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Histochemistry is a combination of chemistry and histology, in which reactions are carried out on tissue sections or similar preparations and the results examined under a microscope, with the object of combining the advantages of chemical or biochemical specificity and histological localization. The armamentarium of the diagnostic pathologist has been greatly increased by the development of modern histochemical and cytochemical techniques for the demonstration of enzymes and other specific chemical substances, and for their localization in tissues, cells, and cell organelles. Histochemistry is complementary to biochemical analysis of tissue homogenates, since histochemical techniques can give simultaneously biochemical and morphological information. The histochemical approach to diagnostic pathology is therefore based on correlating the presence or absence of morphological changes with any biochemical lesions that may be present. In tissues containing more than one cell type it is invaluable to be able to carry out a reaction on the tissue and observe the results for each cell type.

Applications of Enzyme Histochemistry to Diagnostic Pathology

The present-day major applications of enzyme histochemistry to diagnostic pathology are summarized in Table I. For many of these applications (indicated by the letter L) qualitative methods are adequate, the enzyme being used as a marker or identifying characteristic of a particular tissue, cell type, or organelle to which it is more or less restricted. At the cellular level, this concept can be applied to the identification of malignant cells by the presence of a characteristic enzyme or enzyme pattern (eg, o-diphenol oxidase1 (EC 1.10.3.1) in malignant melanoma). At the subcellular level, methods for specific enzymes can be used for the investigation of lesions involving organelles containing the enzymes.

Applications of enzyme histochemistry to diagnostic pathology have recently been reviewed by Sobel (1968). Histochemistry is already of major importance in the diagnosis of disorders of skeletal muscle (Dubowitz and Pearse, 1964; Engel, 1965; Pearse and Johnson, 1970; Bethlehem, 1970). Other reviews include those on enzyme histochemistry in relation to neoplasms (Burstone, 1962), neuropathology (Adams, 1965), bone tumours (Jeffree and Price, 1965), cardiovascular pathology (Adams, 1967), and glycogen storage diseases (Lake, 1970). Other recent papers of practical importance deal with Hirschsprung's disease (Garrett and Howard, 1969) and coeliac disease (Wall, Douglas, Booth, and Pearse, 1970).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Differential diagnosis of tumours (L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>o-Diphenol oxidase1 (EC 1.10.3.1) in melanoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid phosphatase (EC 3.1.3.2) in prostatic carcinoma* and osteoscleroma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline phosphatase (EC 3.1.3.1) in adenocarcinoma of the lung*, seminoma of the testis*, and bone-forming tumours (osteosarcoma)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucine aminopeptidase (EC 3.4.1.1) in tumours of the stomach* bile ducts*, kidney*, mammary gland*, and fibrosarcoma*. Its presence in lymph node metastases strongly suggests carcinoma of stomach or bile duct*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATPase (EC 3.6.1.3) in reticulum cell sarcoma (versus anaplastic carcinoma)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerolphosphate dehydrogenase (EC 1.1.99.5) in endocrine polypeptide (APUD) tumours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characterization of tumours for prognosis (Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of carboxylesterase (EC 3.1.1.1)* in squamous carcinoma of lung; the lower the esterase activity, the worse the prognosis*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of alkaline phosphatase gives some indication of the prognosis of fibrosarcoma*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characterization of non-neoplastic lesions (L, Q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATPase, glycogen phosphorylase (EC 2.4.1.1) reduced NAD dehydrogenase1 (EC 1.6.99.3) and acid phosphatase in various skeletal muscle diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jejunal acid phosphatase in coeliac disease (Wall et al, 1970)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced NAD dehydrogenase and carboxylesterase (Garret and Howard, 1969) for demonstrating neurones in Hirschsprung's disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycogen phosphorylases and glucose 6-phosphatase (EC 3.1.3.9) in glycogen storage diseases; also acid phosphatase in Pompe's disease (Lake, 1970)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I Application of enzyme histochemistry to diagnostic pathology

