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Chronic fatigue syndrome/myalgic encephalomyelitis (CFS/ME) is a disease characterised by severe and debilitating fatigue, sleep abnormalities, impaired memory and concentration, and musculoskeletal pain. In the Western world, the population prevalence is estimated to be of the order of 0.5%. Research studies have identified various features relevant to the pathogenesis of CFS/ME such as viral infection, immune abnormalities and immune activation, exposure to toxins, chemicals and pesticides, stress, hypotension, lymphocyte abnormalities and neuromedical dysfunction. However, the precise underlying disease mechanisms and means by which these abnormalities inter-relate in patients with CFS/ME, remain to be clarified.

Various viruses have been shown to play a triggering or perpetuating role, or both, in this complex disease. Microbes which have been shown to trigger CFS/ME include enteroviruses, Epstein–Barr virus, Chlamydia pneumoniae, parvovirus B19, Coxiella burnetii, Borra disease virus, varicella zoster virus, cytomegalovirus, and human herpesvirus type 6 (HHV-6). Chronic microbial infections which have been documented in patients with CFS/ME include C burnetii, parvovirus B19, C pneumoniae, hepatitis C, enteroviruses and human retroviruses. Virus reactivations in CFS/ME include varicella zoster virus, herpes simplex virus (increased frequency of cold sores) and Epstein–Barr virus.

The role of enterovirus (EV) infection as a trigger and perpetuating factor in CFS/ME has been recognised for decades. In 1988, it was shown that replication competent enteroviruses could be cultured from the faeces of 17 of 76 patients with CFS/ME compared with only 2 of 50 matched controls; further sampling of these patients demonstrated culture of the same virus in five patients (29%). Using a monoclonal antibody, enterovirus VP1 protein was detected in the circulation of 44 of 87 patients with CFS/ME. In view of the tropism of enteroviruses for skeletal and cardiac muscle, research focused on enterovirus infection of skeletal muscle. Studies demonstrated a higher frequency of enterovirus infection of skeletal muscle in patients with CFS/ME compared with that in normal controls; enterovirus infection of skeletal muscle was therefore proposed as the likely disease mechanism. However, several negative studies combined with the rise of the psychosocial model of CFS/ME have led to a diminished interest in this area.

The importance of gastrointestinal symptoms in CFS/ME and the known ability of enteroviruses to cause gastrointestinal infections, led John and Andrew Chia to study the role of enterovirus infection in the stomach of patients with CFS/ME. In this issue, they describe a systematic study of enterovirus infection in the stomach of 165 patients with CFS/ME, demonstrating a detection rate of EV VP1 protein of 82% in patients with CFS/ME compared with 20% in normal controls. Gastric biopsies from six patients with CFS/ME with repeat specimens 2–8 years later showed positive staining for EV VP1. EV RNA was detected in 9/24 (37%) paraffin-embedded biopsy samples, compared with only 1/21 controls. One of three patients tested had detectable EV RNA from two samples taken 4 years apart. Five patient samples showed transient growth of non-cytopathic enteroviruses. These intriguing data for which there is ample supporting evidence strongly suggest a new and hitherto unrecognised disease mechanism in patients with CFS/ME, which in my opinion, could trigger and perpetuate this disease. But, as with all discoveries, many new questions are raised.

The possibility that this cohort represents the result of an EV outbreak has been considered by the authors, but seems unlikely as these patients developed their disease at different times over a 20 year period. The fact that so many EV infections were diagnosed in one clinic is probably due to the persevering interest of the authors in EV infections in CFS/ME and their diligent studies over many years.

The possibility that in this cohort, EV infection triggered and perpetuated the disease in all 135 of the 165 patients with CFS/ME (those positive for EV infection) seems unlikely for the following reasons: some of these patients were positive for enterovirus prior to development of CFS/ME; infection with some of the 70 known enteroviruses seemed not to trigger CFS/ME, but superinfection with a different enterovirus strain did trigger CFS/ME; and a large number of viruses and insults are known to act as triggers for the disease. The possibility exists that EV infection of the stomach is an epiphenomenon in patients with CFS/ME, accounting only for the gastrointestinal symptoms, but I seriously doubt that this is true, given the systemic inflammation found in association with other stomach infections, such as Helicobacter pylori.

It seems likely that skeletal muscle EV infection would be less common than stomach EV infection as this organism primarily infects the gastrointestinal tract. Published studies of the prevalence of EV infection of skeletal muscle in CFS/ME support this, some of which are positive and some negative. In view of the link between EV infection of skeletal muscle and abnormal lactate response to exercise, it is possible that in an infected patient with CFS/ME, the extent of EV infection may determine severity; for example, skeletal muscle infection in addition to stomach infection.

Does the presence of gastrointestinal complaints in a patient with CFS/ME indicate a high probability that the disease has been triggered and is being perpetuated by an EV infection? The answer is “yes” in the Torrance cohort, but is as yet undetermined in other patients with CFS/ME. The role of EV infection of the stomach in the pathogenesis of irritable bowel syndrome also needs to be clarified in light of these results.

What is the biological nature and replication competence of the EVs isolated from the stomach of patients with CFS/ME compared with those isolated from...
faeces during an acute infection? This is an important issue and one which is already being studied by these authors.

In conclusion, enterovirus stomach infection in patients with CFS/ME is described for the first time by John and Andrew Chia (father and son), who are to be congratulated on a highly original and thorough study which is immensely valuable to the field. We must now determine how this fits in with what is already known of the pathogenesis of this elusive disease.
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