). Thirty healthy volunteers (14 men, 10 women, nine undeclared sex), ranging in age from 22 to 55 (mean, 35.7) years, with no history of hypertension, served as controls for the determination of reference ranges, and 1.5 times the upper limit was regarded as the threshold of significance.3 Samples were acidified with concentrated hydrochloric acid to pH < 4.0 immediately (within one hour) after collection. Adrenaline (A), noradrenaline (NA), dopamine (DA), metadrenaline (MA), and normetadrenaline (NMA) were measured using high performance liquid chromatography incorporating sample clean up with automated sample trace enrichment of dialysate coupled with electrochemical detection,4 and vanillylmandelic acid (VMA) by gas chromatography of trimethyl silyl derivatives (Pisano reaction).5 Creatinine was measured by a kinetic colorimetric assay (Jaffé reaction).6 One single determination was performed for all analytes. Our study conformed with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki (Fifth Amendment) and participants gave their consent.

RESULTS

A (reference range, 1–20 nmol/mol creatinine), NA (reference range, 4–185 nmol/mol creatinine), DA (reference range, 83–643 nmol/mol creatinine), MA (reference range, 9–681 μmol/mol creatinine), and VMA (reference range, 0.42–7.27 mmol/mol creatinine) remained well within their respective reference ranges on all days in all subjects (fig 1). Mild increases in NMA (reference range, 5–242 μmol/mol creatinine), not exceeding 1.5 times the upper reference limit, were seen postoperatively in four patients (patients 1–4) on days 1–3, returning to normality by day 4.

DISCUSSION

We report that catecholamine and metabolite excretion is not significantly increased after major surgery using elective open chest coronary revascularisation as an example. We suggest that this is, at least in part, explained by good perioperative anxiolysis and pain relief achieved by modern anaesthetic techniques. This finding reflects a previous report that circulating plasma catecholamine concentrations do not increase significantly above preanaesthetic values in patients

Abbreviations: A, adrenaline; DA, dopamine; MA, metadrenaline; NA, noradrenaline; NMA, normetadrenaline; VMA, vanillylmandelic acid

Althought pheochromocytomas are rare, accounting for 0.1–1% of all cases of hypertension,1 occasionally, unsuspected cases present with a hypertensive episode during the induction of anaesthesia or surgery for unrelated conditions.2 3 It would be reasonable, therefore, to screen for catecholamine secreting tumours in surgical patients in whom hypertension is first seen or becomes difficult to control perioperatively. However, a very high false positive rate in the early postoperative period resulting from the effects of surgery per se is generally expected, and it is not known how soon after surgery biochemical screening tests can be reliably performed. The aim of our study was to determine patterns of urinary catecholamine excretion and the feasibility of biochemical screening for pheochromocytomas in the immediate postoperative period in otherwise healthy subjects undergoing a single type of major surgical procedure.
undergoing cardiac surgery. However, no conclusions could be drawn from urinary measurements in that study because analysis was complicated by the finding of a positive correlation between catecholamine excretion and urine flow rate.

Raised concentrations of NA compared with the hypertensive reference range are often seen in patients with hypertension or myocardial infarction treated with β blockers. The modest rise in NMA excretion in our study could be a reflection of a similarly raised noradrenergic tone, although NA excretion itself was not increased, and may indicate the need for an “adjusted normal range” for postoperative patients.

“The main advantage of urinary screening in this situation is that it facilitates rapid elimination of phaeochromocytoma from the differential diagnosis”

We acknowledge that urinary screening in surgical patients who experience a stormy perioperative course may be associated with a poor positive predictive value. However, given its very high negative predictive value (100%).

**Take home messages**

- Catecholamine and metabolite excretion was not significantly increased after major surgery using elective open chest coronary revascularisation as an example
- Therefore, it seems feasible to perform simple urinary screening for possible phaeochromocytoma in the immediate postoperative period, although a larger study encompassing a wider spectrum of surgical and anaesthetic procedures is required.
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Cancer stem cell theory: pathologists’ considerations and ruminations about wasting time and wrong evaluations

The genomic revolution has changed the role of the pathologist. In daily practice, our work is no longer limited to reaching a correct diagnosis and we are asked to answer questions about the patient's prognosis and treatment options through the evaluation of selected molecular targets (such as erbB2 for breast cancer) in tumour specimens. Thus, we have acquired a major role in the translation of novel gene findings from experimental model systems to their clinical application.