L = localization is significant, Q = quantity is significant.
* = Data obtained from a personal communication (1969) from Dr R. G. J. Willighagen, Pathologisch Laboratorium der Rijksunivereit, Leiden, The Netherlands,
'Dopa oxidase'
'Nonspecific esterase'
'NADH diaphorase'
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Material

Enzyme histochemistry can be carried out on tissue sections or on smears. The histochemist's requirements for tissue section preparation are much more stringent than those of the conventional morbid anatomist, whose sole requirement is what he calls a good paraffin section—incorporating a standard set of morphological artefacts and of almost no biochemical value. The histochemist requires that his tissue sections should approach as closely as possible to thin slices of the original tissue, consistent with the preservation of both the structure of the tissue and the biochemical identity and activity of its constituents. Unfortunately attempts to combine histological and biochemical methods on the same preparation lead to conflict between the technical requirements for preservation of both the biochemical activity of enzymes and of the morphological integrity of the tissue. This inevitably leads to compromise.

Biopsy and other material intended for histochemical examination must of course be fresh; once removed from the body, it must be preserved without delay by freezing or fixation. Subsequent processing of the tissue is made more complicated by the necessity not only to maintain the morphological integrity of the tissue, but also to preserve and prevent diffusion of substances of interest, e.g., enzymes, from their proper sites.

Morphological stabilization or 'fixation' is carried out by chemical treatment, usually directed mainly towards cross-linkage of proteins and rendering proteins insoluble by denaturation. Typical substances used for fixation are aldehydes or ketones (formaldehyde, glutaraldehyde, acetone) and alcohols (methanol, ethanol). The effects of fixatives on enzyme localization have been reviewed by Burstone (1958) and Pearse (1968, chapter 14). Unfortunately the process of fixation, necessary to preserve localization and to prevent diffusion of soluble enzymes into the incubation mixture, itself causes loss of enzyme activity mainly by denaturation of enzyme protein. Fixation must therefore be accepted as a necessary evil, the deleterious effects of which must be minimized by careful choice of fixative, chosen according to the particular enzyme to be studied.

In this context the most widely used fixatives are buffered formaldehyde solutions and acetone, both at a low temperature (Seligman et al, 1951; Gomori, 1952).

Preparation of tissue sections usually involves freezing to a solid block, after which sections are cut either on a cryostat or after freeze-drying and embedding in a low-melting-point wax. Fixation may be carried out either before freezing or after cutting sections. Freeze-dried material can be fixed by gaseous fixatives before embedding. For details of these techniques see Pearse (1968), Bancroft (1967), and Eckner, Riebe, Moulder, and Blackstone (1968).

Histochemical Methods for Enzyme Localization

Only about 100 enzymes can be demonstrated histochemically because of the difficulties inherent in preserving histological localization of the enzyme and of its reaction products. The majority are either hydrolytic or oxidative. A list of the most important is given by Pearse (1968, chapter 14) and for details of individual methods, see Pearse (1968 and 1971) and Bancroft (1967).

In designing methods for the histochemical demonstration of enzymes, the aim is in all cases to obtain a reaction product which is localized at the site of enzyme activity and is either visible or easily rendered so. The final reaction product (FRP) should therefore be insoluble except in certain kinetic studies, to be described below. In principle the FRP can be visualized by any of the following properties: (1) opacity to visible light, ultraviolet light, or an electron beam (electron microscopy); (2) luminescence—either fluorescence or phosphorescence; (3) retardation (increase in local refractive index); and (4) reflectivity.

The majority of current methods lead to a coloured or black final reaction product. Electron opacity is of course a sine qua non for electron microscopic investigations. The use of ultraviolet absorption has been suggested by Young and Edwards (1963) for the visualization of formazan deposits produced in methods for oxidative enzymes. Fluorescence methods have not yet been properly explored, but offer great hope for the future because of the high sensitivity which can be achieved by fluorescence techniques. Phosphorescence has not, so far as I am aware, been applied in this context, nor is it likely to be relevant. Retardation methods (eg, interferometry) may have something to offer, and might be of value in the study of living intact cells, for which fluorescence techniques are otherwise likely to prove of greatest value.

