The role of the pathologist in the preoperative diagnosis of phyllodes tumours of the breast is critical to appropriate surgical planning. However, reliable differentiation of phyllodes tumour from cellular fibroadenoma remains difficult. Preoperative diagnostic accuracy allows correct surgical treatment, avoiding the pitfalls of reoperation because of inadequate excision, or surgical overtreatment. Specific clinical indices may arouse diagnostic suspicion but are unreliable for confirmation, as with current imaging modes. Fine needle aspiration cytology has a high false negative rate. Few studies have evaluated the role of core needle biopsy, but it may prove a useful adjunct. Both diagnostic and prognostic information may in future be gained from application of immunohistochemical and other techniques assessing the expression of proliferative markers including p53, Ki-67, and others.

The recurring theme in phyllodes tumour is one of underdiagnosis by pathologists and undertreatment by surgeons.1 The role of the pathologist in the preoperative diagnosis of phyllodes tumour is crucial in minimising operative interventions for the patient. Accurate preoperative diagnosis allows correct surgical planning and avoidance of reoperation, either to achieve wider excision or for subsequent tumour recurrence.2 But has the diagnostic pathway changed in the 190 years since Chelius3 first described this uncommon tumour? Phyllodes tumour is a rare fibroepithelial neoplasm accounting for less than 1% of all breast tumours.4 It has a leaf-like architecture (fig 1) and infiltrating margins with marked stromal overgrowth and hypercellularity.5 The behaviour of phyllodes tumours ranges from benign and locally recurrent to malignant and metastatic. The accepted histological classification is into benign, borderline, and malignant subtypes6–8 according to features such as tumour margins (pushing or infiltrative), stromal overgrowth, tumour necrosis, cellular atypia, and number of mitoses per high power field. However, histological grading has been shown correlate poorly with tumour behaviour,9–10 though the multiplicity of differing grading systems makes it difficult to be certain whether this effect is in part a result of disparities in approach.

Wide local excision (WLE) with at least a 1 cm margin is currently the treatment of choice for all grades of phyllodes tumour.7 11 12 Indeed the margin required is subject to individual unit interpretations. This is in contrast to the treatment of fibroadenoma (the most frequent source of diagnostic difficulty), which may safely be managed by simple enucleation, and is increasingly being managed non-operatively when small. Thus if misdiagnosis as a fibroadenoma occurs, phyllodes tumour is either mistakenly treated conservatively by observation, or is inadequately resected. Residual phyllodes tumour at excision margins is a strong predictor of local tumour recurrence,12–15 and where excision has been inadequate, re-excision is advised.16 However, this carries significant psychological morbidity for the patient.17 Recent research interest has focused on the use of immunohistochemical markers,1 18–30 flow cytometry,2 31–36 and other techniques37 38 to elucidate the cellular basis of tumour behaviour and for the development of additional prognostic indicators.

**TRIPLE ASSESSMENT**

The introduction of triple assessment has standardised the diagnostic pathway for breast lumps, combining the results of clinical examination, radiological imaging, and fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) or core biopsy, or both, to achieve the highest levels of non-operative diagnostic accuracy in breast disease.10 However, efficacy of the components of this process individually and in combination remains poor for the diagnosis of phyllodes tumour,49–52 as its features overlap with benign disease in all three categories.

**Clinical indices**

Phyllodes tumour usually presents with a clinically benign lump, which may be rapidly growing. Presentation may be precipitated by a sudden increase in size in a longstanding breast lesion.40–42 Size at presentation is often larger than for fibroadenoma, although increased breast awareness and the impact of screening has resulted in a trend towards presentation at smaller tumour sizes.43 Overlying skin may show bluish discoloration and dilated veins, and pressure necrosis can occur. Prevalence is higher in Latin American white and Asian populations.45 The majority arise in women aged between 35 and 55 years53 54 (approximately 20 years later than fibroadenoma). While certain clinical features may raise the index of suspicion, phyllodes

*Abbreviations:* FNAC, fine needle aspiration cytology; SPF, S-phase fraction; WLE, wide local excision
tumour is not clinically distinguishable from fibroadenoma and other benign breast lesions. The diagnosis of phyllodes tumour should be considered and ruled out in the diagnostic pathway of larger fibroadenomata.

**Imaging**

Mammography and ultrasonography are the mainstays of routine imaging of breast lumps. Phyllodes tumour often mimics fibroadenoma at mammography, commonly appearing as a large, well circumscribed, oval or lobulated mass with rounded borders, which may show a lucent halo or coarse microcalcifications. Ultrasonography typically shows an inhomogeneous, solid appearing mass with low level internal echoes, smooth walls, and good through transmission. Identification of a cyst within a solid lesion by ultrasound is highly suggestive of phyllodes tumour.

The role of MRI has not been fully elucidated, but dynamic enhancement patterns may be helpful for the diagnosis of larger tumours (>3 cm). Other experimental approaches include proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy and scintimammography. At present, however, many phyllodes tumours cannot be distinguished from fibroadenomas on radiological grounds, nor can benign and malignant variants be reliably differentiated, although one study found tumours larger than 3 cm in diameter are more likely to be malignant.

