Extensive psammomatous calcification of the uterus and cervix associated with a uterine serous carcinoma
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This report describes a uterine serous carcinoma with bilateral ovarian metastasis, which was associated with widespread extensive psammomatous calcification of the uterine leiomyomata, the myometrium, and the cervical stroma. These psammoma bodies were not associated with tumour or epithelial elements. This psammomatous calcification is rare, with no previous reports of similar cases. The presence of psammoma bodies is probably related to the serous carcinoma, raising the possibility that psammoma body formation in serous carcinomas is the result of a factor secreted locally by the tumour, rather than the widely held theory that their formation is secondary to necrosis, with subsequent dystrophic calcification within a papillary neoplasm.

Psammoma bodies are well circumscribed, laminated, calcified structures that can be seen in a range of conditions. They are especially common in the female genital tract, and are often associated with serous type neoplasms, which can be benign, borderline, or malignant. In some serous tumours, they are abundant and the term psammocarcinoma has been used for a highly differentiated serous carcinoma of the ovary or peritoneum associated with massive psammoma body formation. Psammoma bodies may also be associated with benign, non-neoplastic proliferations of serous type epithelium, termed endosalpingiosis. Occasionally, especially in peritoneal lined structures, psammoma bodies are found without an associated epithelial component, and it has been speculated that this represents “burnt out” or atrophic endosalpingiosis.

“We describe an unusual phenomenon of extensive psammoma body formation within uterine leiomyomas, the myometrium, and the cervical stroma.”

Rarely, psammoma bodies are seen in the endometrium or cervical mucosa, sometimes in association with a serous proliferation elsewhere within the female genital tract. In this report, we describe an unusual phenomenon of extensive psammoma body formation within uterine leiomyomas, the myometrium, and the cervical stroma. These psammoma bodies were not associated with tumour or epithelial elements, but a small uterine serous carcinoma was present, which had spread to both ovaries. We speculate on the possible pathogenesis of psammoma body formation in this case.

CASE REPORT
A 56 year old woman presented with abdominal pain, nausea, and vomiting. Clinical examination revealed an acute abdomen and an emergency exploratory laparotomy was performed. The uterus was found to be perforated and adherent to the descending colon. There was extensive ischaemic change of the colon and small intestine. A hysterectomy and bilateral salpingoophorectomy together with omentectomy, colectomy, and small intestinal resection was performed.

The uterus weighed 380 g and was perforated anteriorly. On sectioning, multiple fibroids were identified, the largest measuring 5 cm in diameter. No obvious endometrial or myometrial tumour was seen grossly. Both ovaries measured 4 cm in maximum diameter. Microscopically, the right ovary was entirely replaced by poorly differentiated serous carcinoma, with occasional psammoma bodies (fig 1). The left ovarian hilum contained similar serous carcinoma. A benign cystic teratoma (dermoid cyst) was also present within this ovary. There was involvement of the uterine serosa and the external surface of the cervix by serous carcinoma and, following the examination of multiple sections from the uterus, a small serous carcinoma with occasional psammoma bodies was seen within the endometrium (fig 2). No myometrial tumour was identified apart from the serosal tumour deposits. There was infiltration of the wall of the colon and small intestine by serous carcinoma and the colon and small intestine showed extensive ischaemic changes. There were no omental tumour deposits. The presence of benign uterine leiomyomata was confirmed. Immunohistochemistry revealed diffuse positivity of the tumour with an antibody to cytokeratin 7 (CK7) and negative staining for CK20. There was diffuse nuclear positivity for p53 but no staining for the Wilms’s tumour gene product (WT1).

Abbreviations: CK, cytokeratin; WT1, Wilms’s tumour gene product
An additional unusual feature was the presence of numerous psammoma bodies throughout the leiomyomata (fig 3), the myometrium, and the cervical stroma, just beneath the surface epithelium (fig 4), and also deep within the stroma (fig 5). These formed aggregates and were associated with hyalinised fibrous tissue (fig 3). They were not associated with tumour or benign epithelial elements.