There is overwhelming evidence that only a subset of cells within a tumour clone, referred to as cancer stem cells, are tumorigenic and possess the metastatic phenotype. The recent identification of human breast cancer initiating cells by Al-Hajj and colleagues provided a major step forward in this field. With this knowledge, the stem cell compartment should represent the selected target for tumour eradication. As pathologists we would like to share some considerations and ruminations about this scenario.

Currently, tissue microarray analysis generates gene profiles capable of differentiating tumours with different biological behaviours. However, this screening method is conducted on heterogeneous tumour tissue samples containing a mixture of non-neoplastic cells, non-tumorigenic cancer cells, and cancer stem cells. Similarly, until now, we have evaluated the immunohistochemical expression of a molecular marker in the bulk of the tumour, considering it as relatively homogeneous.

What is the clinical relevance of these results? Although new therapeutic approaches based on these studies have modified the prognosis of some neoplasms, conflicting results are still seen with many other tumours. We should start to feel worried about the value of the information retrieved from this type of tumour analysis.

The few cancer stem cells and the large number of cells constituting the tumour are morphologically similar but functionally heterogeneous. It is likely that we are still evaluating the main population of tumour cells, which are not cancer stem cells, and are thus probably wasting time and loosing essential treatment information. It is unlikely that gene expression profiles obtained using the currently available methods reflect those of the tumour stem cell population, which forms only 0.1–2% of the whole tissue sample.

The cancer stem cell hypothesis has started a new era in cancer research. Tumours contain functionally different subpopulations of cells. However, unique gene expression profiles are generated by current methods of evaluation. Probably, when the isolation and molecular characterisation of cancer stem cells from primary tissue becomes possible, the role of pathologists will change again. Collaboration between researchers and pathologists will be more widely practised and we will be able to rise to the next challenge: namely, assessing the prognosis of a patient from only one of 5000 tumour cells in a tissue sample.
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Public opinion on the use of tissue samples
I read with interest and increasing concern the paper by Goodson and Vernon, “A study of public opinion on the use of tissue samples from living subjects for clinical research”. The paper demonstrates that the use of a vaguely worded and ambiguous questionnaire leads to misleading results. A few of the problems with the questions may be taken individually:

1. “Would you be happy for pieces of any of the following body tissues or organs to be used in clinical research? (Eyes, lung, heart, tissue from head and neck, embryo, brain, ovary, testes, bone, and breast).” The question could refer to postmortem tissue and the choice of organs is (as the authors confess) deliberate “emotional”, with no insight into every day pathological services. Heart, brain, and eyes are not exactly common surgical specimens, whereas embryos are subject to special guidelines. Surely, this question is almost designed to make the patient believe it refers to postmortem organs? The use of subjective words such as “happy” is extremely unhelpful. “Are you happy to vote Labour?” would not, for example, be acceptable in a comparable political survey.

2. “What kind of research would you be happy for your tissues to be used for? (Cancer research, testing medicines, genetic cloning, general knowledge of body tissues, genetic research for diagnosis or treatment of, for example, Down’s syndrome.)” Again scientific imprecision exists, because the writers of the questionnaire appear not to understand that these fields are interdependent. In particular, the lack of public understanding of cloning has caused them to reject this field, with no idea that this may include tissue culture or polymerase chain reaction.

3. “Would you want to be informed if your tissues were to be stored beyond the time required for diagnosis?” This question seems to show no knowledge of the necessity for longterm storage of samples after diagnosis. Tissue retention for medicolegal, audit, clinical governance, and comparison with later samples has been ignored. No explanation has been given to the patients of why this is in their best interests.

4. “Would you be happy to give consent for a child’s tissues to be used for scientific research?” Apart from the obvious flaw that it has not been stated whose child is being talked about, again the question appears almost deliberately ambiguous and could be taken to refer to postmortem tissue. Apparently, the designers of the questionnaire are interested in “scientific research” on children’s tissues, whereas in adults in question 1 it is only “clinical research”.

5. “Would you be happy to give consent for your tissues to be used to teach medical students?” The word happy is used again, in addition to a lack of explanation of how the tissues are “used”, and the vital role of histology in teaching medical students and pathology trainees.

