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Extranodal marginal zone lymphoma of mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT lymphoma) is the third most common non-Hodgkin lymphoma subtype, accounting for around 6–8% of all non-Hodgkin lymphomas in the Western hemisphere. Although MALT lymphomas are clinically indolent, the disease is typically chronic, requiring long-term clinical surveillance and, often, repeated biopsies. Pathologists thus play a central role in the diagnosis and management of these patients. The optimal diagnosis and management of a MALT lymphoma requires careful integration of morphological, immunohistochemical and molecular information, together with close cooperation with the clinician treating the patient. This review discusses recent developments in the molecular pathogenesis of MALT lymphoma and provides strategies for integrating this information into daily pathological practice.

Our understanding of the aetiology and molecular pathogenesis of MALT lymphoma has exploded in recent years. In the last 5 years, over 1000 peer-reviewed papers on MALT lymphoma have been published in the scientific literature, and approximately 200 of these have been devoted to the study of the molecular events in this disease. Although there have been several elegant reviews on the molecular pathology of MALT lymphoma,1 there is little practical information on the relevance of these findings to the work of surgical pathologists. The aim of this review is to provide such practical information and suggest guidelines to integrate the recent developments in the field into routine diagnostic histopathological practice.

AETIOLOGY
A number of infections have been implicated in the development of MALT lymphoma. The most firmly established association is that between gastric Helicobacter pylori infection and gastric MALT lymphoma.3 It appears that H pylori is critical for lymphomagenesis and also creates a microenvironment favouring the growth of neoplastic B cells, probably through the help of T cells.5–7 Eradication of H pylori by antibiotic treatment leads to regression of gastric MALT lymphoma in around 75% of cases and has become established clinical practice. Other associations between MALT lymphoma and infectious agents include Chlamydia psittaci and ocular adnexal MALT lymphoma,8 hepatitis C virus and salivary gland MALT lymphoma,4 and C jejuni and immunoproliferative small intestinal disease.9 There is recent evidence suggesting that eradication of C psittaci infection may lead to regression of ocular adnexal MALT lymphoma8; however, this association remains controversial.10

Currently, in daily clinical practice, only detection of H pylori infection has clinical value. In tissue sections this can be achieved by a number of methods, of which immunohistochemistry is thought to be the most specific and sensitive.11

HISTOLOGICAL DIAGNOSIS
Characteristic morphological features
MALT lymphomas arising at different anatomical sites nevertheless share common morphological features.12–14 The neoplastic cells infiltrate around reactive secondary lymphoid follicles in a marginal zone distribution and spread outwards to form diffuse interfollicular sheets or, in some cases, a vaguely nodular pattern. Although diagnostically helpful, identification of reactive follicles is not required for diagnosis as they may not be present in the small biopsy specimens often submitted for assessment. The lymphoma cells assume varied cytological appearances, often within individual specimens. Characteristic are centrocyte-like cells, which are small to medium-sized cells with small irregular nuclei. Alternatively, the neoplastic cells may have a monocytoïd appearance with abundant pale cytoplasm and distinct cell borders, or may resemble small mature lymphocytes (fig 1). Scattered large transformed centroblast- or immuno- blast-like cells are usually dispersed throughout the lymphoma. Variable numbers of plasma cells are frequently present, often adjacent to the epithelium. In approximately one-third of cases these are part of the neoplastic clone, and may show atypical features such as Dutcher bodies. In some cases, particularly in the thyroid gland, plasma cells may be so numerous as to suggest an extramucosal plasmacytoma.

An important diagnostic feature of MALT lymphoma at many sites is the presence of lymphoepithelial lesions, defined by the infiltration and distortion of epithelial structures by aggregates of (usually three or more) neoplastic lymphoid cells14 (figs 1A, 2B). The affected epithelium often shows degenerative changes such as cellular swelling and eosinophilia, and, if
infiltration is extensive, may disintegrate, leaving only clusters of degenerate cells among the lymphoid infiltrate. The presence of individual (non-clustered) small lymphocytes within the epithelium is insufficient for designation as a lymphoepithelial lesion, as such infiltration may occur by B cells in the normal lymphoepithelium of MALT, or by T cells in many reactive conditions. It is also important to note that lymphoepithelial lesions may be formed by lymphomas other than MALT lymphomas and that, although highly suggestive of MALT lymphoma if present in the context of a small lymphocytic proliferation, lymphoepithelial lesions can be seen occasionally in inflammatory states including florid gastritis and reactive pulmonary infiltrates.

