Human defensin 5 expression in intestinal metaplasia of the upper gastrointestinal tract


**Background:** Upper gastrointestinal tract intestinal metaplasia (IM) is termed Barrett’s oesophagus (BO) or gastric intestinal metaplasia (GIM), depending on its location. BO and GIM are associated with chemical exposure resulting from gastro-oesophageal reflux and chronic *Helicobacter pylori* infection, respectively. Paneth cells (PCs), characterised by cytoplasmic eosinophilic granules, are found in a subset of IM at these sites, but histology may not accurately detect them.

**Aim:** To determine human defensin 5 (HD5; an antimicrobial peptide produced by PCs) expression in BO and GIM, and to investigate its association with *H pylori* infection.

**Methods:** Endoscopic biopsies from 33 patients with BO and 51 with GIM, and control tissues, were examined by routine histology and for *H pylori* infection and HD5 mRNA and protein expression.

**Results:** In normal tissues, HD5 expression was specific for PCs in the small intestine. Five patients with BE and 42 with GIM expressed HD5, but few HD5 expressing cells in IM had the characteristic histological features of PCs. Most HD5 positive specimens were *H pylori* infected and most HD5 negative specimens were not infected.

**Conclusions:** HD5 immunohistochemistry was often positive in IM when PCs were absent by conventional histology. Thus, HD5 immunohistochemistry may be superior to histology for identifying metaplastic PCs and distinguishing GIM from BO. The higher frequency of HD5 expression in GIM than in BO is associated with a higher frequency of *H pylori* infection, suggesting that in IM PCs may form part of the mucosal antibacterial response.

Metaplastic changes of the gastrointestinal (GI) epithelium are seen in many pathological states, and in some cases are considered an early sign of cancer development. Intestinal metaplasia (IM) can occur in the oesophagus (Barrett’s oesophagus; BO) and in the stomach, associated with atrophic gastritis (gastric intestinal metaplasia; GIM). The aetiology and pathogenesis of BO and GIM are presumably different. BO is probably a response to prolonged exposure of the mucosal surface to noxious chemicals present in gastric acid and bile salts, whereas GIM probably has an infectious aetiology, arising from chronic infection with *Helicobacter pylori*. The cancer risks associated with BO and GIM differ, and thus have an impact on the management of the disease. However, the distinction between these two metaplasias can be difficult by routine histology, especially in IM of the oesophagogastric junction (EGJ).

“The primary goal of our study was to evaluate a newly developed antisera that we developed to detect Paneth cell metaplasia”

Histologically, a hallmark of IM is the presence of goblet cells outside of the small intestinal mucosa. IM can be further categorised into complete and incomplete forms, with the presence of Paneth cells (PCs) in the intestinalised epithelium being diagnostic for complete IM. The complete form of IM is seen more often in GIM than in BO. However, histologically evident PCs with characteristic eosinophilic cytoplasmic granules on haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining are scarce in specimens of complete IM from either condition.

In the small intestine, PCs are secretory epithelial cells residing at the base of the crypts of Lieberkühn. Their prominent apical granules contain abundant antimicrobial polypeptides. These include α defensins, lysozyme, secretory phospholipase A2 (sPLA2), and an important contribution to host defences in the small intestine. The α defensin HD5 is stored in PC secretory granules as a propeptide, and is activated to a mature peptide after secretion by PC trypsin. HD5 has broad antimicrobial activity against various bacteria and the yeast *Candida albicans* in vitro. However, HD5 expression in pathological conditions, such as IM of the upper GI tract, has not been fully investigated.