I suggest to the authors that their survey, in contrast to all other studies, shows that patients were unwilling to donate their tissues because they were presented with a poorly designed, misleading survey.
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Limitations of the Wilcoxon matched pairs signed ranks test

We read the short report by Ellis et al with interest. However, we are unsure whether they have adequately proved that no significant difference was detected between the two outlined storage methods.

The hypothesis evaluated with the Wilcoxon matched pairs signed ranks test is whether or not the median of the difference scores equals zero. Let us consider the situation of $x$ measurements tending to exceed $y$ measurements in the low range and vice versa in the high range, with similar values in the mid range. Such results may have a median of the difference scores of approximately zero; that is, there might be no significant differences by the Wilcoxon matched pairs signed ranks test, although there would be differences by linear regression (Deming or Passing-Bablok) and/or difference plots.

However, this short report lacks both a regression equation (proportional and constant error) and difference plots. Therefore, we believe that although the IgG anti-rubella activity in frozen serum stored in primary gel separation tubes may not be significantly different from that stored frozen in secondary tubes, this study did not sufficiently prove this. We recommend, in line with others, that difference plots be used for such comparative studies and that such studies are put into a clinical context.

P J Twomey
Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Ipswich Hospital, Heath Road, Ipswich IP4 4PD, UK; tap.twomey@ipol.com

A Viljoen
Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, Room S6114 Level 2, S1 Little France Crescent, Edinburgh EH16 4SA, UK

References

Basic Pathology: An Introduction to the Mechanisms of Disease


With the new “integrated” undergraduate medical curriculum being adopted by medical schools in many countries, there is an ever increasing need for an appropriate basic pathology textbook. The authors have produced a book which is based on the principles and objectives of the integrated curriculum. Consequently, it is an ideal basic pathology textbook for students in the integrated medical curriculum. The book has a novel approach to basic pathology, which is different from the standard basic pathology textbooks. There are four parts: “Introduction—what is a disease?”, “Defence against disease”, “Circulatory disorders”, and “Disorders of cell growth”. Each part consists of a variable number of chapters containing several unique learning aids.

The material is presented in a format that is easy to read and can be read at leisure. In accordance with the integrated curriculum, some material is presented by using clinical cases—for example, myocardial infarction, breast lump, and prostatic hyperplasia, among others. Innovative additions are the excellent cartoons, selected “key facts”, a “dictionary box”, and “small print”. The cartoons are well illustrated, extremely apt, and informative. There is also a selection of relevant tables that complement the text. The inclusion of appropriate colour diagrams, photomicrographs, and macroscopic pathology images aids the text. Clinico-pathological case studies are used as a tool to facilitate the integration of pathology with clinical medicine. At the end of each part, there is a selection of questions covering core material with answers and cross references.

There are six colour coded theme maps that cover the four main pathology disciplines—histopathology, haematology, immunology, and microbiology—and two additional overview themes—science and disease and patient and disease.

The authors have produced a remarkable book, which deals with a difficult but important subject in a user friendly manner. The book ought to be prescribed reading for undergraduate students in the new integrated medical curriculum.

D Govender

The Cytology of Soft Tissue Tumours


Åkerman’s and Domanski’s text The Cytology of Soft Tissue Tumours from the Monographs in Clinical Cytology series is a beautifully illustrated, well referenced and written treatise on the interpretation of fine needle aspirations (FNAs) of these lesions. The text starts with a brief overview of the FNA of soft tissue tumours including accuracy, pitfalls, complications, and a discussion of the aspiration technique itself, with application of ancillary studies. This is followed by a concise review of the specific entities following standard histogenetic organisation. With each major entity, the salient cytological features and differential diagnostic considerations are clearly listed, with comments on the potential pitfalls admixed with helpful hints, providing a practical approach to the diagnosis of the lesions. The final chapter summarises in tabular form the salient diagnostic features and results of ancillary studies of the various entities in groupings based on a pattern recognition approach. Illustrations abound and include air dried May-Grünwald-Giemsa, in addition to alcohol fixed haematoxylin and eosin or occasionally immunocytochemical preparations. Little criticism of this text can be found and there is no question that this book should be in the library of those interpreting FNAs of soft tissue lesions.
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