**Immunohistological features**

The immunophenotype of the neoplastic cells of MALT lymphoma is virtually identical to that of non-neoplastic marginal-zone B cells: CD20+, IgD−, IgM (>IgA>IgG)+, CD5−, CD10−, Bcl6−, cyclin D1+.9 No specific immunohistochemical marker has yet been identified for MALT lymphoma, but evaluation of a panel of immunostains is necessary for assessment of the architecture of the lymphoid infiltrate, lineage assignment, identification of an aberrant phenotype or immunoglobulin light-chain restriction, and for the exclusion of other lymphomas. The presence of an extensive, dense, diffuse infiltrate of CD20+ B cells (with or without admixed CD3 T cells) between glands and reactive follicles is highly suggestive of lymphoma. Staining for CD21 and CD10 can be helpful in identifying unrecognised lymphoid follicles, often with expanded follicular dendritic cell (FDC) meshworks, whereas staining for IgD can demonstrate intact follicular IgD+ mantle zones, beyond which the IgD− neoplastic marginal zone cells are distributed (fig 2). Staining for cytokeratin can reveal lymphoepithelial lesions or scattered epithelial cell remnants. Demonstration of immunoglobulin light chain restriction is extremely helpful in the exclusion of a reactive lymphoid infiltrate; if there is plasma cell differentiation, light chain restriction may be demonstrable especially, or only, in plasma cells. In approximately 50% of MALT lymphomas, there is aberrant co-expression of CD43 by CD20+ small B cells, a phenotype strongly suggestive of lymphoma (fig 3). Demonstration of negativity of the lymphoma cells for IgD, CD5, CD10, Bcl6 and cyclin D1 can help to exclude other small B cell lymphomas. Very occasional MALT lymphomas may express CD5 or IgD17 18; careful review of these cases, particularly to exclude a mantle cell lymphoma, is important. The MIB-1 proliferation fraction is low in lymphoma cells, but high in interspersed reactive follicles.

**Histological features of MALT lymphoma at commonly involved sites**

**Gastric MALT lymphoma**

Most gastric MALT lymphomas arise in patients in whom gastroscopy showed non-specific features such as inflammation, superficial erosions or ulceration, and are thus unanticipated. The most frequent problem in the diagnosis of gastric MALT lymphoma is its differentiation from *H pylori*-associated gastritis. Among the histological features favouring MALT lymphoma are a dense lymphoid infiltrate occupying most of the biopsy fragment, prominent lymphoepithelial lesions, Dutcher bodies in plasma cells, infiltration of muscularis mucosae and moderate cytological atypia of lymphoid cells.19 Nevertheless, many endoscopic biopsy specimens lack several of these features. In 1993, Wotherspoon et al20 proposed a useful scoring system to relate morphological findings to the likelihood of a lymphoid infiltrate being a gastric MALT lymphoma (table 1). More recently, the incorporation of immunohistological and molecular genetic assessment alongside histological examination has resulted in an increase in the confidence with which a MALT lymphoma can be diagnosed or excluded in gastric biopsies. An integrated diagnostic approach to gastric lymphoid infiltrates is presented in more detail below.