*Helicobacter pylori* is a Gram negative bacterium that commonly colonises the gastric mucosa, and is central to the pathogenesis of chronic gastritis, peptic ulcer disease, gastric adenocarcinoma, and a subset of gastric lymphomas. Several investigators have reported that the host response to *H pylori* infection includes the induction of antimicrobial peptides—such as β defensins and cathelicidins—in gastric epithelial cells. It is possible that, in IM, the presence of metaplastic PCs and HD5 expression, which are normally absent from the upper GI tract, are induced by the prolonged presence of *H pylori*. Consistent with this notion, a recent study showed that metaplastic PCs in atrophic gastritis with GIM secrete HD5 and the authors proposed that the
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microbicidal activity of this peptide may serve to clear H. pylori from this site.  

Although PCs appear to be involved in various chronic gastrointestinal diseases, tools to study PCs have been limited and the role of these cells has not been fully investigated. The primary goal of our study was to evaluate a newly developed HD5 monoclonal antibody as a tool to detect PC metaplasia. This reagent allowed us to determine with high sensitivity the relative prevalence of HD5 expression between anatomical locations of IM (BO v GIM), and to compare the frequency of HD5 expression in the presence or absence of H. pylori infection.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

The study protocol was approved by the institutional review board of the Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, Ohio, USA, and the clinical, education, and research committee of Qidong City Hospital, Jiangsu Province, China.

Patient specimens

Endoscopic biopsy specimens from the normal oesophagus, stomach, small intestine, and colon, and BO and GIM specimens were studied for HD5 mRNA and the HD5 peptide by means of reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), northern and western blotting, immunohistochemistry, and confocal immunofluorescence microscopy. Specimens were obtained from 33 white patients with BO at the Cleveland Clinic Foundation and 51 Chinese patients with IM of the distal stomach and atrophic gastritis at the Qidong City Hospital. Exclusion criteria were short segment BO (< 3 cm), IM at the EGJ, and dysplasia associated with IM. Goblet cell metaplasia was verified with the Alcian blue, periodic acid Schiff stains.

Ideally, the same ethnic population with BO and GIM should be studied and compared. However, BO is not common in Chinese populations where H. pylori infection, atrophic gastritis, GIM, and gastric cancer are common, and conversely GIM is rare in white populations where BO is common. We searched endoscopic reports and archival specimens from upper endoscopy at Qidong City Hospital in China, during the period 1990 to 2000, and found no patients diagnosed with BO. In contrast, despite its large digestive disease service, white patients with GIM are rare at the Cleveland Clinic, Ohio, USA. Therefore, the current prospective study compares BO and GIM in different racial populations. To overcome the patient selection bias, a baseline of HD5 expression in the oesophagus and stomach was established in normal adults of the corresponding ethnic population. We compared HD5 expression between BO and GIM, and gastric cancer are common, and to compare the frequency of HD5 expression in the presence or absence of H. pylori infection.

Table 1 Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oesophagus group</th>
<th>Controls (n=5)</th>
<th>Stomach group</th>
<th>Controls (n=16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BO (n=33)</td>
<td></td>
<td>GIM (n=51)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (SD)</td>
<td>55.2 (9.6)</td>
<td>58.7 (12.1)</td>
<td>56.6 (9.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>29 (88%)</td>
<td>36 (71%)</td>
<td>11 (68%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GERD symptoms</td>
<td>31 (94%)</td>
<td>4 (8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiatal hernia</td>
<td>27 (82%)</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>1 (6.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>18 (55%)</td>
<td>32 (63%)</td>
<td>9 (56.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goblet cell pylori</td>
<td>3/11 (27%)</td>
<td>44 (86%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The differences between the BO and the GIM groups were significant in terms of GERD symptoms (p<0.0001), evidence of hiatal hernia (p<0.0001), smoking (p=0.45), and presence of H pylori (p<0.0001), but not for age or sex.