The basic principles of current methods for the demonstration of enzymes will be illustrated by reference to the most important procedures for hydrolytic enzymes and dehydrogenases. Although methods for a few enzymes have been known for a long time, modern enzyme histochemistry dates from the method for alkaline phosphatase (EC 3.1.3.1) developed independently by Gomori (1939a) and by Takamatsu (1939). In this method, phosphate is released from the substrate by the enzyme isoprec
pituated as calcium phosphate, which in turn is made visible by the reaction of von Kossa or by some other method. By choice of appropriate substrate and with various minor modifications, this method has been adapted to the demonstration of a variety of specific and nonspecific phosphatases, using both optical and electron microscopy. Of even greater importance was the basic principle of the method, i.e., the use of a ‘capture reagent’ to precipitate one of the enzymatic products at the site of enzymatic activity. This principle is the basis of the majority of methods for hydrolytic enzymes in common use today. In the current modification of the Gomori method for alkaline phosphatase (Pearse, 1968) the phosphate is captured by calcium ions and precipitated as calcium phosphate Fig. 1. The precipitate is converted successively to cobalt phosphate and to cobalt sulphide, which is opaque. In a similar method for acid phosphatase (EC 3.1.3.2), lead is used as the capture reagent and the precipitate of lead phosphate is converted directly to lead sulphide.

Fig. 1 Use of calcium ions to capture phosphate liberated by alkaline phosphatase, with conversion to opaque cobalt sulphide.

As an alternative to capture of the released phosphate, an artificial substrate may be used where the released alkyl moiety can be coupled to an azo dye (Menten, Junge, and Green, 1944). By the use of this principle, other salts and esters can be used as substrates to demonstrate sulphatases (EC 3.1.6), esterases (EC 3.1.1), and lipases (EC 3.1.1.3).

Methods for the demonstration of hydrolytic enzymes have been largely based on principles laid down by Nachlas, Young, and Seligman (1957b). Since then, additional possibilities have been opened up by the development of fluorescence techniques. The present possibilities are summarized in Table II.

The simultaneous capture method has already been exemplified by the Gomori methods for phosphatases, whereby a soluble product of the enzymatic reaction, the primary reaction product (PRP), phosphate, is precipitated by reacting with a capture reagent, calcium or lead, present in the incubation mixture. In the coupling methods the capture reagent is a diazonium salt. The coupling reaction may be carried out after incubation; this may be advantageous in cases where the presence of a capture reagent in the incubation medium might lead to enzyme inhibition, but disadvantageous in that the PRP is more liable to diffusion before coupling and precipitation can take place, with corresponding inaccuracy in localization.

Methods involving the principle of altered solubility are based on the employment of soluble substrates which give rise to an insoluble product. The insoluble product is then presumed to precipitate at the site of enzyme activity. The visualization of the precipitate may depend upon the use of a coloured substrate, or of a substrate which after hydrolysis undergoes molecular rearrangement to give a highly coloured or fluorescent product. Methods making use of the principle of altered solubility of a coloured substrate have been described for alkaline phosphatase (Loveless and Danielli, 1949) and β-glucuronidase (EC 3.2.1.31) (Friedenwald and Becker, 1948). The potential development of methods of this type appears to be limited by the small number of known enzyme-sensitive solubilizing groups.

In fluorogenic methods, the substrate should be soluble and preferably non-fluorescent, and the reaction product insoluble and fluorescent. Some naphthol derivatives appear suitable (Burstone, 1962; F. W. D. Rost, unpublished data). Further development of fluorogenic methods is likely to be valuable, because of the high sensitivity with which fluorescent reaction products can be detected, as well as the increased range of possible substances to be used and the possibilities of microfluorimetry for quantitation.