DISCUSSION
Psammoma bodies are characteristically associated with non-neoplastic serous proliferations and with serous neoplasms, which may be benign, borderline, or malignant. They are thought to arise secondary to necrosis, with subsequent dystrophic calcification of the tips of papillary structures. In our case, the presence of numerous psammoma bodies throughout the uterine leiomyomata, the myometrium, and the cervical stroma was extremely unusual and we are not aware of previous reports of similar cases. A serous carcinoma involved the endometrium and had metastasised to both ovaries, without evidence of myometrial involvement, except for tumour on the serosal surface of the uterus. The psammoma bodies in the myometrium and the cervix were not associated with epithelial elements, but we think that they are probably related in some way to the uterine serous carcinoma. One possibility is that they are the remnants of previous tumour within the myometrium and cervix, but that the tumour here has spontaneously regressed. However, we feel that this is extremely unlikely, and think that the psammomatous calcification is probably secondary to a local factor secreted by the tumour cells. It is not uncommon to find psammoma bodies without epithelial elements in the omentum or peritoneum in association with a serous tumour of the ovary. In this situation, it can also be postulated that the psammoma body formation is secondary to secretion of a factor by the tumour cells.

The presence of serous carcinoma within the endometrium and both ovaries raises questions regarding the origin of the tumour; that is, whether this represents a primary uterine serous carcinoma with bilateral ovarian metastasis or a primary ovarian carcinoma with endometrial metastasis. A further possibility is independent synchronous primaries within the endometrium and ovaries. We think that it is most likely that this represents a primary uterine neoplasm, but we cannot exclude the other possibilities. A pointer towards a uterine primary is that there was no staining of tumour cell nuclei with WT1. WT1 positivity is usual in ovarian serous carcinomas but most uterine serous carcinomas are negative. Moreover, there was no evidence of omental involvement by tumour, which is usual when a serous carcinoma spreads beyond the ovary.
The uterus was found to be perforated at laparotomy. Spontaneous uterine perforation is rare and in this case was presumably secondary to the underlying carcinoma. However, it is possible that the numerous psammoma bodies throughout the myometrium contributed to the perforation. Uterine perforation may rarely occur as a complication of hysteroscopy and/or endometrial biopsy. However, there was no such history in our case.

"The presence of serous carcinoma within the endometrium and both ovaries raises questions regarding the origin of the tumour"

In addition to being associated with serous proliferations, psammoma bodies in the female genital tract can rarely be seen in other circumstances. Endometrial psammoma bodies may be seen in non-neoplastic conditions such as Asherman’s syndrome, as a result of a reparative response, and they have been reported with longterm intrauterine contraceptive device usage, and in association with hormonal preparations. Psammoma bodies may rarely be seen in uterine neoplasms other than serous carcinoma, such as endometrioid adenocarcinoma. Endometrial calcification associated with ossification may occasionally be encountered as a sequel to a spontaneous abortion, which may have occurred years earlier, or may rarely be secondary to osseous metaplasia of the endometrial stroma. Endometrial ossification may result in infertility or repeated spontaneous abortions. Rarely, endometrial psammoma bodies occur with no known predisposing cause. In such a situation, the question arises as to whether investigations should be performed to assess the entire female genital tract to exclude a serous proliferation. In one study of 11 women who were found to have psammoma bodies incidentally on endometrial biopsy, and who underwent further investigations, all cases were associated with benign findings, most often endometrial polyp. Psammoma bodies may rarely be seen in cervical smears, sometimes in association with the non-neoplastic conditions described. However, the presence of psammoma bodies in a cervical smear may also be a manifestation of a neoplastic lesion in the female genital tract. In particular, they have been associated with ovarian, fallopian tube, endometrial, and endocervical serous tumours. It is thought that in these cases psammoma bodies migrate through the fallopian tube and uterine cavity to present in cervical smears.

Endometrial calcification as a result of degenerative changes or following embolisation may occur in leiomyomas or in blood vessel walls in Monckeberg’s medical calcific sclerosis. A case of massive myometrial necrosis and resultant dystrophic calcification secondary to shock has been reported in association with postpartum haemorrhage.

In summary, we describe an unusual phenomenon of massive psammoma body formation, not associated with epithelial elements, throughout the uterine leiomyomata, the myometrium, and the cervical stroma. This was associated with a uterine serous carcinoma that had metastasised to both ovaries. In a search of the literature, we could find no previous reports of this phenomenon. We speculate that psammoma body formation in our case is secondary to a local factor secreted by the tumour.
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