**Pulmonary MALT lymphoma**

MALT lymphomas of the lung typically present with single or multiple radiologically detected pulmonary nodules or consolidations, either in asymptomatic patients or in patients with non-specific respiratory complaints such as cough and dyspnoea.21 22 The lymphoma characteristically shows a lymphangitic pattern of infiltration, spreading along bronchovascular bundles, interlobular septa and visceral pleura, coalescing to form destructive masses which efface the pulmonary parenchyma (fig 4). This architecture, together with the extension of B cells beyond the confines of reactive lymphoid follicles, helps to distinguish MALT lymphoma from follicular bronchiolitis, lymphoid interstitial pneumonia and nodular lymphoid hyperplasia. Lymphoepithelial lesions are formed with the bronchial and bronchiolar epithelium, but these are not specific for lymphoma. Other features often seen in pulmonary MALT lymphomas include fibrosis, non-necrotising transmural infiltration of large blood vessels, non-caseating granulomas, amyloid/immunoglobulin deposition often associated with foreign body-type giant cells and giant lamellar bodies formed at least in part from surfactant protein.23 24

**MALT lymphoma of the salivary glands**

Most salivary gland MALT lymphomas arise on a background of myoepithelial sialadenitis/benign lymphoepithelial lesion. When fully developed, this comprises atrophic acinar tissue
infiltrated by small lymphocytes and plasma cells, often with reactive lymphoid follicles, and characteristically with numerous epimyoepithelial islands. The epimyoepithelial islands are solid islands of proliferated ductal epithelium infiltrated by small B lymphocytes. The first morphological manifestation of the emergence of a MALT lymphoma is the presence of haloes or collars of pale monocytoid B cells around the epimyoepithelial islands. Such infiltrates usually show immunoglobulin light chain restriction, and in their presence a clonal B cell population can be usually demonstrated by PCR. Nevertheless, because of their indolent behaviour, some consider such lesions to be borderline. More established MALT lymphomas are characterised by expansile, often destructive, proliferations of neoplastic marginal zone B cells, “cavitating” lymphoepithelial lesions and gradual replacement of reactive follicles. Dilatation of ducts may result in a cystic appearance.

The specific features of MALT lymphoma in the ocular adnexa, skin and thyroid gland have been described elsewhere.

**Areas of diagnostic difficulty**

**Large B cells and diffuse large B cell lymphoma**

The number of individually disposed large transformed blasts present in typical MALT lymphomas varies from case to case (up to approximately 10% of all cells). Whether this variation is of prognostic significance is controversial and is discussed below. MALT lymphomas may undergo transformation to frank large-cell lymphoma, which may be present at diagnosis. When
this occurs, large blasts are present in clusters (usually containing >20 large cells) or sheet-like proliferations either mixed among or separate from the small-cell component (fig 5). Care must be taken not to confuse a reactive germinal centre with a small focus of diffuse large B cell lymphoma (DLBCL). The current World Health Organization recommendation is that cases showing transformation to large-cell lymphoma should be diagnosed as DLBCL, and the presence of accompanying MALT lymphoma should be noted. The term “high-grade MALT lymphoma” is confusing and should not be used. In the absence of at least a focus of unequivocal typical MALT lymphoma, distinction of transformed MALT lymphoma from DLBCL arising de novo is often impossible, particularly because the formation of lymphoepithelial lesions by DLBCL does not prove transformation from MALT lymphoma.

### Table 1: Wotherspoon scoring system for scoring gastric lymphoid infiltrates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Histological features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Scattered plasma cells in lamina propria. No lymphoid follicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Chronic active gastritis</td>
<td>Small clusters of lymphocytes in lamina propria. No lymphoid follicles. No lymphoepithelial lesions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Chronic active gastritis with florid lymphoid follicle formation</td>
<td>Prominent lymphoid follicles with a surrounding mantle zone and plasma cells. No lymphoepithelial lesions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Suspicious lymphoid infiltrate, probably reactive</td>
<td>Lymphoid follicles surrounded by small lymphocytes that infiltrate diffusely in lamina propria and occasionally into the epithelium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Suspicious lymphoid infiltrate, probably lymphoma</td>
<td>Lymphoid follicles surrounded by marginal-zone cells that infiltrate diffusely in lamina propria and into the epithelium in small groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>MALT lymphoma</td>
<td>Presence of dense diffuse infiltrate of marginal-zone cells in lamina propria with prominent lymphoepithelial lesions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MALT, mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue.
the demonstration that the neoplastic (e.g., light chain-restricted) cells within the follicle centre lack markers of germinal centre origin (e.g., CD10 negative). In distinguishing colonised follicles from reactive follicles or from follicular lymphoma, it should be noted that the intrafollicular MALT lymphoma cells of colonised follicles may show either positive or negative staining for Bcl2. They are typically somewhat larger than the extrafollicular centrocyte-like cells, and may undergo frank blastic transformation or, rarely, show plasma cell differentiation.