Methods utilizing fluorescent but diffusible primary reaction products are not normally applicable to investigation of localization, but may be of
great value in kinetic studies. Fluorescein esters have been used for this purpose in the investigation of properties of cell membranes (Rotman and Papermaster, 1966; Sernetz, 1969). These esters are water-soluble and non-fluorescent and, being non-polar, they can traverse intact cell membranes to sites where free fluorescein is released by the action of esterases. Fluorescein itself, being polar, cannot easily pass the cell membrane and therefore accumulates in the cell where it is visualized by its fluorescence. Naphthyl phosphates have been used for microfluorimetric studies of the kinetics of alkaline phosphatase (Rost, Nägel, and Moss, 1970) as shown in Figure 2.

In principle, methods for dehydrogenases are based on allowing the enzyme to act on its natural substrate in the presence of any necessary coenzymes and of a tetrazolium salt. This latter, itself almost colourless and water-soluble, becomes reduced to a formazan which precipitates as an insoluble coloured crystalline deposit. The deposits can be visualized by their absorption of red, violet, and ultraviolet light, and by their highly refractile crystalline structure. Important criteria for selection of a tetrazolium salt are its redox potential, the size, shape, and solubility of the crystalline formazan deposit produced, and the degree of enzyme inhibition due to its presence. The salts most commonly used are ditetrazolium chloride-nitro-BT (NBT) introduced by Nachlas, Tsou, Souza, Cheng, and Seligman (1957a) and 3(3:5-dimethylthiazolyl-2):2:5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) introduced by Pearse (1957). In the case of the latter (MTT), cobalt is included in the incubation medium to be immediately chelated by the formazan, producing a deeply coloured and finely granular deposit.

**CRITERIA FOR CHOICE OF SUBSTRATE**

In methods for hydrolytic enzymes it is not generally necessary or desirable to use the natural substrate of the enzyme, an artificial substrate (e.g., a naphthyl derivative) being usually more suitable. The essential properties required of the substrate are: (1) specificity for the relevant enzyme or enzymes; (2) water-soluble; (3) low molecular weight; and (4) non-polarity.

The last three characteristics are necessary to permit the substrate to diffuse sufficiently rapidly into the tissues and reach the sites of enzyme activity in sufficient concentration for the reaction to have zero order kinetics, otherwise the amount of final reaction product will not be proportional to the amount of enzyme activity (van Duijn, Pascoe, and Ploeg, 1967; Pearse, 1968, chapter 14). Polar groups on the substrate molecule may lead to binding to tissue components and interference with diffusion, and will hinder or prevent diffusion through lipoprotein membranes; this is particularly important when dealing with intact cells or enzyme systems enclosed in lipid or lipoprotein membranes.

A high degree of diffusibility will also facilitate removal of unused substrate after completion of the reaction.

It is desirable that both the primary and final reaction products should be insoluble not only in water but also in lipids, since otherwise they may tend to be concentrated in the latter. Strong polarity should normally be avoided, since this may lead to binding of the PRP to acidic or basic proteins rather than to the capture reagent, but may be of value to prevent the reaction products from diffusing through membranes.

The primary difficulty in assessing the accuracy of histochemical localization of an enzyme is the absence in many cases of any alternative method against which the accuracy of histochemical techniques can be judged. Only in a few cases, where the enzyme is predominantly mitochondrial or microsomal, is biochemical evidence sufficiently strong to provide adequate criteria. It is possible that in the future the greater resolution of electron microscopy and the greater sensitivity of fluorescence methods may shed further light on this problem.

At present, the enzyme histochemist usually judges the accuracy of localization on the basis of the apparent sharpness of localization, assuming that if one method gives an apparently sharply defined localization whereas a second gives a diffuse one, the latter is likely to be less accurate. That this principle is not always valid is evident from the demonstration by several workers that partial inactivation of an enzyme by the coupling reagent will lead to apparently better localization (Nachlas et al, 1957b; Pearse, 1968, chapter 14).

In summary, the accuracy of apparent localization...
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zation of an enzyme within the cell is determined jointly by properties of the enzyme and of the chemical reaction used to demonstrate its presence. It depends on the degree of diffusion of the enzyme, of the primary reaction product, and of the final reaction product. The best localization is achieved by careful selection of the conditions for the reaction (Nachlas et al., 1957b) and for the protection of the tissue and its organelles (Scarpelli and Pearse, 1958).

