

## REFERENCES

1. **Nietert PJ**, Wessell AM, Feifer C, *et al*. Effect of terminal digit preference on blood pressure measurement and treatment in primary care. *Am J Hypertens* 2006;**19**(2):147–52.
2. **Hayes SJ**. Does terminal digit preference occur in pathology? *J Clin Pathol* 2008; **61**:975–6.
3. **Quirke P**, Williams GT. *Minimum dataset for colorectal cancer histopathology reports*. London: The Royal College of Pathologists, 1998.
4. **Fleming S**, Griffiths DFR. Best Practice No 180 Nephrectomy for renal tumour; dissection guide and dataset. *J Clin Pathol* 2005;**58**:7–14.
5. **Harnden P**, Hancock B. *Dataset adult renal parenchymal cancer histopathology reports*. 2nd edn. London: The Royal College of Pathologists, 2006.
6. **Leibovich BC**, Blute ML, Cheville JC, *et al*. Prediction of progression after radical nephrectomy for patients with clear cell renal cell carcinoma: a stratification tool for prospective clinical trials. *Cancer* 2003;**97**:1663–71.
7. **Galea MH**, Blamey RW, Elston CW, *et al*. The Nottingham Prognostic Index in primary breast cancer. *Br Cancer Res Treat* 1992;**22**:207–19.
8. **McNichol AM**, Johnson S. *Dataset for thyroid cancer histopathology reports*. London: The Royal College of Pathologists, 2006.

## CORRECTION

doi:10.1136/jcp.2008.056689corr1

N Khanna, T Inkster. Meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* hepatic abscess treated with tigecycline. *J Clin Pathol* 2008;**61**:967–8.

The authors would like to point out that tigecycline is not currently licensed for once daily dosing and 50 mg should be administered twice daily. In this patient, lack of venous access combined with the underlying psychiatric history restricted the 50 mg dosing to once daily.

## NOTICE

doi:10.1136/jcp.2006.042408corr1

## A statement from the BMJ Group

Myalgic encephalomyelitis: a review by Malcolm Hooper

The BMJ Group wishes to inform readers that a series of technical errors resulted in the unjustified retraction of the article “Myalgic encephalomyelitis: a review with emphasis on key findings in biomedical research”. The article’s citation details remain as originally published (*J Clin Pathol* 2007;**60**:466–71; doi:10.1136/jcp.2006.042408).

The *Journal of Clinical Pathology* offers an unreserved apology to the author of the article, Professor Malcolm Hooper, and regrets any confusion or distress that may have been caused.