**Fine needle aspiration cytology**

Cytological features of phyllodes tumour have been well described. Fibroadenomas and phyllodes tumours share a dimorphic pattern with both epithelial and stromal components. The key diagnostic features relate to the stroma, including the presence of hypercellular stromal fragments, well delineated borders to stromal fragments, stromal nuclear atypia, isolated stromal cells with bare nuclei, and blood vessels crossing the stromal fragments.

However, the value of FNAC in the diagnosis of phyllodes tumour remains controversial, with an overall accuracy of 63%: in published reports of 156 FNAC examinations, there were 99 true positive results. Sensitivity, specificity, and positive/negative predictive values as objective indices are not easily applicable in this context, for two reasons: first, study populations were selected from known cases with or without fibroadenoma controls (randomised prospective design being difficult owing to the rarity of the tumour). Second, where a statistical breakdown was presented, there was in all cases a significant indeterminate group. Where quantified, utility in these studies has been presented as a straightforward “diagnostic accuracy” percentage on the sample used—true phyllodes tumour definitively diagnosed on FNAC/total true phyllodes tumour >100. This varied from 32% to 77%, though no fibroadenoma controls were used in the two studies reporting the highest accuracy. Some studies were blinded, though only one described in detail how this had been done. The degree of interobserver agreement was not quantified in any of the studies.

In addition to the presence of hypercellular stromal fragments, specific discriminating features included the percentage of long spindle nuclei in dispersed stromal cells (≥30% found to be diagnostic of phyllodes tumour) and the size and shape of epithelial clusters (>1 mm, elongated, and wavy/folded in phyllodes tumour, compared with smaller tubular or blunt-branching clusters in fibroadenoma).

Accuracy of FNAC depends on an adequate and representative sample. Sampling problems can arise in phyllodes tumour because of the heterogeneous nature of these tumours, and the characteristic hypercellular fragments may be absent, especially if sampling has been done from relatively hypocellular, myxoid, or hyalinised areas of stroma. Even if the highest reported diagnostic accuracy results were widely reproducible, a quarter of phyllodes tumour would be undiagnosed by FNAC alone owing to large numbers of false negatives.

In three of the studies, tumour grading was attempted from cytological samples. The largest of these found good cytohistological correlation in 81%. Of those inaccurately graded, nine of 11 were classified as being of lower (more benign) grade than the histological correlate. This effect was probably caused by sampling difficulties, with the matching histological slides showing only focal areas of stromal pleomorphism in an otherwise benign appearing tumour.

**ROLE FOR CORE BIOPSY**

The introduction of automated core biopsy guns and refinement of image guided techniques for sampling non-palpable breast lesions have resulted in the rapid acceptance of core needle biopsy in the non-operative diagnosis of breast...
lumps. Local protocols determine its usage in conjunction with FNAC. For example, at St Mary’s Hospital, Paddington, all patients undergoing FNAC of a breast lump also have a core biopsy.

The microscopic appearance of phyllodes tumour is similar to that of intracanalicular fibroadenoma, with an elongated ductal component and epithelium lined papillary protrusions of stromal connective tissue which produce the leaf-like gross appearance from which the tumour’s name is derived.5 The stromal cellularity in phyllodes tumour may be heterogeneous. Foci indistinguishable from fibroadenoma may lie adjacent to more cellular regions.6 This carries potential for sampling error, and where core needle biopsy is undertaken, sampling should be thorough to minimise this risk.

The guidelines recommend that phyllodes tumour should be designated B3 on core needle biopsy reporting,7 and in the rare cases where it cannot be differentiated from fibroadenoma it should be called a “fibroepithelial tumour” to avoid underdiagnosis of phyllodes tumour, with correlation to clinical and imaging findings. This should ideally occur in the context of a multidisciplinary meeting.

Review of the published reports showed very few articles specifically addressing the efficacy of core needle biopsy for preoperative diagnosis of phyllodes tumour. In the largest series of 57 biopsies in which phyllodes tumour was suspected or could not be ruled out, a favoured diagnosis was given in 48 cases. The biopsies were considered equivocal in the remaining nine. Of the 23 core biopsies where phyllodes tumour was favoured, 19 were confirmed on excisional biopsy. More importantly, of the 25 where fibroadenoma was favoured, only two were in fact phyllodes tumour and these were both histologically benign. The authors argue that this high accuracy is perhaps its greatest benefit, as patients with a core biopsy favouring fibroadenoma can avoid surgery and be managed with close follow up.8 In a separate study, core needle biopsy was undertaken in 12 patients with palpable breast lesions in whom FNA cytology had not produced a definitive diagnosis. Among these, one had a presumed fibroadenoma on FNAC, but core biopsy definitively confirmed benign phyllodes tumour. The authors concluded that this was one of the circumstances in which supplemental core biopsy was especially useful.9

Although core needle biopsy is not exempt from the sampling issues previously described, its selective use represents an attractive option for improving non-operative diagnostic yield in phyllodes tumour. In addition, histological tumour grading may be attempted preoperatively on the core