Histochemical Assay of Enzymes

The goal of quantitative histochemistry has been stated to be 'the quantitative chemical analysis of the cell, its parts, and its extracellular products, in situ and in the living state' (Glick, 1953). It must be admitted that for enzymes, and indeed for most substances, this goal is far from being realized. Methods for biochemical assay of enzymes have become routine; histochemical localization is perhaps not so far behind, but the combination of the two still presents great difficulties except in special favourable circumstances. It seems that accuracies of localization and quantitation have a kind of reciprocal relationship whereby any increase in one, beyond a certain limit, requires a corresponding decrease in the other.

One approach to quantitative histochemistry involves the isolation of the regions of interest by microdissection, differential centrifugation, or other means followed by conventional microanalysis. Methods of this type are capable of accurate quantitation but only imprecise localization (for a review of methods of this type see Glick, 1963). The other approach involves quantitation in the intact cell. Current methods of this type have been reviewed in a series of papers edited by Wied (1966); in principle, the specimen is examined under a microscope, the region of interest is defined and isolated by an optical diaphragm, and measurements are made of the light absorption, fluorescence, reflectivity, or retardation due to the final reaction product. Similar measurements are made on a standard and the results compared.

Absorption methods have so far attracted the most attention (for a more detailed review see Mendelsohn, 1966). The major difficulty of absorptiometry (densitometry) is distribution error (Ornstein, 1952), because absorption measurements can be made accurately only if the optical density of the specimen is uniform within the measuring field. It is therefore necessary to break up the region to be measured into a large number of smaller fields within each of which the optical density is uniformly distributed, and to integrate the results from each of these measurements.

Instrumentation for quantitative cytochemistry by absorptiometry was pioneered by Caspersson and his coworkers (Caspersson, Jacobsson, Lomakka, Svensson, and Säfström, 1953; Caspersson, 1954, 1955). Apparatus for scanning microdensitometry, the Vickers M85, is commercially available in this country. A computer-controlled scanning system, the Zeiss (Oberkochen) scanning microphotometer, offers particular advantages in the choice of area to be measured.

Alternatives to scanning microdensitometry are the two-wavelength method (Ornstein, 1952; Patau, 1952) and photographic methods (den Tonkelaar and van Duijn, 1964).

Fluorimetry does not suffer from distribution error, the fluorescence from different parts of the measuring field being additive. As in absorptiometry, two measurements are needed, the intensities of the incident light and of the fluorescence. Direct measurement of the incident light is not technically possible with present-day microfluorimeters, even with those incorporating a reference channel. Instead, the fluorescence is compared with that of a standard. The development of microfluorimetry has long been hindered by lack of suitable standards, but this problem appears to have been solved by the development of fluorescence standards consisting of standard solutions held in capillary tubes (Sernetz and Thaer, 1970). The most obvious advantages of microfluorimetry are (1) freedom from distributional error and (2) high sensitivity. Freedom from distributional error means that scanning is not necessary; this simplifies the equipment necessary and greatly reduces the length of time required for each determination, since only one reading is required instead of many. A disadvantage of microfluorimetry is non-linearity at high concentrations of fluorophore (Udenfriend, 1962; Rigler, 1966). In practice this should present no difficulty.

Instrumental requirements for microfluorimetry have been reviewed by Thaer (1966). There are several commercially available instruments employing filters, but at present the only commercially available microspectrofluorimetry appears to be that of Leitz (Björklund, Ehinger, and Falck, 1968; Pearse and Rost, 1969) which has monochromators for both excitation and emission.

The other major difficulty in quantitative histochemistry lies in defining the region of the specimen to be measured. The problem is easiest in the special case of isolated or isolatable cells, such as leucocytes in a smear of blood or bone marrow, or tissue culture cells, on which the most successful quantitative histochemistry to date has been carried out,
eg. determination of alkaline phosphatase in leucocytes (van der Ploeg and van Duijn, 1968). Subject to the difficulties which have been outlined above concerning loss of enzyme and primary reaction products, it should be fairly easy to carry out quantitative estimations of enzymes in cell smears, using either absorptiometry or fluorimetry, and it is to be hoped that applications to clinical haematology and possibly exfoliative cytology will soon become routine.