**MOLECULAR PATHOLOGY IN DIAGNOSIS**

In some cases, although suspicious for MALT lymphoma, the morphological and immunohistological findings may be insufficient for a confident diagnosis. In such cases, the careful application of molecular biological techniques for the detection of B cell clonality or the identification of chromosomal abnormalities can be helpful.

**PCR-based analysis of B cell clonality**

Reliable PCR-based methods for the determination of B cell clonality in both fresh and formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue are now routinely available. Most importantly, the availability of optimised PCR primers and protocols for the analysis of IGH, IGK and IGL gene rearrangement, developed by the European BIOMED-2 Concerted Action Program, now permits the sensitive, specific, practical and standardised detection of B cell clonality by any pathology laboratory. Approximately 80% of formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue samples yield DNA suitable for BIOMED-2 analysis.

The utility of PCR in the diagnosis of MALT lymphoma has been examined most thoroughly in gastric biopsies. Using current techniques, monoclonal B cell populations are detected in approximately 80–90% of overt gastric MALT lymphomas. Similarly, the detection of unequivocal B cell monoclonality in a gastric biopsy showing morphological features suspicious for, but not diagnostic of, MALT lymphoma (i.e., Wotherspoon score 4) strongly supports a diagnosis of MALT lymphoma. Several groups, using in-house PCR protocols, have reported the detection of apparent B cell monoclonality in otherwise typical chronic gastritis, although in large series of unselected cases assessed using well-established methodology, clonal bands were detected in only 1–4% of such biopsies. Further studies showed that this finding is associated with the presence of lymphoid follicles in the biopsy, suggesting that the clonal bands identified by PCR in many of these cases of gastritis result from the selective amplification of rearranged IGH genes from an oligoclonal B cell population present within the few reactive

![Figure 4](http://jcp.bmj.com/) Typical low-power view of pulmonary mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphoma. (A) The lymphoid cells show a peri-lymphangitic pattern of infiltration (H&E). (B) CD20 staining further highlights this pattern, which is virtually diagnostic of pulmonary MALT lymphoma (immunoperoxidase).

![Figure 5](http://jcp.bmj.com/) Mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma and diffuse large B cell lymphoma in the colon. (A) The superficial part of the colonic mucosa contains a diffuse infiltrate of centrocyte-like cells forming lymphoepithelial lesions (H&E). (B) The deeper part of the colonic mucosa contains sheets of large transformed immunoblasts consistent with diffuse large B cell lymphoma (H&E).
lymphoma or follicular lymphoma, respectively. t(14;18)(q32;q21)/IGH-CYCLIN D1 do not carry t(11;14)(q13;q21)/IGH-BCL2 translocations typical of mantle cell lymphoma or follicular lymphoma.

Detection of recurrent genetic abnormalities

The three major translocations seen in MALT lymphomas are t(11;18)(q21;q12)/API2-MALT1, t(14;18)(q32;q21)/IGH-MALT1 and t(1;14)(p22;q32)/IGH-BCL10. Remarkably, these seem to promote lymphoma development by a common mechanism. This concordance results from the roles of both the adapter protein Bcl10 and the caspase-like protein MALT1 in the antigen receptor-mediated activation of nuclear factor B—a transcription factor that regulates the expression of genes involved in lymphocyte proliferation and survival.60–62 In MALT lymphoma, the t(11;18)(q21;q12) causes fusion of the genes for the inhibitor of apoptosis family member API2 and MALT1, resulting in the expression of an API2-MALT1 fusion protein,63–65 while the t(1;14)(p22;q32) and t(14;18)(q32;q21) result in the overexpression of BCL10 and MALT1, respectively, as a result of juxtaposition with the IGH enhancer. In each case, the resulting oncoproteins seem to act, at least in part, by aberrant activation of NFκB.66 Importantly, MALT lymphomas do not carry t(11;14)(q13;q32)/IGH-CYCLIN D1 or t(14;18)(q32;q21)/IGH-BCL2 translocations typical of mantle cell lymphoma or follicular lymphoma, respectively.