BO, Barrett’s oesophagus; GERD, gastro-oesophageal reflux disease; GIM, gastric intestinal metaplasia.
flat bottom microtitre plates, and reaction volumes of 100 µl/well. Plates were coated with peptides (20 µg/ml in 0.1M sodium bicarbonate; pH 9.6) overnight, washed three times with PBS (200 µl) containing 0.05% Tween 20, blocked for one hour with 2% non-fat milk powder in PBS, incubated for two hours with primary antibody (polyclonal rabbit anti-HD5 and anti-proHD5 diluted 1/2000), mouse ascites of monoclonal anti-proHD5 clone 8C8 diluted 1/1000) in 0.2% non-fat milk powder in PBS, as above, incubated for two hours with horseradish peroxidase conjugated goat antirabbit IgG (Pierce, Rockfort, Illinois, USA) or goat anti-mouse IgG (Molecular Probes, Eugene, Oregon, USA) diluted 1/400 in 1% bovine serum albumin in PBS, washed three times with 0.05% Tween 20 in PBS, and developed with AmplexRed reagent (Molecular Probes, Eugene, Oregon, USA; prepared according to the manufacturer’s instructions) for 30 minutes in the dark and fluorescence was read on a fluorimeter.

Analysis of HD5 mRNA of normal and IM tissue samples

The expression of HD5, lysozyme, and sPLA2 mRNA in tissues along the GI tract was determined by northern blot analysis, as described previously. A northern blot filter of RNA from healthy tissues (MTN blot; Clontech, Palo Alto, California, USA) was incubated with oligonucleotide hybridisation probes (Biosource International, Camarillo, California, USA) at 550 nm excitation/590 nm emission, with a cutoff at 590 nm.
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www.jclinpath.com
HD5 immunohistochemistry

All tissue specimens were fixed in 3.7% paraformaldehyde in PBS, which has been shown to be suitable for preserving intracytoplasmic granules in PCs.41 Paraffin wax embedded, 5 μm thick tissue sections (dewaxed, treated with peroxide, and blocked as described previously19) were incubated overnight at 4°C with a 1/6000 dilution of the mouse anti-HD5 monoclonal antibody (8C8), and staining was performed using Vectastain Elite ABC kit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, California, USA).

BO and GIM specimens were included for evaluation of HD5 immunostaining if they complied with the following criteria: (1) correct orientation of the biopsy specimen with the superficial glandular mucosa clearly delineated from underlying glandular mucosa; (2) IM confirmed on serial sections by the presence of glandular mucosa with unequivocal goblet cell morphology and both superficial and deep glands seen on H&E and Alcian blue/periodic acid Schiff staining. The presence of immunoreactivity in five or more crypt cells for each low power field was considered positive.

Western blot analysis of HD5

Tissue specimens (3–7 mg wet weight) were placed in ice cold acetic acid (1/10 wt/vol) and immediately homogenised in the presence of protease inhibitors, as described previously.19 Samples (30 μg/lane) were resolved by 12.5% acid gel electrophoresis, electroblotted on to a 0.2 μm PVDF membrane, and analysed using a polyclonal rabbit anti-HD5 antibody and chemiluminescence detection.17 19

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were presented as mean (1 SD) for continuous variables or count (%) for categorical variables; t tests and χ² tests were used to compare continuous variables and categorical variables, respectively. The ELISA data were analysed by one way ANOVA. All tests were performed at the α = 0.05 significance level. Logistic regression was performed to estimate odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI).

RESULTS

Demographic and clinical data

There were no significant differences in age, sex, and smoking history between the BO and GIM study groups; however, gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GERD) symptoms were more common in the BO group. In addition, evidence of H pylori infection was more prevalent in the GIM group (table 1).