Tissue sections are another matter. There are two difficulties, namely, variations in thickness of the section, and the problem of isolating an irregularly shaped cell contiguous from its neighbours. The thickness of a section cannot be assumed, even approximately, to equal the number of microns to which the microtome is set, nor can serial sections be absolutely guaranteed to be of identical thickness. For example, every microtomist is familiar with the phenomenon of alternate thick and thin sections, which is obvious when blatant, but which is easily missed when slight. Finally, the isolation in a measuring diaphragm of an image of a single cell of irregular shape is like fitting a square peg into a round hole. One approach to this problem is that of the new Zeiss scanning photometer, the computer of which can be instructed to integrate measurements within an irregular area; the conversation which is required between computer and operator is at present lengthy, but in the future a light-pen could be used to define the measuring area.

Comparative or statistical studies of a cell population within a single preparation avoid some of the difficulties of quantitative assay. Skeletal muscle cells, reasonably homogenous in transverse section, can be compared within a single section either by measuring from a standard-sized area small enough to fit into each cell or by a computerized scanning technique. We have applied the former method to the study of the distribution of glycogen phosphorylase (M. Johnson and F. W. D. Rost, unpublished data). Alternatively an instrument such as the MRC television image analyser may be used whereby it is possible to measure the relative areas in a section occupied by muscle fibres of types I and II (and III, if present) using ATPase (EC 3.6.1.3) or reduced NAD dehydrogenase (EC 1.6.99.3) as a marker (F. W. D. Rost, unpublished data). In principle phosphorylase could be used, but in our hands this has so far proved less satisfactory because of irregularities in staining.

**Accuracy of enzyme assays**

Enzyme assay by histochemical methods involves three stages: demonstration of the enzyme; measurement of the optical density or fluorescence intensity of the final reaction product; and the process of relating the numerical result obtained to the actual amount of enzyme activity present per topographical unit (ie, activity per cell, unit weight, or organelle).

Loss of enzyme activity can occur in several ways, of which the most important are believed to be actual loss of the enzyme by diffusion, and inactivation of the enzyme by the fixative, by subsequent tissue processing, or by substances present in the incubation mixture.

The amount of loss by diffusion varies for each enzyme, and for each fixative. One function of the fixative is of course to prevent diffusion of the enzyme into the incubation mixture, but unfortunately some diffusion invariably takes place into the fixative itself, and moreover the process of fixation results in some inactivation of the enzyme. In practice, a compromise may have to be reached between adequate fixation for accurate localization, and a lesser degree of fixation with preservation of enzyme activity. Fixation notwithstanding, some diffusion of enzyme will take place into the incubation medium, and the extent of this loss being influenced by the duration of incubation, temperature, pH, the electrolyte concentration, and substances which may be added to the incubation medium specially to reduce enzyme diffusion.

Measurements on tissue sections, unless used for comparison with a single section, are dependent upon knowledge of the section thickness. There does not appear to be any really satisfactory method at present for measuring section thickness; interferometry offers the best hope.

Finally there is the question of instrumental error in measurement of optical density or fluorescence. This is a purely technical problem, and is probably the least significant source of error in this context.

Obviously the final evaluation of any quantitative histochemical system will depend upon comparison with results obtained by such other procedures as may be available, and upon the results of calibration by proper model systems. Model systems based on the incorporation of alkaline or acid phosphatase into polycrylamide gels have been thoroughly investigated by van Duijn and his coworkers (van Duijn et al, 1967; Lojda, van der Ploeg, and van Duijn, 1967; van der Ploeg and van Duijn, 1968).

**Kinetic Studies**

Kinetic studies of oxidative enzyme systems in living cells have been made by microfluorimetry, utilizing the fluorescence of reduced pyridine.
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diagnosis of neoplasms and of skeletal muscle disorders, but can be applied in principle to the whole range of pathological conditions.
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