t(11;18)(q21;q21)/API2-MALT1

The t(11;18)(q21;q21) is the most common chromosomal translocation associated with MALT lymphoma, being found most often in gastric (approximately 25% of cases) and pulmonary (40%) cases, less often in ocular adnexal MALT lymphomas (5–15%), and rarely in MALT lymphomas of the skin, salivary gland and thyroid gland.67–69 The t(11;18)(q21;q21) is not found in other B cell lymphomas, and, as discussed below, is only very rarely identified in extranodal diffuse large B cell lymphomas.69 Importantly, the translocation is not found in the inflammatory conditions which associate with or precede MALT lymphoma, including H. pylori-associated gastritis.70 When present, a t(11;18)(q21;q21) is usually the sole chromosomal abnormality present; by contrast, MALT lymphomas without a t(11;18)(q21;q21) frequently show aneuploidy, particularly trisomies 3 and 18.56–60 The t(11;18)(q21;q21) can be detected in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded biopsy material with relative ease, by either interphase fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) or reverse transcriptase-PCR assays61,62 (fig 7). The finding that the t(11;18)(q21;q21) is associated with moderately intense aberrant nuclear immunostaining for Bcl10 is of potential interest.67–69 However, this finding is of limited clinical utility as 20% of t(11;18)(q21;q21)-negative MALT lymphomas and some non-MALT lymphomas show a similar Bcl10 staining pattern,65 and the ability of laboratories to demonstrate such a staining pattern, particularly on small biopsies, is variable.

t(14;18)(q32;q21)/IGH-MALT1

The second most frequent translocation identified in MALT lymphoma is the t(14;18)(q32;q21)/IGH-MALT1. Although this translocation occurs at the same chromosomal bands as the t(14;18)(q32;q21)/IGH-BCL2 found in follicular lymphoma, it is clearly distinct.65,66 The t(14;18)(q32;q21)/IGH-MALT1 is found most often in MALT lymphomas arising at non-gastric sites, being identified in 5–25% of cases arising in the ocular adnexa, lung, salivary gland and skin.67–69 The t(14;18)(q32;q21)/IGH-MALT1 can be readily detected by interphase FISH using either in-house or commercially available probes.

t(1;14)(p22;q32)/IGH-BCL10

A small minority of MALT lymphomas contain a t(1;14)(p22;q32)/IGH-BCL10, or rarely a variant t(1;2)(p22;p12) involving the IGK gene locus, often together with aneuploidy.68–70 Approximately 4% of gastric and up to 8% of pulmonary MALT lymphomas carry a t(1;14)(p22;q32), and very occasional cases at other sites may do so,71 but this translocation has not been identified in other lymphoma types. The t(1;14)(p22;q32) and t(1;2)(p22;p12) can be reliably detected by interphase FISH. All cases harbouring these translocations show strong aberrant nuclear Bcl10 immunostaining, with much more intense and robust staining than seen in cases with a t(11;18)(q21;q21).64–66
The most recently described recurrent translocation in MALT lymphoma is the t(3;14)(p14;q32)/IGH-FOXP1, which results in the overexpression of forkhead box (FOX)P1 mRNA and protein. The functions of the transcription factor FOXP1 and the mechanisms by which it promotes lymphomagenesis are unknown. The first study to report the t(3;14)(p14;q32) in MALT lymphoma demonstrated its presence in 10% of the cases studied, including three of six cases from the thyroid gland, four of 20 ocular adnexal MALT lymphomas and two of 20 cutaneous cases. The t(3;14)(p14;q32) was typically seen together with additional genetic abnormalities. None of the gastric, salivary gland and pulmonary MALT lymphomas studied carried the translocation. However, other groups have subsequently reported FOXP1 translocations to be present very rarely (1% of cases) or not at all in large series of MALT lymphomas. The precise relationship between FOXP1 translocations and MALT lymphoma remains to be clarified, and the clinical significance of the detection of such translocations is unclear.

