Simplified semiquantitative culture using washed sputum from children with lower respiratory tract infections

Microbiologic diagnosis of bacterial lower respiratory tract infection in children is difficult because invasive diagnostic approaches, such as bronchoscopy or lung biopsy, are usually not available for children. Previously, we showed the usefulness of a semiquantitative culture using sputum obtained from children. However, this method requires sputum to be washed three times to reduce bacteria from the upper respiratory tract, and is tedious to perform as routine laboratory work. The purpose of our present study was to evaluate a simplified culture method for identifying causative pathogens in childhood lower respiratory tract infection.

We studied 268 children who were admitted to Saitama Medical School, Japan from February 1999 to August 2001 with the diagnosis of lower respiratory tract infection. Sputum was obtained by inducing the children to cough, as described previously. The specimens were classified according to the Geckler classification2 and washed in sterile saline by vigorous stirring using a bacterial loop. The core part of the sputum was collected and inoculated on to agar plates and incubated. For the simplified method, colonies were identified and bacteria with almost pure growth or with colony numbers of more than 50% on the plate were defined as pathogens. For 60 specimens we used sputum remaining from the simplified method, which was washed in fresh saline twice more and cultured. This was the same methodology used in the original method. Results were compared between the two methods. Informed consent was obtained from the parents of all children.

Most specimens were classified into Geckler 5: fewer than 10 squamous epithelial cells and more than 10 neutrophils for each low power (×100) field. The pathogens identified by the original/simplified methods were as follows: Haemophilus influenzae, 17/19; Streptococcus pneumoniae, eight/eight; Pseudomonas aeruginosa, six/three; methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus, six/three; Streptococcus agalactiae, two/two; and Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, one/one. No significant pathogens were identified in 21 and 24 specimens by the simplified and original methods, respectively. Mixed pathogens were identified in three and one specimen, respectively. In 56 samples, results were the same with both methods, with an agreement rate of 93% (56 of 60).

Pathogens identified by the simplified method in 268 children were as follows: H influenzae 33%, S pneumoniae 16%, and S aureus 3%. No significant pathogens were identified in 123 specimens (46%). The diagnostic value of sputum in children is not clear because expectorated sputum is difficult to obtain. We successfully obtained sputum by inducing the children to cough. In addition, the simplified semiquantitative culture using washed sputum showed a high agreement with the original method, which involved three washes. We recommend this simplified method as a less invasive method to clarify bacterial pathogens in lower respiratory tract infections among children.

Our result showed that H influenzae was the most frequent pathogen. Although the pathological role of non-typable H influenzae in respiratory tract infections has not been highly evaluated in children,1 Shann and Korppi and colleagues reported a pathogenic role for non-typable H influenzae. We think these discrepancies could be a result of the diagnostic methods used.

K Murayama, T Yamazaki, A Ito, S Uehara, N Sasaki
Department of Paediatrics, Saitama Medical School, Saitama, Japan 350-0495; benryama@saitama-med.ac.jp

References

BOOK REVIEWS
A Handbook of Anatomical Pathology

Students of the Certificate or Diploma in Anatomical Pathology Technology will find this an excellent source of operational knowledge; mortuary managers will want to buy the editor a drink for the bibliography alone; pathologists will be reminded just how difficult it is to provide mortuary in these days of Department of Health reports, Health and Safety Executive guidelines, and CPA visits. Such big praise for such a relatively small book, but then discussion about the size and colour of the publication surprisingly takes up about half of the introduction by the editor; the theme shall be maintained!

It is bigger than the 1991 “Red Book” which, according to its introduction, in many mortuaries it takes pride of place on the bookshelf along with the much bigger format Yellow Book...—well, not in mine it doesn’t, because it was/is such an annoying little book to use, with a scantly contents page and no index. The 2004 Red and White Book (should have kept to one colour to maintain the chromatic flow) has undoubtedly expanded in its scope and amount of text and, as such, is a better source of information. However, because of the barely improved contents page and persistently non-existent index, it is still difficult to use; the contents page in this handbook takes you to the country, then to a region and strays you there. I don’t need the sophistication of satellite navigation to find my way around a book, but even the cheapest road atlas has an index to take you straight to the town. It troubles me that the introduction prides itself in the fact that each “part” is designed to be complete in itself, with multiple referencing and, therefore, some duplication and repetition is inevitable; who really wants to read the whole book over and over again to spot the duplications—that is, facts pertaining to the same subject area? I don’t, and neither I suspect does any busy APT or pathologist. This is a shame because there is a veritable mine of information here. The price of £15 (including post and packaging) is easily affordable for the most cash strapped of organisations (even UK NHS hospital trusts). Having said that, on publishing the second edition, please will the Royal Institute of Public Health as publishers invest in a better word processing package with an index facility (even Microsoft Word will do it on a desk top) and pass the few pence on to the reader; it will be money well spent.
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