**Figure 3** Photomicrograph of benign phyllodes tumour showing increased cellularity (original magnification 400; H&E stain).
biopsy sample. Attempts have been made to define sets of criteria based on histological features that will correlate with tumour behaviour. The most well known are those proposed by Azzopardi et al,2 Pietruska and Barnes,3 and Norris and Taylor.4 These subclassify phyllodes tumour into benign, borderline/intermediate, and malignant subtypes according to features such as tumour margins (pushing or infiltrative), stromal overgrowth, tumour necrosis, cellular atypia, and number of mitoses per high power field (fig 4). These multiple different classification systems have arisen because correlation of histological tumour grade to clinical course has been considered problematic.5 In a careful review of all published series of histological findings on excised tumours, Barth6 argued that histologic findings can be used according to existing criteria to identify a subgroup of patients whose tumours are benign in so far as they are very unlikely to metastasise and prove lethal, but all groups have the propensity for local recurrence. Infiltrating tumour contour, increasing stromal atypia, and high mitotic count are associated with worse clinical outcome at the malignant end of the spectrum.42 Rates of recurrence after wide local excision are, however, higher (36% in the malignant and 29% in the intermediate groups, as compared with 8% of benign tumours) This raises the question as to whether WLE is adequate for these groups, though even with data pooled from all available studies, the numbers remain small (n = 45). If evidence accumulates that malignant phyllodes tumour warrants more radical surgery, preoperative grading using a core biopsy sample will assume greater importance.

ROLE OF MARKERS OF CELL PROLIFERATION

Methods of determining proliferative activity in tumours have been developed to assist with tumour grading for various types of malignancy, including breast carcinoma. Techniques to determine cell division kinetics have been applied to phyllodes tumour for correlation with both histological grading and clinical outcome. These have included flow cytometric determination of the S-Phase fraction (SPF)/DNA ploidy, p53 expression, and MIB-1 index. Results of flow cytometric analysis have been mixed. One study showed a good correlation of both SPF and ploidy with histological grade,11 but this has not been replicated in other studies.12 13 16 DNA content has been shown in one study to predict clinical outcome,7 with aneuploid tumours following a more aggressive course,11 though other studies found that the clinical outcome was significantly correlated with SPF8 but not ploidy.15 Cytogenetically, phyllodes tumour shows karyotype abnormalities, mostly chromosome gains.16 As such changes and the implications of their role in histogenesis become more clearly understood, they may in future be useful both for diagnosis of difficult cases and for tumour grading.

P53 expression in phyllodes tumour is associated with histological features of malignancy,14 15 60 75-77 with increasing positivity documented in tumours that have shown progression from benign to malignant phenotypes indicating a role in pathogenesis,19 20 One study showed it to be an independent predictor of disease-free survival,20 but others have shown it cannot predict recurrence.21 78-79 There were no studies indicating utility of p53 expression for distinguishing benign phyllodes tumour and fibroadenoma.

MIB-1 is a monoclonal antibody with epitope selectivity to the human Ki-67 protein, which is present during all active phases of the cell cycle but is absent from resting cells. This makes it an excellent marker for determining the growth fraction of a given cell population.80 Several studies have shown a positive correlation between MIB-1 index and histological grade. One of the larger one has demonstrated that Ki-67 expression (as measured by MIB-1 index) is inversely correlated with overall survival.81

Use of MIB-1 index for differentiating between benign phyllodes tumours and fibroadenomas has also yielded conflicting results. A previous study from our group showed a highly significant difference in MIB-1 indices between the stromal component of phyllodes tumour and fibroadenoma (p = <0.001),1 confirming the findings of Kocova et al19 and supporting its potential use in reducing diagnostic uncertainty in difficult cases. Two studies have failed to find a significant difference between these two groups.22 82 However, all four studies have involved relatively small numbers, and differences in histological criteria used to define the benign group provide an additional confounding factor. There is as yet no published work to test the hypothesis that diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of core biopsy in this context may be enhanced by adjunctive use of MIB-1 index, nor has an appropriate cut off value been clearly determined.

GUIDELINES FOR PREOPERATIVE DIAGNOSIS

The preoperative diagnosis of phyllodes tumour of the breast continues to challenge pathologist and surgeon alike. The existing literature has been reviewed in the light of this challenge and it is concluded that core biopsy is potentially the most useful investigation for the preoperative diagnosis of phyllodes tumour. It is cost-effective and minimally invasive compared with excision biopsy. The aim of developing the score is to crystallise the features that clinicians already appraise intuitively, with the goal of improving rates of preoperative diagnosis. A prospective evaluation of its use is planned.

Although FNAC findings are included in the score, they need not necessarily form part of the diagnostic pathway. Where clinico-radiological features of phyllodes tumour are present, core biopsy is preferred owing to the high rates of false negative/inconclusive findings for FNAC.

The use of immunohistochemical or other adjunctive tests should be decided on a case to case basis. We look forward to the validation of more sophisticated immunohistochemical and other techniques to reduce diagnostic uncertainty in the more difficult cases, and the deeper understanding of the histogenesis of this uncommon tumour that will emerge as a result.
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