**PROGNOSTIC FACTORS**

Most evidence concerning the treatment and prognostic evaluation of MALT lymphomas has, not surprisingly, been accrued for gastric MALT lymphomas. In keeping with the critical pathogenetic role of H pylori, it is now well established that approximately 75% of patients with a gastric MALT lymphoma achieve a durable remission after antibiotic-induced eradication of the bacterium, and that H pylori eradication treatment is the initial treatment of choice in the majority of patients with localised disease. In those patients with advanced-stage, H pylori-negative or antibiotic-resistant gastric MALT lymphoma, and in those with primary non-gastric disease, radiotherapy, chemotherapy or rituximab may be used, although consensus guidelines for the management of such patients are not established. The provision of prognostic information, and particularly the identification of those patients with gastric MALT lymphomas unlikely to respond to H pylori eradication treatment, is an important part of the diagnostic examination of a MALT lymphoma, in which the pathologist has a major role.

**Staging**

Although there is no generally agreed staging protocol or classification scheme for gastric MALT lymphoma (eg, some
groups use an adapted version of Musshoff's modified Ann Arbor staging system, whereas others use the Lugano modification of the Blackledge staging scheme, some important principles have emerged. The appreciation that the locoregional staging of gastric MALT lymphoma using endoscopic ultrasonography is prognostically valuable is most notable. Using this methodology, several studies have shown that increasing depth of invasion of lymphoma through the stomach wall is closely correlated with decreasing responsiveness to \textit{H pylori} eradication treatment. Similar studies have also shown that involvement of the regional lymph nodes (which occurs in approximately 15–30\% of gastric MALT lymphomas) is associated with considerably reduced response rates to either antibiotics or conventional treatment. Thus, gastric MALT lymphomas of stage 2E or above (Musshoff's modified Ann Arbor staging system) are unlikely to respond to \textit{H pylori} eradication, whereas those with stage 1E disease, and particularly those with disease confined to the mucosa, do so in approximately 80\% of cases.

MALT lymphomas remain localised (stage 1 or 2) in the majority of cases. However, recent studies using comprehensive staging protocols have shown that 25–35\% of MALT lymphomas, more frequently among non-gastric than gastric cases, present with disseminated disease. In many of these cases, characteristic involvement of multiple mucosal sites is seen, leading some to suggest that thorough examination and imaging of all MALT sites should be part of the routine staging investigations for patients with MALT lymphoma. The bone marrow is involved in this lymphoma in up to 15\% of cases, although fewer gastric lymphomas than this spread to the marrow, and more pulmonary cases do so. Less than 10\% of patients have distant lymph node involvement.

Histopathology of lymph node and bone marrow spread

In the typical pattern of nodal involvement, centrocyte-like or monocytoid cells surround reactive follicles in a marginal zone distribution. With more extensive involvement, the infiltrate coalesces to form confluent sheets which eventually efface the entire node. Involvement of the spleen produces a similar appearance. MALT lymphoma in the bone marrow most often shows a mixed pattern of randomly distributed aggregates of marginal zone cells with an associated interstitial infiltrate.

Prognostic implications of histological features

Several early studies on gastric lymphoma showed that the presence of DLBCL, either with or without a “low grade” MALT lymphoma component, was associated with more advanced disease and a worse outcome than for typical MALT lymphoma alone after conventional treatment. In one study of gastric MALT lymphoma treated by \textit{H pylori} eradication, among six patients who failed to respond, subsequent gastrectomy revealed previously unrecognised DLBCL in three. In another study, patients with MALT lymphoma and DLBCL had worse event-free survival than patients with MALT lymphoma alone, suggesting that DLBCL may be relatively resistant to antibiotic treatment. Interestingly however, several reports have now shown that some early-stage gastric DLBCL, with or without associated MALT lymphoma, do respond to antibiotics. Nevertheless, the role of antibiotics in treating such lymphomas requires clarification, and, as the presence of DLBCL is usually considered to be an indication for cytotoxic treatment, it is important to correctly identify and classify those cases in which DLBCL is present.
The prognostic significance of the number of dispersed large transfomed B cells in MALT lymphomas without overt DLBCL is a matter of uncertainty. Some studies reported that gastric MALT lymphomas containing >1% or >5% diffusely intermingled large blasts, but no overt DLBCL, showed a worse outcome after radiotherapy with or without chemotherapy and/or responded less often to H pylori eradication treatment. However, other studies have failed to find similar prognostic effects in either gastric or non-gastric cases. At present, there are no established guidelines for the “grading” of MALT lymphoma in this manner.