HD5 mRNA and peptide expression in normal and IM tissues

The specificity of the monoclonal antibody for proHD5 (amino acids (aa) 20–94, the tissue form) and partially
processed HD5 (aa 36–94 and 56–94) and lack of recognition of the fully processed HD5 form (aa 63–94 and 64–94) was established by dot blot analysis and ELISA (fig 1). HD5 immunohistochemistry was performed with the monoclonal antibody on GI tract specimens from various anatomical locations (table 2). HD5 immunoreactivity was readily detected in all normal small intestinal specimens (n = 20), but was absent in normal oesophagus, stomach, and colon specimens. The topographical location and morphology of HD5 stained cells in the small intestine corresponded to typical PCs in sequential H&E stained tissue sections (fig 2A, B). Northern blot analysis was used to detect the expression of mRNA encoding the PC antimicrobials lysozyme, sPLA₂, and HD5 in non-diseased GI tissues (fig 3). Consistent with the immunohistochemical data, HD5 mRNA was detected only in the small intestine where PCs are normally located. In addition to the small intestine, lysozyme and sPLA₂ mRNA was also detected in the stomach and colon. This indicates that these antimicrobials are also found in cells other than PCs, and are not specific PC markers.

HD5 immunoreactivity was detected in 42 of the 51 patients with GI, but in only five of the patients with BO (table 2; p < 0.001). Using H&E staining to detect PCs, 11 of the 51 GI and none of the BO specimens had the complete form of IM. When considering HD5 expression as a possible marker for the diagnosis of GI, the sensitivity and specificity based on these data are 82% and 84%, respectively. BO and GI specimens that were positive for HD5 expression by immunohistochemistry were selected for comparative analysis with the normal small intestine. Using a polyclonal rabbit anti-HD5 antiserum that recognises all known HD5 forms, including fully processed HD5 (aa 63–94), HD5 derived from BO and GI was indistinguishable from that of the normal small intestine by western analysis (fig 4A, B). RT-PCR analysis provided further evidence that this immunoreactivity was specific for the authentic HD5 peptide and that HD5 is synthesised by cells at the site of IM, rather than accumulating by cellular uptake and storage. The single product amplified with the HD5 specific primers co-migrated with the product from the small intestine (fig 4C), specifically hybridised with a HD5 probe (fig 4C), and had the correct HD5 nucleotide sequence (data not shown). Therefore, HD5 from the BO and GI specimens appears to be identical to that seen in the normal small intestine.

Association between HD5 expression and *H pylori* infection in IM

We found a significantly higher frequency of HD5 expression in GI than in BO. Because there was a higher prevalence of *H pylori* infection in the GI samples (table 2), we investigated whether *H pylori* infection and HD5 expression were related. Table 3 shows that HD5 staining was positive in 43 of 45 cases of *H pylori* infection, but positive in only four of 17 cases without *H pylori* infection. The OR for HD5 positivity, given evidence of *H pylori* infection versus no such evidence was 69.9 (95% CI, 11.5 to 425.7; p = 0.001; table 4). Subgroup analysis shows an OR of 82.0 (95% CI, 7.3 to 922.0; p = 0.0004) for GI and 14.0 (95% CI, 0.58 to 339.0; p = 0.10) for BO (table 4). *Helicobacter pylori* was detected in nine of the 16 Chinese patients with a normal gastric biopsy. The OR of *H pylori* positivity for patients with GI as compared with a normal gastric biopsy was 4.89 (95% CI, 1.37 to 17.4), when comparing within the same ethnic population. No biopsy

---

### Table 2 HD5 expression in normal and intestinal metaplasia of the gastrointestinal tract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissues with IM</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>HD5 immunostaining</th>
<th>Helicobacter pylori infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BO</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5 (15%)</td>
<td>28 (85%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIM</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>42 (82%)</td>
<td>9 (18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissues without IM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oesophagus</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BO, Barrett’s oesophagus; GIM, gastric intestinal metaplasia; HD5, human defensin 5; IM, intestinal metaplasia; NA, not applicable.

### Table 3 Association between human defensin 5 (HD5) expression and *Helicobacter pylori* infection in IM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HD5 positive</th>
<th>HD5 negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>H pylori</em> positive</td>
<td>43 (2/41)</td>
<td>41 (1/3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H pylori</em> negative</td>
<td>2 (1/1)</td>
<td>13 (7/6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Counts shown as total (Barrett’s oesophagus/gastric intestinal metaplasia).
A specimen from the normal stomach showed HD5 immuno-reactivity (Table 2).