As discussed above, the pathologist may also contribute to prognostication by reporting the absence of H pylori, as H pylori-negative cases are less likely to respond to antibiotic treatment. A single study has reported that the immunohistochemical detection of nuclear staining for either Bcl10 or NfkB is associated with the resistance of gastric MALT lymphomas to antibiotic treatment. However, the poor reproducibility of such staining (with the possible exception of the strong nuclear Bcl10 staining associated with t(1;14)(p22;q32)) is likely to limit the clinical application of this approach. Finally, a recent study has reported that strong expression of FoxP1 protein in MALT lymphoma is associated with polymorphic cellular morphology, trisomy 3/18 and an adverse prognosis associated with transformation to DLBCL; however, the utility of immunostaining for FoxP1 in MALT lymphomas remains to be confirmed.

Prognostic implications of molecular genetic changes

Several studies have addressed the prognostic implications of genetic changes in MALT lymphoma. Most importantly, Liu et al have firmly established that the presence of t(11;18)(q21;q21) is strongly associated with the failure of gastric MALT lymphoma at all stages to regress after H pylori eradication treatment. The presence of this translocation may, at least in part, explain the failure of 20% of early-stage gastric MALT lymphomas to respond to such treatment. In addition, the observation that a t(11;18)(q21;q21) is rarely found within the stomach and that the Groupe d’Etude des Lymphomes de l’Adulte category “probable minimal residual disease (pMRD)” is not at present an indication for further treatment, and can be managed as a state of remission with appropriate follow-up. Although most patients who achieve a complete response/pMRD remain in stable remission, approximately 5–15% relapse within the stomach. Finally, the observation that a t(11;18)(q21;q21) is rarely found in extranodal DLBCL, with or without a MALT lymphoma component, suggests that MALT lymphomas containing the translocation are less likely than aneuploid t(11;18)(q21;q21)-negative cases to transform to DLBCL. Determination of the t(11;18)(q21;q21) status of a gastric MALT lymphoma by FISH or reverse transcriptase-PCR is thus of critical importance in clinical decision making (fig 7). Experience with a small number of cases suggests that the presence of a BCL10 translocation in gastric MALT lymphoma may also be associated with advanced-stage disease. The significance of genetic changes to prognosis in non-gastric MALT lymphomas is largely unknown.

### OUTCOME AND POST-TREATMENT ASSESSMENT OF MALT LYMPHOMA

The overall survival of patients with MALT lymphoma is approximately 85–95% at 5 years, probably with little difference between sites. Approximately 25–35% of patients from all sites relapse after a complete response, often late and to a further mucosal site, although significantly more non-gastric (25–40%) than gastric (5–20%) cases do so. Late transformation occurs in <10% of cases.

### Post-treatment biopsies of gastric MALT lymphoma

Serial gastroscopy with histological assessment of multiple biopsies (and endoscopic ultrasonography, if this was abnormal at diagnosis) is the best method of post-treatment follow-up currently available for gastric MALT lymphoma. Although there is no widely agreed protocol, biopsies are typically taken every 3–6 months for the first 2 years, and yearly thereafter. A good histological response of gastric MALT lymphoma to antibiotic treatment is characterised by regression of the diffuse lymphoid infiltrate and lymphoepithelial lesions seen at diagnosis, although basal aggregates of morphologically non-suspicious B and T cells, sometimes associated with FDC, often remain. The lamina propria appears empty or fibrotic, with gland loss and scattered lymphocytes and plasma cells. To facilitate effective communication between pathologists and physicians, a reproducible and simple histological grading system for post-treatment evaluation of gastric MALT lymphoma has been devised recently (table 2). It is important to appreciate that the presence of basal lymphoid aggregates without other features of lymphoma is not associated with active disease, and that the Groupe d’Etude des Lymphomes de l’Adulte histological grading system for post-treatment evaluation of gastric MALT lymphoma 369