**Morphological features of cells expressing HD5 in IM**

The cells staining positive for HD5 were typically, but not exclusively, found deep in the crypts of intestinalised mucosa in both BO (Fig 2A, B) and GIM (Fig 2E–H). These cells were most often pyramid shaped, similar to the PCs found in the small intestine. Surprisingly, the pyramid shaped cells expressing HD5 in these specimens mostly lacked the characteristic cytoplasmic eosinophilic granules normally identified by H&E staining in sequential sections (Fig 2C–H), and thus were not recognisable as PCs using this stain. Only a small number of HD5 expressing cells (nine of 42 in GIM and none of five in BO) had characteristic cytoplasmic eosinophilic granules. All PCs detected by H&E staining were positive for HD5. To investigate whether lack of characteristic cytoplasmic eosinophilic granules in PCs is seen in other chronic disorders of the GI tract, we carried out HD5 immunohistochemistry of ileal tissue from pouches following colectomy surgery with ileal pouch–anal anastomosis with chronic inflammation and detected the same phenomenon (Fig 2I, J). Thus, PCs may go undetected in some chronic inflammatory disorders of the GI tract.

To verify that the pyramid shaped HD5 expressing cells were PC variants, we used a double immunostaining technique for HD5 and another antimicrobial polypeptide expressed by PCs, which is less abundant—lysozyme. In BO and GIM specimens, the two molecules were colocalised (although lysozyme staining was weaker) (Fig 5). This was seen both in cells with and without characteristic cytoplasmic eosinophilic granules (data not shown). This colocalisation supports the notion that cells expressing HD5 in BO and GIM are part of the PC lineage, even though they do not have cytoplasmic eosinophilic granules as assessed by H&E staining.

**DISCUSSION**

The presence of PCs has been used to categorise IM of the upper GI mucosa because in healthy individuals these cells are seen almost exclusively in the small intestine. However, the biological implications of their ectopic appearance are not understood, and a sensitive and specific marker for these cells

---

**Table 4**  
Helicobacter pylori as a statistical risk factor for HD5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>11.5 to 425.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BO</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.58 to 339.0</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIM</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>7.3 to 922.0</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BO, Barrett’s oesophagus; CI, confidence interval; GIM, gastric intestinal metaplasia; HD5, human defensin 5; OR, odds ratio.
is lacking. PCs are key elements of innate immunity in the intestinal tract. Several antimicrobial polypeptides are abundantly expressed by PCs, including HD5, lysozyme, and sPLA2. All have been reported to be expressed also by other non-intestinal cell lineages outside of the intestinal tract. In addition, lysozyme and sPLA2 have been detected also within the GI tract in cells other than PCs and cannot serve as a specific biomarker for these cells. In contrast, in our analysis of normal GI tissues, HD5 mRNA and peptide expression was detected only in small intestinal PCs, and was absent in all other parts of the GI tract and in other intestinal cell lineages. Therefore, HD5 is a specific marker of PCs in the GI tract.

Using a specific anti-HD5 monoclonal antibody, we showed that HD5 was frequently expressed in IM of the upper GI tract. The HD5 expressing cells in BO and GIM were mainly located at the crypts of intestinalised epithelium. The HD5 expressing cells were pyramid shaped, similar to PCs in the small intestinal epithelium. However, most of the cells lacked the characteristic cytoplasmic granules of PCs in routine histology. HD5 immunohistochemistry indicated that 42 of the 51 GIM specimens and five of the 33 BO specimens had the complete form of IM. In contrast, H&E analysis classified only 11 of the 51 GIM and none of the BO specimens as having the complete form of IM. Using confocal immunofluorescence, HD5 expressed in those cells was colocalised with lysozyme, another antimicrobial product of PCs, strongly supporting the notion that they are of the PC lineage. Because western blotting and mRNA analysis revealed authentic HD5 in IM tissues, some metaplastic PCs, although expressing HD5 and lysozyme, may contain fewer cationic granules than intestinal PCs, thus accounting for the lack of staining with the acidic dye eosin. These data indicate that HD5 immunohistochemistry is a more sensitive method of detecting PCs in BO and GIM than routine H&E staining.

“The sensitive and specific detection of Paneth cells by HD5 immunohistochemistry may be useful in determining the origin of intestinal metaplasia near the oesophago-gastric junction, with HD5 positive intestinal metaplasia supporting a gastric origin”

HD5 immunohistochemistry corroborated the general observation that the mucosa of GIM is more frequently a complete form of IM with the presence of PCs, whereas that of BO is often characterised as incomplete IM. In our investigation, we studied two distinct populations, BO from a white population with a high frequency of GERD and low frequency of H pylori, and GIM from a Chinese population with infrequent GERD and a high frequency of H pylori. Significant differences in HD5 expression were seen between the two groups. HD5 immunostaining was positive in five of the 33 BO specimens, whereas it was positive in 42 of the 51 GIM specimens. IM in BO is associated with GERD, white ethnicity, male sex, negative H pylori status, and increased risk for BO dysplasia or oesophageal adenocarcinoma. GIM lacks a sex or racial predilection and has a lower risk for the development of adenocarcinoma. It is important to identify the source of IM at the EGJ, because IM of the oesophagus (BO) has a different cancer risk, so that GIM and BO requires different endoscopic surveillance programmes.

The sensitive and specific detection of PCs by HD5 immunohistochemistry may be useful in determining the origin of IM near the EGJ, with HD5 positive IM supporting a GIM origin. In a previous study from members of our group, the pattern of expression of cytokeratins 7 and 20 was also found to be useful in differentiating BO from GIM, and perhaps these assays could complement one another in this important differential diagnosis.

The mechanisms underlying the appearance of PC metaplasia are not understood. The results of our study support the hypothesis that in the upper GI tract the appearance of metaplastic PCs and HD5 expression might be induced by bacterial pathogens, such as H pylori. We found differences in the frequency of H pylori infection between BO and GIM groups, with H pylori infection being more common in GIM, where HD5 expression is also more prevalent. The difference in the prevalence of H pylori infection between BO and GIM might explain the difference in frequency of HD5 expression and PC metaplasia between the two disease entities. Other investigators have reported similar findings. A recent study showed that metaplastic PCs in atrophic gastritis with GIM secrete HD5,20 and GIM including IM of the EGJ has been shown to be associated with a higher frequency of H pylori infection than BO. In contrast, H pylori infection is not implicated in the pathogenesis of BO, and some authors have even speculated that it may play a protective role against the development of BO. It is also possible that it is not the mere presence of H pylori, but rather the ability of certain strains of H pylori to elicit an inflammatory response, that is important for the development of PC metaplasia. Consistent with this notion, Forsberg et al showed that in coeliac disease there is a link between PC metaplasia and interferon γ production by intraepithelial lymphocytes.

In conclusion, HD5 immunohistochemistry detects PCs, even when routine histology fails to demonstrate their characteristic cytoplasmic granules, and thus may provide a powerful tool for the analysis of IM of the upper GI tract. The difference in the frequency of HD5 expression between BO and GIM may be the result of the difference in frequency of H pylori infection between the two disease entities, and the appearance of metaplastic PCs in IM may reflect an adaptive change of the mucosa to increase innate immunity to noxious microbes.
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Take home messages
• HD5 immunohistochemistry can detect Paneth cells (PCs), even when routine histology fails to demonstrate their characteristic cytoplasmic granules
• Thus, HD5 immunostaining may be useful for analysing intestinal metaplasia (IM) of the upper gastrointestinal tract
• The higher frequency of HD5 expression in gastric intestinal metaplasia than in Barrett’s oesophagus is associated with a higher frequency of H pylori infection, suggesting that in IM PCs may form part of the mucosal antibacterial response
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