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Lymphoid infiltrate</th>
<th>LEL</th>
<th>Stromal changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td>Absent or scattered plasma cells and small lymphoid cells in the LP</td>
<td>Normal or empty LP and/or fibrosis</td>
<td>No changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMRD</td>
<td>Aggregates of lymphoid cells or lymphoid nodules in the LP/MM/MA or SM</td>
<td>Empty LP and/or fibrosis</td>
<td>No changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rRD</td>
<td>Dense, diffuse or nodular extension around glands in the LP</td>
<td>Focal empty LP and/or fibrosis</td>
<td>No changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>Dense, diffuse or nodular</td>
<td>No changes</td>
<td>No changes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CR, complete histological remission; LEL, lymphoepithelial lesions; LP, lamina propria; MM, muscularis mucosa; NC, no change; pMRD, probable minimal residual disease; rRD, responding residual disease; SM, submucosa.
Significance of B cell clonality in post-treatment gastric biopsies

Several studies have investigated the role of assessing B cell clonality in gastric biopsies after antibiotic treatment for MALT lymphoma. In approximately 50% of cases, B cell monoclonality persists even after the attainment of complete response/pMRD status, although in at least some of these patients, the clonal population gradually disappears with time. However, in many such cases, the monoclonal population appears to be located within basal aggregates of dormant disease. Continued monoclonality after eradication of H pylori may be associated with a delay in achieving remission. In some studies, patients in remission with persistent monoclonality in follow-up biopsies were significantly more likely to relapse than those whose biopsies were persistently polyclonal. However, sampling error seriously confounds these studies, and whether these patients were ever truly in remission is unclear. Moreover, other similar studies have shown no association between monoclonality and the risk of relapse. The difficulty in interpreting such clonality data is compounded by the fact that assessment of serial biopsies from many patients shows fluctuating clonal status, possibly as a result of sampling issues or even re-infection by H pylori. Thus, although further studies are required, current evidence does not support a role for assessment of clonality in post-treatment follow-up of gastric MALT lymphomas beyond the research setting.

Gastric adenocarcinoma after gastric MALT lymphoma

A final aspect of the follow-up of gastric MALT lymphoma is the importance of surveillance for gastric adenocarcinoma. Numerous papers describe the synchronous occurrence of these two conditions, possibly as a result of the major pathogenic role of H pylori in both diseases. Moreover, several reports have also described the occurrence of early gastric cancer following apparent complete remission of MALT lymphoma after H pylori eradication or oral chemotherapy. Although the precise relationship between gastric MALT lymphoma and metachronous carcinoma remains unclear, these reports underline the importance of long-term endoscopic follow-up of gastric MALT lymphoma and suggest that the pathologist should be mindful of the possibility of finding dysplasia or adenocarcinoma in these biopsies.

AN INTEGRATED DIAGNOSTIC APPROACH

From the above discussion, it is clear that optimal diagnosis and management of a MALT lymphoma by a surgical pathologist requires careful integration of morphological, immunohistochemical and molecular information, together with close cooperation with clinical colleagues. Figure 8 presents, in an algorithmic form, one integrated approach to the management of gastric lymphoid infiltrates suspicious for MALT lymphoma. A similar approach could readily be adopted for the assessment of suspected MALT lymphomas at other sites. The diagnosis of a gastric MALT lymphoma should prompt molecular testing for t(11;18)(q21;q21)/AP12-MALT1 and staining for H pylori to further inform clinical management. In view of the clinical implications of identifying coexistent DLBCL in a MALT lymphoma, some teams recommend a further endoscopy, with multiple biopsy specimens taken from the affected area using large-calibre forceps, in order to identify or exclude transformed disease. This could be combined with endoscopic ultrasonography to provide detailed staging information.
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